IMPACT OF ATRIAL FIBRILLATION IN ONCO-HEMATOLOGICAL PATIENTS IN EUROPE: A TARGETED LITERATURE REVIEW

<u>F. ESCALANTE BARRIGÓN¹, C. BAS², K. SHI-VAN WIELINK³, B. TANG⁴, K. YANG⁴, E. POMARES MALLOL⁵, A. GARCÍA⁵ and N. BAHAR⁴</u>

1. Servicio de Hematología, Hospital Universitario de León, Spain; 2. Medical Affairs, BeiGene, Madrid, Spain; 3. HEOR Europa, Medical Affairs, BeiGene, Amsterdam, Netherlands; 4. HEOR Global, BeiGene, Basilea, Switzerland; 5. Market Access, PharmaLex Spain, Spain

INTRODUCTION

- Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a common complication in patients with active cancer and their treatment poses a major challenge. Despite the advantages of using Bruton Tyrosine Kinase inhibitors (BTKi) in hemato-oncology disease management, the added risk of certain adverse events such as AF should not be neglected^{1,2}.
- The current review aimed to determine the clinical and economic burden of AF in onco-hematological patients in Europe.

METHODS

Electronic databases (Pubmed, Science Direct, MEDES, IBECS, eSalud) were searched to identify European studies published between January 2010 and January 2022.

Table 1: Eligibility criteria		
	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Population	Onco-hematological diseases diagnosed with AF	Other
Outcomes	 Epidemiology 	Only reporting ICER or ICUR

- An additional search was performed to find other studies of interest and any additional literature published in medical congresses (EHA, SEHH-SETH, ISPOR).
- Studies were selected based on the following inclusion and exclusion criteria (**Table 1**).
- Healthcare costs Management of complications and risk factors Patient journey and treatment patterns • HRQoL Letters to the editor, RCTs Study Observational, prospective, retrospective, case studies and reviews design English, Spanish Other languages Language

Any onco-hematological treatment

23%

2-16%

First-generation BTKi

AF, atrial fibrillation; HRQoL, health-related quality of life; ICER, incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; *ICUR, incremental cost-utility ratio; RCT, randomized clinical trial.*

RESULTS

- A total of 31 studies were eligible to be included for review (Figure 1).
- Among these studies, 23 included information on epidemiology, 16 on treatment patterns, 8 on risk factors and 4 on management of complications.
- No data on healthcare costs, health-related quality of life and patient pathway were identified.
- The studies were performed in 14 different European settings*, including Spain (10), Italy (8), Belgium (2), France (2), the Netherlands (2), Sweden (2), United Kingdom (2), Austria (1), Croatia (1), Greece

Epidemiology

The incidence of AF during onco-hematological treatment varies from 2% to 16% and increases up to 23% with the use of first-generation BTKi^{1,3,4}.

Risk factors

The main risk factors for AF include³⁻⁶: older age (\geq 65 years), male gender and comorbidities (hypertension, history of cardiovascular disease or AF, diabetes mellitus, respiratory problems, hyperlipidemia, thrombocytopenia). First-generation BTKi increased significantly the risk of AF compared to other

(1), Poland (1), Portugal (1), Switzerland (1) and Turkey (1). *Note that one study can be performed in different settings.

Figure 1: Flow diagram of included studies

929 studies retrieved through database search

> 786 excluded after title/abstract screening 33 duplicates 132 outside the time horizon 621 non-relevant information 124 excluded after full-text screening 55 non-Europe full-text review 37 non-relevant information 23 RCTs ⁷ letter to the editor 2 non-English/Spanish language

> > 12 included from additional search



In chronic lymphocytic leukemia, the risk of AF was 15 times higher in patients treated with first-generation BTKi than non-treated patients $(p<0.001)^4$.

Management of complications

- AF-related complications require anticoagulant and/or antiarrhythmic therapy, and regular monitoring to control the rhythm and cardiac frequency⁹.
- The CHA₂DS₂-VASc score (\geq 2) and the time from diagnosis determine the need for anticoagulants⁹. The CHA₂DS₂-VASc score predicts the risk of stroke in patients with AF based on the presence of congestive heart failure, hypertension, age, diabetes, stroke, vascular disease and gender.

Treatment patterns

- Direct oral anticoagulants are preferred over vitamin K antagonists and lowmolecular-weight-heparin due to the lower risk of major bleeding, favorable risk-benefit profile and easy administration¹⁰⁻¹³.

()

143 studies eligible for

31 studies included

RCT, randomized clinical trial.

CONCLUSIONS

- Beta-blockers should be favored in patients with heart failure or at risk of ventricular dysfunction^{10,14}.
- When AF or other cardiovascular events are no longer controllable, the dose should be reduced, or treatment should be withdrawn¹⁵.
- There is scarce and heterogeneous information about AF in onco-hematological patients in Europe.
- Available evidence reports a high risk of developing AF associated with the use of first-generation BTKi and comorbidities. Further studies are needed to help understand the clinical and economic burden of AF in onco-hematological patients in the European countries.
- Due to the constant development of pharmacological innovations in onco-hematology, periodic updates of the literature are required.



Presented at:

LXIV Congreso Nacional de la SEHH XXXVIII Congreso Nacional de la SETH 38th World Congress of the ISH October 6-8, 2022 | Barcelona, Spain

REFERENCES

1. López-Fernández T, et al. Rev Esp Cardiol. 2019;72(9):749–759; 2. Swarup G, et al. Blood. 2019;134 (Supplement 1):2200; 3. Pellegrini L, et al. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2021;159:103238; ; 4. Brown JR, et al. Haematologica. 2017;102(10):1796-1805; 5. Paydas S. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2019;136:56-63, 6. Iskierka-Jażdżewska E, et al. Expert Rev Hematol. 2020;13(1):39-53; 7. Baptiste F, et al. Open Heart. 2019;6(1):e001049; 8. Caldeira D, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019 9. Leader A, et al. PLOS ONE. 2019;14(2):e0211228 2019;14(2):e0219 al. Eur J Intern Med. 2020;77:86-96; 10. García Bacelar A, et al. SEHH-SETH. 2021; PB-043; 11. Olivera Sumire P, et al. SEHH-SETH. 2019; CO-176; 12. Stühlinger MC, et al. Wien Klin Wochenschr. 2020;132(3-4):97-109; 13. Paydas S. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2019;136:56-63 14. Garrido S, et al. SEHH-SETH; 15. O'Brien SM, et al. Front Oncol. 2021;11:720704.