

### Results from a global Phase 2 study of tislelizumab, an investigational PD-1 antibody, in patients with previously treated advanced hepatocellular carcinoma

Michel Ducreux,<sup>1\*</sup> <u>Ghassan K Abou-Alfa,<sup>2,3\*</sup></u> Zhenggang Ren,<sup>4</sup> Julien Edeline,<sup>5</sup> Zhiwei Li,<sup>6</sup> Eric Assenat,<sup>7</sup> Lorenza Rimassa,<sup>8,9</sup> Jean Frederic Blanc,<sup>10</sup> Paul J Ross,<sup>11</sup> Weijia Fang,<sup>12</sup> Sheng Hu,<sup>13</sup> Tao Zhang,<sup>14</sup> Albert Tran,<sup>15</sup> Hongming Pan,<sup>16</sup> Chia-Jui Yen,<sup>17</sup> John Wu,<sup>18</sup> Vincent Li,<sup>19</sup> Sandra Chica-Dugue,<sup>18</sup> Philippe Merle,<sup>20</sup> Ann-Lii Cheng<sup>21</sup>

#### \*Co-first authors

<sup>1</sup>Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France; <sup>2</sup>Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA; <sup>3</sup>Weill Medical College at Cornell University, New York, NY, USA; <sup>4</sup>Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China; <sup>6</sup>Centre Eugène Marquis, Rennes, France; <sup>6</sup>The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China; <sup>7</sup>CHRU Saint Eloi, Montpellier, France; <sup>8</sup>Humanitas University, Pieve Emanuele, Italy; <sup>9</sup>IRCCS Humanitas Research Hospital, Rozzano, Italy; <sup>10</sup>Groupe Hospitalier Sud - Hôpital Haut Lévêque, Bordeaux, France; <sup>11</sup>Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; <sup>12</sup>The First Affiliated Hospital Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China; <sup>13</sup>Hubei Cancer Hospital, Wuhan, China; <sup>14</sup>Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China; <sup>15</sup>Hôpital l'Archet 2, Nice, France; <sup>16</sup>Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China; <sup>17</sup>National Cheng Kung University Hospital, Tainan, Taiwan; <sup>18</sup>BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; <sup>19</sup>BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China; <sup>20</sup>Hospital La Croix-Rousse, Lyon, France; <sup>21</sup>National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

#### 30 JUNE – 3 JULY 2021

### Disclosure of Conflict of Interest

- Personal fees from BeiGene, Berry Genomics, Celgene, CytomX, Eisai, Eli Lilly, Exelixis, Flatiron, Genentech/Roche, Genoscience, Helio, Incyte, Ipsen, Legend Biotech, Loxo, Merck, MINA, QED, Redhill, Rafael, Silenseed, Sillajen, Sobi, Surface Oncology, Therabionics, Twoxar, Vector and Yiviva
- Grants from Arcus, Agios, Astra Zeneca, Bayer, BioNtech, BMS, Celgene, Flatiron, Genentech/Roche, Genoscience, Incyte, Polaris, Puma, QED, Sillajen and Yiviva
- Patent in 'articles and methods for preventing and treating dermatologic adverse events', identified by International Patent Application No. PCT/US2014/031545 filed on March 24, 2014, and priority application Serial No.: 61/804,907; Filed: March 25, 2013



#### Tislelizumab: A Novel Monoclonal Anti-PD-1 Antibody

- Tislelizumab is an anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody with high affinity and specificity for PD-1, engineered to minimize binding to FcγR on macrophages to limit antibody-dependent phagocytosis, a mechanism of T-cell clearance and a potential mechanism of resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy<sup>1</sup>
- Single-agent tislelizumab (200 mg) Q3W has been found to be well tolerated, and has shown antitumor activity in patients with advanced solid tumors, including HCC<sup>2,3</sup>



Gastrointestinal

1. Zhang T, et al. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2018;67(7):1079-1090; 2. Desai J, et al. *J Immunother Cancer* 2020;8:e000453; 3. Shen L, et al. *J Immunother Cancer* 2020;8:e000437 Ab, antibody; FcγR, Fc gamma receptor; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; PD-1, programmed cell death protein 1; PD-L1, programmed death ligand 1; Q3W, every 3 weeks; TCR, T-cell receptor

# RATIONALE 208: A Global Single-Arm Phase 2 Study of Tislelizumab for HCC



Radiological assessments were performed every 6 weeks for the first 18 weeks and then every 9 weeks thereafter \*At least 100 patients were to be enrolled who had 1 line of prior systemic therapy; at least 100 patients were to be enrolled who had ≥2 lines of prior therapy

