Extended follow-up of ALPINE randomized phase 3 study confirms sustained superior progression-free survival of zanubrutinib versus ibrutinib for treatment of relapsed/refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia and small lymphocytic lymphoma (R/R CLL/SLL)

Authors: Emmanuelle Ferrant¹, Jennifer R. Brown², Barbara Eichhorst³, Nicole Lamanna⁴, Susan M. O'Brien⁵, Constantine S. Tam^{6,7}, Lugui Qiu⁸, Wojciech Jurczak⁹, Keshu Zhou¹⁰, Martin Simkovic^{11,12}, Jiri Mayer¹³, Amanda Gillespie-Twardy¹⁴, Alessandra Ferrajoli¹⁵, Peter S. Ganly¹⁶, Robert Weinkove^{17,18}, Sebastian Grosicki¹⁹, Andrzej Mital²⁰, Tadeusz Robak²¹, Anders Osterborg^{22,23}, Habte A. Yimer²⁴, Megan (Der Yu) Wang²⁵, Tommi Salmi²⁶, Liping Wang²⁷, Jessica Li²⁷, Kenneth Wu²⁵, Aileen Cohen²⁵, Mazyar Shadman^{28,29}

Affiliations: ¹Département Hématologie, CHU de Lyon-Sud, France; ²Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; ³Department of Internal Medicine, University of Cologne, Center for Integrated Oncology Aachen, Bonn, Cologne, Duesseldorf, Cologne, Germany; ⁴Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA; ⁵Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA; ⁶The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ⁷University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ⁸State Key Laboratory of Experimental Hematology, National Clinical Research Center for Hematological Disorders, Institute of Hematology and Blood Diseases Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Tianjin, China; 9MSC National Research Institute of Oncology, Krakow, Poland; ¹⁰Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China; ¹¹4th Department of Internal Medicine – Haematology, University Hospital Hradec Kralove, Czech Republic; ¹²Faculty of Medicine in Hradec Kralove, Charles University, Czech Republic; ¹³Department of Internal Medicine-Hematology and Oncology, Masaryk University and University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic; ¹⁴Blue Ridge Cancer Care, Roanoke, VA, USA; ¹⁵Department of Leukemia, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; ¹⁶Department of Haematology, Christchurch Hospital, Christchurch, New Zealand; ¹⁷Te Rerenga Ora Blood and Cancer Centre, Te Whatu Ora Health New Zealand Capital Coast & Hutt Valley, Wellington, New Zealand; ¹⁸Cancer Immunotherapy Programme, Malaghan Institute of Medical Research, Wellington, New Zealand; ¹⁹Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland; ²⁰Department of Hematology and Transplantology, Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland; ²¹Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland; ²²Department of Oncology-Pathology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ²³Department of Hematology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; ²⁴Texas Oncology-Tyler/US Oncology Research, Tyler, TX, USA; ²⁵BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ²⁶BeiGene International GmbH, Basel, Switzerland; ²⁷BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China; ²⁸Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, WA, USA; ²⁹Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

ABSTRACT

Introduction: In the randomized, phase 3 ALPINE study (NCT03734016), zanubrutinib demonstrated superior progression-free survival (PFS) and overall response rate (ORR) over ibrutinib in patients with R/R CLL/SLL (Brown et al. *NEJM*; 2022). Here, we report updated results after more than 3 years of follow-up.

Patients and Methods: Patients with R/R CLL/SLL, ≥1 prior therapy, and measurable disease were randomized 1:1 to receive zanubrutinib or ibrutinib. Efficacy was evaluated by the investigator based on 2008 iwCLL criteria; sensitivity analyses were conducted to confirm PFS results. Updated safety analyses were performed. All reported *P*-values are descriptive.

Results: As of 15-September-2023, 652 patients received zanubrutinib (n=327) or ibrutinib (n=325); 194 (59%) remain on zanubrutinib and 152 (47%) on ibrutinib. 130 zanubrutinib-treated and 172 ibrutinib-treated patients discontinued treatment, most commonly due to AE (n=69, zanubrutinib; n=88, ibrutinib) or disease progression (n=51, zanubrutinib; n=62, ibrutinib). At a median study follow-up of 39.0 months, PFS benefit of zanubrutinib was sustained over ibrutinib (HR: 0.68 [95% CI, 0.53-0.86]; P=.0011); 36-month PFS rates were 64.9% with zanubrutinib and 54.8% with ibrutinib. PFS benefits with zanubrutinib were observed in the del(17p)/TP53 subgroup (HR: 0.52 [95% CI, 0.33-0.83]; P=.0047); 36-month PFS rates were 58.6% and 41.3%, respectively. The zanubrutinib PFS benefit was confirmed in a sensitivity analysis that included only progression and death events occurring on active treatment (HR: 0.69 [95% CI, 0.50-0.95]; P=.0206). ORR was 85.6% and 74.8% (P=.0006), CR/CRi rates were 10.7% and 7.1%, and 90.2% and 82.8% achieved PR-L or better with zanubrutinib and ibrutinib, respectively. 64 (19.6%) patients treated with zanubrutinib and 78 (24.0%) with ibrutinib had died (OS HR: 0.75 [95% CI, 0.54-1.05]); median OS was not reached.

The most common any-grade AE with zanubrutinib and ibrutinib was COVID-19 (39.2% vs 27.2%). The most common grade \geq 3 AE was neutropenia (both 17.3%). Overall cardiac events remained lower with zanubrutinib, including atrial fibrillation/flutter (6.8% vs 16.4%; P<.0001). No fatal cardiac events occurred with zanubrutinib, while 6 (1.9%) occurred with ibrutinib.

Conclusions: ALPINE was the first head-to-head comparison of BTK inhibitors to demonstrate PFS superiority. At median follow-up of 39 months, durable PFS benefits with zanubrutinib were observed across major subgroups, including multiple sensitivity analyses. Safety/tolerability profiles were consistent with previous reports; cardiac safety profile remained favorable for zanubrutinib, with no new safety signals emerging with longer follow-up. These results reconfirm zanubrutinib improved efficacy over ibrutinib and a more favorable safety profile in patients with R/R CLL/SLL.