

EFFICACY AND SAFETY OF ZANUBRUTINIB VERSUS RITUXIMAB-BASED CHEMOIMMUNOTHERAPY IN WALDENSTRÖM MACROGLOBULINEMIA (WM): MATCHING-ADJUSTED INDIRECT COMPARISONS

<u>Jorge J. Castillo</u>¹, Keri Yang², Rongzhe Liu³, Yu Wang⁴, Aileen Cohen², Todd Zimmerman², Qian Zhao⁵, Xin Gao³, Boxiong Tang²

¹ Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston | ² BeiGene, San Mateo | ³ OPEN Health, Bethesda, United States | ⁴ BeiGene (Shanghai) Co., Ltd | ⁵ OPEN Health, Shanghai, China

INTRODUCTION

- Waldenström macroglobulinemia (WM) is a rare, indolent B-cell lymphoma, commonly treated with rituximab-based regimens or Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitors (BTKi)
- In June 2020, the European Medicines Agency accepted a marketing authorization application for Zanubrutinib, an orally administered BTK inhibitor, for the treatment of adult patients who have received at least one prior therapy or as first-line treatment for patients unsuitable for chemoimmunotherapies, based on the results of the ASPEN trial (NCT03053440) in which adult patients with WM were randomized to zanubrutinib or ibrutinib
- However, there is a lack of randomized trials directly comparing zanubrutinib with chemoimmunotherapies

OBJECTIVES

 To indirectly compare zanubrutinib with bendamustine-rituximab (BR) and with dexamethasone-rituximab-cyclophosphamide (DRC), the most commonly administered chemoimmunotherapy regimens

METHODS

- Two single-arm studies identified in a systematic literature review (conducted in September 2020) were included in the indirect treatment comparison: Tedeschi et al. for BR¹ and Dimopoulos et al. /Kastritis et al. for DRC²,³
- Using the algorithm proposed by Signorovitch et al.⁴, matching-adjusted indirect comparisons (MAICs) were conducted to re-weight the individual data of 102 WM patients (83 relapsed/refractory [R/R] and 19 treatment-naïve [TN] unsuitable for chemoimmunotherapy) treated with zanubrutinib in the ASPEN trial (NCT03053440) so that the weighted average baseline characteristics of zanubrutinib patients could match those of 71 R/R patients treated with BR, and 72 TN patients treated with DRC separately
- Matching variables for MAIC with BR included age, prior lines of therapy, serum IgM level, International Prognostic Scoring System for WM score (IPSSWM), and extramedullary disease (EMD); and for MAIC with DRC included age, platelet count, hemoglobin level, and EMD
- For baseline patient characteristics and safety outcomes, summary mean estimates were extracted from comparator trial publications wherever available
- For survival outcomes, Kaplan-Meier curves of progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) of comparators were digitized to re-create patient-level data
- Comparisons of survival and adverse event incidence between treatments were conducted using Cox proportional-hazard models and modified Poisson models

RESULTS

 After matching, the baseline characteristics were well balanced for each of the treatment comparisons (*Table 1* and *Table 2*)

RESULTS (CONT'D)

- Compared to DRC, zanubrutinib was associated with (Table 3)
 - **Significantly longer PFS** (*HR* [95%CI]: 0.39 [0.18-0.82] and 0.35 [0.14-0.86] preand post-matching, respectively)
 - Longer OS (*HR* [95%CI]: 0.56 [0.20-1.53] and 0.47 [0.14-1.62] pre- and post-matching, respectively)
 - Higher incidences of neutropenia (*RR* [95%CI]: 1.63 [0.71-3.77] and 1.47 [0.58-3.74] pre- and post-matching, respectively)
- Compared to BR, zanubrutinib was associated with (Table 3)
 - **Significantly longer PFS** (*HR* [95%CI]: 0.32 [0.15-0.69] and 0.37 [0.15-0.91] preand post-matching, respectively)
 - **Significantly longer OS** (*HR* [95%CI]: 0.31 [0.12-0.80] and 0.29 [0.10-0.85] preand post-matching, respectively)
- Significantly lower incidence of neutropenia (RR [95%CI]: 0.45 [0.26-0.78], and 0.50 [0.27-0.91] pre- and post-matching, respectively)
- Lower incidence of pneumonia (*RR* [95%CI]: 0.18 [0.02-1.55], and 0.26 [0.03-2.28] pre-and post-matching, respectively)

Abbreviations: HR = hazard ratio; RR = risk ratio; 95% CI = 95% confidence interval

Table 1. Baseline characteristics before and after adjustment for ASPEN zanubrutinib arm vs BR population