- Primary endpoint was ORR by IRC per RECIST v1.1
- Secondary endpoints included:
  - DOR, PFS, DCR, and CBR assessed by IRC, and OS
  - ORR, DOR, PFS, DCR and CBR assessed by investigators
  - The safety/tolerability profile of tislelizumab

BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; CBR, clinical benefit rate; DCR, disease control rate; DOR, duration of response; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance score; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; IRC, independent review committee; IV, intravenous; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PD-1, programmed cell death protein 1; PD-L1, programmed death ligand 1; PFS, progression-free survival; Q3W, every 3 weeks; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors



#### Demographics and Baseline Disease Characteristics

		Overall (N=249)	1 prior line (n=138)	≥2 prior lines (n=111)
Median Age, years (range)		62 (28, 90)	63.5 (28, 90)	60 (28, 82)
Male, n (%)		217 (87.1)	121 (87.7)	96 (86.5)
Pagion n (%)	Mainland China & Taiwan	122 (49.0)	72 (52.2)	50 (45.0)
	Europe	127 (51.0)	66 (47.8)	61 (55.0)
ECOG PS, n (%)	0	129 (51.8)	70 (50.7)	59 (53.2)
	1	120 (48.2)	68 (49.3)	52 (46.8)
BCIC Staging p (%)	В	24 (9.6)	14 (10.1)	10 (9.0)
BCLC Staging, n (%)	С	225 (90.4)	124 (89.9)	101 (91.0)
Child-Pugh, n (%)	A	248 (99.6) <sup>a</sup>	138 (100)	110 (99.1) <sup>a</sup>
Extrahepatic Spread, n (%)		200 (80.3)	113 (81.9)	87 (78.4)
Macrovascular Invasion, n (%)		45 (18.1)	22 (15.9)	23 (20.7)
PD-L1 Expression, n (%) <sup>b</sup>	Positive (TC ≥1%)	15 (6.0)	10 (7.2)	5 (4.5)
	Negative (TC 0%)	143 (57.4)	84 (60.9)	59 (53.2)
	Unknown	91 (36.5)	44 (31.9)	47 (42.3)
Baseline $\alpha$ -fetoprotein, $\mu$ g/L, n (%)	>400	112 (45.0) <sup>c</sup>	53 (38.4) <sup>c</sup>	59 (53.2)
	Hepatitis B	128 (51.4) <sup>d</sup>	72 (52.2)	56 (50.5)
HCC Etiology, n (%)	Hepatitis C	36 (14.5)	21 (15.2)	15 (13.5)
	Non-viral	90 (36.1)	46 (33.3)	44 (39.6)
Median Duration of Study Follow-up, months (range)		12.4 (0.1, 21.4)	13.3 (0.1, 21.4)	11.9 (0.7, 20.2)

Data cut-off date is 27 Feb 2020. <sup>a</sup>One patient had Child-Pugh classification B at study entry; <sup>b</sup>PD-L1 expression centrally assessed by immunohistochemistry with the Ventana SP263 assay; <sup>c</sup>α-fetoprotein at baseline missing in one patient; <sup>d</sup>Five patients had hepatitis B/hepatitis C co-infection (n=1, 1 prior line; n=4, ≥2 prior lines)



BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance score; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; PD-L1, programmed death ligand 1; TC, tumor cells

## Antitumor Activity of Tislelizumab (IRC)

	Overall (N=249)	1 prior line (n=138)	≥2 prior lines (n=111)
ORR (CR+PR), % (95% CI)	13.3 (9.3, 18.1)	13.8 (8.5, 20.7)	12.6 (7.1, 20.3)
CR, n (%)	3 (1.2)	2 (1.4)	1 (0.9)
PR, n (%)	30 (12.0)	17 (12.3)	13 (11.7)
SD, n (%)	97 (39.0)	52 (37.7)	45 (40.5)
PD, n (%)	107 (43.0)	60 (43.5)	47 (42.3)
Not assessable, n (%) <sup>a</sup>	10 (4.0)	5 (3.6)	5 (4.5)
DCR (CR+PR+SD), % (95% CI)	53.0 (46.6, 59.3)	52.9 (44.2, 61.5)	53.2 (43.5, 62.7)
CBR (CR+PR+SD ≥24 weeks), % (95% CI)	24.1 (18.9, 29.9)	26.1 (19.0, 34.2)	21.6 (14.4, 30.4)
Response duration ≥12 months, % (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	79.2 (59.3, 90.2)	82.6 (55.2, 94.1)	73.0 (35.3, 90.9)

