## Zanubrutinib plus obinutuzumab versus obinutuzumab in patients with relapsed/refractory follicular lymphoma: Updated analysis of the ROSEWOOD study

**Authors:** Christopher R. Flowers, MD, MS, FASCO<sup>1</sup>; Pier Luigi Zinzani, MD, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Jiří Mayer, MD<sup>3</sup>; Fontanet Bijou, MD<sup>4</sup>; Ana C. de Oliveira, PhD<sup>5</sup>; Yuqin Song, MD, PhD<sup>6</sup>; Qingyuan Zhang, MD, PhD<sup>7</sup>; Michele Merli, MD<sup>8</sup>; Krimo Bouabdallah, MD<sup>9</sup>; Peter S. Ganly, BMBCh, PhD<sup>10</sup>; Huilai Zhang, MD, PhD<sup>11</sup>; Sam Yuen, MBBS, FRACP, FRCPA<sup>12</sup>; Edwin Kingsley, MD<sup>13</sup>; Sarit E. Assouline, MD, MSc, FRCPC<sup>14</sup>; Rebecca Auer, MRCP, FRPath, PhD<sup>15</sup>; Pil Kim, PhD<sup>16</sup>; Adam Greenbaum, MD, PhD<sup>16</sup>; Sha Huang, MD<sup>16</sup>; Richard Delarue, MD<sup>16</sup>; and Judith Trotman, MBChB, FRACP, FRCPA<sup>17</sup>

Affiliations: ¹Department of Lymphoma/Myeloma, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; ²Institute of Hematology "Seràgnoli", University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ³Department of Internal Medicine-Hematology and Oncology, Masaryk University and University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic; ⁴Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, France; ⁵Institut Català d'Oncologia (ICO) Hospital Duran i Reynals, Barcelona, Spain; <sup>6</sup>Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing, China; <sup>7</sup>Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital, Harbin, China; <sup>8</sup>Hematology, University Hospital "Ospedale di Circolo e Fondazione Macchi" - ASST Sette Laghi, University of Insubria, Varese, Italy; <sup>9</sup>Hôpital Haut-Lévêque, CHU Bordeaux, Pessac, France; <sup>10</sup>Department of Haematology, Christchurch Hospital, Christchurch, New Zealand; <sup>11</sup>Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute & Hospital, Tianjin, China; <sup>12</sup>Calvary Mater Newcastle, Waratah, NSW, Australia; <sup>13</sup>Comprehensive Cancer Centers of Nevada, Las Vegas, NV, USA; <sup>14</sup>Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, Canada; <sup>15</sup>St. Bartholomew's Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, United Kingdom; <sup>16</sup>BeiGene (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. Shanghai, China and BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; and <sup>17</sup>Concord Repatriation General Hospital, University of Sydney, Concord, NSW, Australia

**Background**: In an early-phase study, the combination of zanubrutinib plus obinutuzumab (ZO) was well tolerated and associated with an early signal of efficacy in patients (pts) with follicular lymphoma (FL) (Tam et al. *Blood Adv* 2020). ROSEWOOD (NCT03332017) is a phase 2, randomized study designed to assess efficacy and safety of ZO vs obinutuzumab (O) in pts with relapsed/refractory (R/R) FL. Here, we present an updated analysis with a median follow-up of 20.2 mo.

Methods: Pts with R/R FL (grade 1-3a) who received ≥2 lines of therapy including an anti-CD20 antibody and alkylating agent were randomized 2:1 to receive ZO or O. Zanubrutinib was given at 160 mg twice daily until progression or unacceptable toxicity. The primary endpoint was overall response rate (ORR) by independent central review. Secondary endpoints included duration of response (DOR), progression-free survival (PFS), time to next treatment (TTNT), overall survival (OS), and safety.

**Results**: A total of 217 pts were randomized (145 for ZO; 72 for O). Median age was 64 years. Of the 217 pts, 114 (52.5%) had a high Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index (FLIPI) score at screening and 123 (56.7%) pts had high tumor burden criteria according to Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes Folliculaires (GELF) criteria. Median number of prior lines of therapy

was 3 (range, 2-11). A total of 114 (52.5%) pts were refractory to rituximab; 214 (98.6%) pts received prior immunochemotherapy. Prior exposure to anticancer drugs included anthracyclines (80.6%), cyclophosphamide (94.0%), and bendamustine (54.8%). ORR was 69.0% (ZO) vs 45.8% (O) (P = 0.0012). Complete response rate was 39.3% (ZO) vs 19.4% (O); 18-mo DOR rate was 69.3% (ZO) vs 41.9% (O); median PFS was 28.0 mo (ZO) vs 10.4 mo (O) (hazard ratio [HR], 0.50 [95% CI: 0.33, 0.75]; P = 0.0007). Median TTNT was not evaluable for ZO and 12.2 mo for O (HR, 0.34 [95% CI: 0.22, 0.52]; P < 0.0001). Estimated OS rate at 24 mo was 77.3% (ZO) and 71.4% (O), with median OS not reached in either arm. Nonhematologic treatment-emergent adverse events of any grade that occurred more frequently for ZO vs O (>5% difference) were petechiae (6.3% vs 0%) and herpes zoster infection (6.3% vs 0%); in contrast, pyrexia (13.3% vs 19.7%) and infusion-related reaction (2.8% vs 9.9%) occurred more frequently in pts on O. When adjusted for duration of treatment exposure, incidences of infection and cytopenia were similar, and incidence of all grades of hemorrhage was 2.4 (ZO) vs 1.3 (O) persons per 100 person-months. Two pts in each treatment group reported major hemorrhage. Incidences of atrial fibrillation and hypertension were low and similar in both treatment arms.

**Conclusions**: ZO demonstrated meaningful activity and a manageable safety profile in pts with heavily pretreated R/R FL, representing a potential novel therapy.