Baseline Characteristics	Patients, %			
	Zanubrutinib, Unadjusted n=102	BR n=71	Zanubrutinib, Adjusted n _{eff} =50	
Age ≤72 years	57.8	50.0	50.0	
0-2 prior lines of therapy	79.4	50.0	50.0	
IgM ≤38.15 g/L	63.7	50.0	50.0	
IPSSWM score, intermediate risk	37.3	30.4	30.4	
IPSSWM score, high risk	46.1	48.2	48.2	
Presence of extramedullary disease: either splenomegaly or adenopathy (by investigator)	61.8	42.3	42.3	

Abbreviations: $BR = bendamustine\ rituximab;\ IgM = Immunoglobulin\ M;\ IPSSWM = International\ Prognostic\ Scoring\ System\ for\ Waldenström\ macroglobulinemia;\ n_{eff} = effective\ sample\ size$

Table 2. Baseline characteristics before and after adjustment for ASPEN zanubrutinib arm vs DRC population

	Patients, %			
Baseline Characteristics	Zanubrutinib, Unadjusted n=102	DRC n=72	Zanubrutinib, Adjusted n _{eff} =53	
Age ≤65 years	40.2	37.5	37.5	
Age 65-≤69 years	6.9	12.5	12.5	
Age >69 years	52.9	50.0	50.0	
Platelet count <100 ×109/L	11.8	4.2	4.2	
Hemoglobin <100 g/L	47.1	56.9	56.9	
Presence of extramedullary disease: lymphadenopathy (by investigator)	59.8	38.9	38.9	
Presence of extramedullary disease: splenomegaly (by investigator)	15.7	31.9	31.9	

Abbreviations: DRC = dexamethasone, rituximab, and cyclophosphamide; $n_{\it eff}$ = effective sample size

RESULTS (CONT'D)

Table 3. Survival and adverse event incidence of zanubrutinib vs BR/DRC pre- and post-matching adjustments

Outcomes	Zanubrutinib pre- matching (N=102)	Zanubrutinib vs DRC		Zanubrutinil	Zanubrutinib vs BR	
		Zanubrutinib post- matching DRC (n _{eff} = 53)	DRC (N=72)	Zanubrutinib post- matching BR (n _{eff} =50)	BR (N=71)	
PFS, 12-mo rate, %	94	92	85	94	79	
PFS, 24-mo rate, %	85	90	68	81	59	
OS, 12-mo rate, %	97	95	92	98	87	
OS, 24-mo rate, %	90	94	85	88	77	
Anaemia, %	5.0	4.2	NR	3.6	NR	
Hypertension, %	5.9	3.1	NR	9.5	NR	
Neutropenia, %	15.8	14.3	9.7	17.5	35.2	
Pneumonia, %	1.0	0.6	NR	1.5	5.6	
Thrombocytopenia, %	5.9	4.4	0.0	5.2	NR	

Abbreviations: BR = bendamustine-rituximab; DRC = dexamethasone-rituximab-cyclophosphamide; mo = month; n_{eff} = effective sample size; NR = not reported; OS = overall survival; PFS = progression-free survival

CONCLUSION

Zanubrutinib demonstrated significantly longer PFS than DRC, and significantly longer PFS and OS and lower incidence of neutropenia than BR in WM, both before and after matching adjustments based on patient characteristics

DISCUSSION

Limitations

- The differences in patient populations (a mixed population for zanubrutinib [81% R/R and 19% TN unsuitable for chemoimmunotherapy] versus 100% TN [suitable for chemoimmunotherapy] population for DRC and 100% R/R population for BR) might have led to an underestimation of the relative clinical benefit of zanubrutinib compared with DRC and a potential bias for the comparison of zanubrutinib to BR
- It is rarely possible to completely adjust for all unobserved or unreported baseline patient characteristics, which is a general limitation of a MAIC. Despite that, the outcome comparison was conducted before and after matching adjustment, which consistently showed the survival benefit of zanubrutinib compared with the comparators

REFERENCES

- 1. Tedeschi A, et al. Bendamustine and rituximab combination is safe and effective as salvage regimen in Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. Leuk Lymphoma. 2015;56(9):2637-2642.
- 2. Dimopoulos MA, et al. Primary treatment of Waldenström macroglobulinemia with dexamethasone, rituximab, and cyclophosphamide. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25(22):3344-3349.
- 3. Kastritis E, et al. Dexamethasone, rituximab, and cyclophosphamide as primary treatment of Waldenström macroglobulinemia: final analysis of a phase 2 study. Blood. 2015;126(11):1392-1394.
- 4. Signorovitch JE, et al. Matching-adjusted indirect comparisons: a new tool for timely comparative effectiveness research. Value Health. 2012;15(6):940-947.