· Antitumor activity assessed by investigator was similar to IRC

Data cut-off date is 27 Feb 2020

<sup>a</sup>No post-baseline assessment or an unevaluable post-baseline assessment

<sup>b</sup>Based on Kaplan-Meier estimation assessed in patients that achieved a CR or PR

CBR, clinical benefit rate; CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; DCR, disease control rate; IRC, independent review committee; ORR, objective response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease



### Duration of Response (IRC)



- At data cut-off, 22 (66.7%) of the 33 responses were ongoing
- Median duration of response was not reached despite a median response follow-up of 11.7 months
- The event-free rate at 12 months was 79.2% (95% CI: 59.3%, 90.2%)



### Best Percent Change From Baseline in Tumor Burden (IRC)



Data cut-off date is 27 Feb 2020 CR, complete response; IRC, independent review committee; PR, partial response

#### Subgroup Analysis of ORR per RECIST v1.1

		Response/Patient	S						ORR, % (95% CI)
Candar	Male	30/217			-	-			13.8 (9.5, 19.1)
Gender	Female	3/32							9.4 (2.0, 25.0)
Region	Mainland China 8	& Taiwan 16/122							13.1 (7.7, 20.4)
	Europe	17/127			•				13.4 (8.0, 20.6)
	0	18/129							14.0 (8.5, 21.2)
ECOG PS	1	15/120				-			12.5 (7.2, 19.8)
DOL O Staging	В	3/24		-					12.5 (2.7, 32.4)
BOLC Staging	С	30/225		_					13.3 (9.2, 18.5)
Extrahanatia Caraad	Present	29/200				-			14.5 (9.9, 20.2)
Extrahepatic Spread	Absent	4/49				-			8.2 (2.3, 19.6)
Macrovascular Invasion	Present	7/45			-				15.6 (6.5, 29.5)
	Absent	26/204							12.7 (8.5, 18.1)
	Positive	2/15			-				13.3 (1.7, 40.5)
PD-L1 Expression	Negative	19/143				-			13.3 (8.2, 20.0)
	Unknown	12/91				_			13.2 (7.0, 21.9)
Deseline feterentein	≤400 µg/L	19/136							14.0 (8.6, 21.0)
Baseline $\alpha$ -tetoprotein	>400 µg/L	14/112				_			12.5 (7.0, 20.1)
	Non-viral	10/90	-			-			11.1 (5.5, 19.5)
HCC Etiology	HBVª	16/128				-			12.5 (7.3, 19.5)
	HCV	7/31				-			22.6 (9.6, 41.1)
			0 5	10	15	20 25	30	35 40	45
			- •		Objectiv	e Response	Rate (%)		-

Data cut-off date is 27 Feb 2020

<sup>a</sup>Five patients had hepatitis B/hepatitis C co-infection

BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; CI, confidence interval; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; HBV, hepatitis B; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV, hepatitis C; PD-L1, programmed death ligand 1; ORR, objective response rate; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours









### Progression-Free Survival (IRC)

	Overall (N=249)	1 prior line (n=138)	≥2 prior lines (n=111)
Events, n (%)	198 (79.5)	111 (80.4)	87 (78.4)
Progressive disease	187 (75.1)	103 (74.6)	84 (75.7)
Death	11 (4.4)	8 (5.8)	3 (2.7)
Patients censored, n (%)	51 (20.5)	27 (19.6)	24 (21.6)
Median PFS (95% CI), months <sup>a</sup>	2.7 (1.4, 2.8)	2.6 (1.4, 2.8)	2.7 (1.4, 2.8)
Median follow-up (95% Cl), months <sup>b</sup>	16.4 (14.3, 16.6)	16.6 (16.4, 18.5)	12.3 (7.5, 14.5)

• PFS assessed by investigator was similar to IRC

Data cut-off date is 27 Feb 2020

<sup>a</sup>Medians were estimated by Kaplan-Meier method with their 95% CIs estimated using the method of Brookmeyer and Crowley; <sup>b</sup>Follow-up was calculated by reverse Kaplan-Meier method CI, confidence interval; IRC, independent review committee; PFS, progression-free survival



