

## Global, randomized, phase 3 study of tislelizumab plus chemotherapy versus placebo plus chemotherapy as first-line treatment for advanced/metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (RATIONALE-306 update): minimum 3-year survival follow-up

**Authors:** Rossana Berardi,<sup>1\*\*</sup> Harry H. Yoon,<sup>2</sup> Ken Kato,<sup>3</sup> Eric Raymond,<sup>4</sup> Richard Hubner,<sup>5</sup> Yongqian Shu,<sup>6</sup> Yueyin Pan,<sup>7</sup> Yi Jiang,<sup>8</sup> Jingdong Zhang,<sup>9</sup> Sook Ryun Park,<sup>10</sup> Takashi Kojima,<sup>11</sup> Chen-Yuan Lin,<sup>12</sup> Lucjan Wyrwicz,<sup>13</sup> David Tougeron,<sup>14</sup> Ryu Ishihara,<sup>15</sup> Liyun Li,<sup>16</sup> Hongqian Wu,<sup>17</sup> Yanyan Peng,<sup>18</sup> Shican Yan,<sup>16</sup> Jianming Xu<sup>19</sup>

**Affiliations:** <sup>1</sup>Scuola di Specializzazione in Oncologia Medica, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; <sup>3</sup>National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan; <sup>4</sup>Centre Hospitalier Paris Saint-Joseph, Paris, France; <sup>5</sup>The Christie NHS Foundation Trust and Division of Cancer Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK; <sup>6</sup>The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China; <sup>7</sup>Anhui Provincial Hospital, Hefei, China; <sup>8</sup>Cancer Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, China; <sup>9</sup>Liaoning Cancer Hospital, Shenyang, China; <sup>10</sup>Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea; <sup>11</sup>National Cancer Center, Hospital East, Chiba, Japan; <sup>12</sup>China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan; <sup>13</sup>Maria Skłodowska-Curie National Cancer Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland; <sup>14</sup>CHU de Poitiers, Poitiers, France; <sup>15</sup>Osaka International Cancer Institute, Osaka, Japan; <sup>16</sup>Clinical Development, BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China; <sup>17</sup>Global Statistics and Data Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., Ridgefield Park, NJ, USA; <sup>18</sup>Clinical Biomarker, BeiGene (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China; <sup>19</sup>Fifth Medical Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** RATIONALE-306 (NCT03783442) is the first global study to investigate anti-programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) therapy in combination with different chemotherapy (CT) options in the first-line (1L) treatment of advanced/metastatic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). At interim analysis (IA), tislelizumab (TIS; anti-PD-1 mAb) + CT demonstrated a statistically significant, clinically meaningful improvement in OS vs placebo (PBO) + CT, with a manageable safety profile. Here, we report updated efficacy and safety data with minimum 3 years' follow-up (FU) after unblinding at IA.

**Materials (Patients) and Methods:** Eligible adults with previously untreated unresectable locally advanced recurrent/metastatic ESCC were randomized (1:1; stratified by region, prior definitive therapy, and investigator [INV]-chosen CT) to receive TIS 200 mg (Arm A) or PBO (Arm B) IV every 3 weeks + CT (platinum + fluoropyrimidine or platinum + paclitaxel), until disease progression or intolerable toxicity. The primary endpoint was OS in the ITT population. Secondary endpoints included PFS, ORR, and DoR, all per INV, and safety.

**Results:** In total, 649 pts were randomized (Arm A, n=326; Arm B, n=323). At a minimum study FU of 36.0 months, improvements in OS, PFS, and DoR in Arm A vs B (**Table**) were maintained, similar to IA. The HR for OS with TIS + CT vs PBO + CT was 0.70 (95% CI, 0.59-0.83). Similar to IA, incidences of any-grade (96.6% vs 96.3%) or grade  $\geq 3$  (67.0% vs 64.5%) treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs) were comparable between Arms A and B, respectively. In Arm A versus B, TRAEs leading to death occurred in 1.9% and 1.2%, respectively.

**Conclusions:** After minimum 3 years' FU, 1L TIS + CT continued to demonstrate clinically meaningful improvements in OS and PFS and durable antitumor response benefit vs PBO + CT in pts with advanced/metastatic ESCC, with no new safety signals.

**Table.**

	<b>Arm A: TIS + CT (n=326)</b>	<b>Arm B: PBO + CT (n=323)</b>
Median OS, mo (95% CI)	17.2 (15.8, 20.1)	10.6 (9.3, 12.0)
36-mo OS, % (95% CI)	22.1 (17.6, 27.0)	14.1 (10.4, 18.4)
36-mo PFS, % (95% CI)	15.0 (10.8, 19.9)	2.9 (1.1, 6.2)
36-mo DoR, % (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	17.7 (12.3, 24.0)	5.0 (1.5, 11.8)

<sup>a</sup>Among responders (Arm A, n=207; Arm B, n=137)  
mo, month(s)