#### Summary of Adverse Events

	Overall (N=249)	1 prior line (n=138)	≥2 prior lines (n=111)
Treatment-emergent adverse events, n (%)	236 (94.8)	130 (94.2)	106 (95.5)
Grade ≥3	121 (48.6)	69 (50.0)	52 (46.8)
Serious	90 (36.1)	52 (37.7)	38 (34.2)
Led to discontinuation	26 (10.4)	16 (11.6)	10 (9.0)
Led to death <sup>a</sup>	2 (0.8)	0 (0.0)	2 (1.8)
Led to dose delay	77 (30.9)	44 (31.9)	33 (29.7)
Treatment-related adverse events, n (%)	158 (63.5)	91 (65.9)	67 (60.4)
Grade ≥3	36 (14.5)	24 (17.4)	12 (10.8)
Serious	17 (6.8)	13 (9.4)	4 (3.6)
Led to discontinuation	12 (4.8)	9 (6.5)	3 (2.7)
Led to death <sup>a</sup>	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Led to dose delay	43 (17.3)	25 (18.1)	18 (16.2)

Data cut-off date is 27 Feb 2020 <sup>a</sup>Death events due to disease progression were excluded AE, adverse event



#### Most Common Treatment-Related Adverse Events

TRAEs occurring in  $\geq$ 5% of overall population

	Overall (N=249)		1 prior line (n=138)		≥2 prior lines (n=111)	
	All grades	Grade ≥3	All grades	Grade ≥3	All grades	Grade ≥3
Increased AST	32 (12.9)	7 (2.8)	18 (13.0)	5 (3.6)	14 (12.6)	2 (1.8)
Increased ALT	23 (9.2)	3 (1.2)	13 (9.4)	2 (1.4)	10 (9.0)	1 (0.9)
Asthenia	19 (7.6)	0 (0.0)	9 (6.5)	0 (0.0)	10 (9.0)	0 (0.0)
Hypothyroidism	19 (7.6)	0 (0.0)	9 (6.5)	0 (0.0)	10 (9.0)	0 (0.0)
Increased blood bilirubin	17 (6.8)	1 (0.4)	12 (8.7)	1 (0.7)	5 (4.5)	0 (0.0)
Pruritus	17 (6.8)	0 (0.0)	10 (7.2)	0 (0.0)	7 (6.3)	0 (0.0)
Rash <sup>a</sup>	15 (6.0)	1 (0.4)	8 (5.8)	0 (0.0)	7 (6.3)	1 (0.9)
Diarrhea	14 (5.6)	1 (0.4)	6 (4.3)	1 (0.7)	8 (7.2)	0 (0.0)
Pyrexia	14 (5.6)	0 (0.0)	7 (5.1)	0 (0.0)	7 (6.3)	0 (0.0)
Increased blood creatine phosphokinase MB	13 (5.2)	0 (0.0)	7 (5.1)	0 (0.0)	6 (5.4)	0 (0.0)
Fatigue	13 (5.2)	2 (0.8)	7 (5.1)	1 (0.7)	6 (5.4)	1 (0.9)

Data cut-off date is 27 Feb 2020

Data presented as n (%)

alncludes papular rash

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; MB, myocardial band; TRAE, treatment-related adverse event



### Conclusions

- Tislelizumab demonstrated encouraging and durable clinical activity in patients with HCC who had received at least one prior systemic therapy
  - Objective response rate per IRC was 13.3%
  - While median DOR was not reached (response follow-up of 11.7 months), 66.7% of patients had ongoing responses at data cut-off, and the 12-month event-free rate was 79.2%
- Median OS was 13.2 months
- Tislelizumab demonstrated consistent response and survival estimates regardless of the number of prior treatment lines, HCC etiology, and region
- Tislelizumab monotherapy was generally well tolerated and no new safety signals were identified
  - Adverse events were consistent with the overall safety profile of tislelizumab observed in previous studies and were generally of low severity
- These results show clinical activity and efficacy of tislelizumab in patients with HCC with a high unmet medical need. This is despite the lack of randomization against a standard of care. A large, global, randomized Phase 3 study comparing tislelizumab with sorafenib as a first-line treatment in adult patients with advanced HCC (NCT03412773) is currently ongoing



DOR, duration of response; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; IRC, independent review committee; OS, overall survival

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the patients and their families for their participation in the study, and the global investigators and site personnel for their support during the conduct of this important trial



This study is sponsored by BeiGene Ltd. Medical writing support for the development of this presentation and associated abstract, under direction of the authors, was provided by Regina Switzer, PhD, and Elizabeth Hermans, PhD, of OPEN Health Medical Communications, and Kirsty Millar, MSc, of Ashfield MedComms, an Ashfield Health company, and was funded by BeiGene Ltd.

