Tislelizumab Versus Sorafenib in First-Line Treatment of Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC): RATIONALE-301 Japanese Subpopulation Analysis

Masatoshi Kudo^{*},¹ Atsushi Hiraoka,² Yasuhiro Takikawa,³ John Wu,⁴ Ramil Abdrashitov,⁵ Yaxi Chen,⁶ Frederic Boisserie,⁴ Kazuyoshi Ohkawa,⁷ Taroh Satoh⁸

*Corresponding and presenting author.

¹Kindai University Faculty of Medicine, Osaka, Japan; ²Ehime Prefectural Central Hospital, Matsuyama, Japan; ³Iwate Medical University School of Medicine, Yahaba, Japan; ⁴BeiGene (Ridgefield Park) Co., Ltd., Ridgefield Park, New Jersey, USA; ⁵BeiGene Co., Ltd., Fulton, Maryland, USA; ⁶BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China; ⁷Osaka International Cancer Institute, Osaka, Japan; ⁸Osaka University Hospital, Osaka, Japan.

Declaration of Conflict of Interests

Prof Masatoshi Kudo reports:

- Lecture fees from Eli Lilly, Bayer, Eisai, Chugai, Takeda, and MSD
- Research grant funding from Gilead Sciences, Taiho, Sumitomo Dainippon Pharma, Takeda, Otsuka, EA Pharma, AbbVie, Eisai, and GE Healthcare

Background



HCC is the predominant subtype of liver cancer, accounting for approximately 80% of cases and occurring most commonly in Africa and Asia.^{1,2}



Tislelizumab, a monoclonal antibody with high binding affinity for PD-1, was specifically engineered to minimize Fc-γ receptor binding on macrophages.^{3,4}



The phase 3 RATIONALE-301 trial (NCT03412773) met its primary endpoint in the overall study population of OS noninferiority of tislelizumab vs sorafenib (HR: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.71, 1.02) as a first-line treatment of patients with unresectable HCC; OS superiority vs sorafenib was not met.⁵ Tislelizumab was also associated with greater and more durable antitumor responses and a favorable safety profile compared with sorafenib.⁵



Here, we present the efficacy and safety results of the Japanese subgroup analysis from RATIONALE-301.

Golabi P, et al. *Medicine*. 2017;96(9):e5904; 2. Vogel A, et al. *Ann Oncol*. 2018;29(suppl 4):iv238-iv255; 3. Zhang T, et al. *Cancer Immunol Immunother*. 2018;67(7):1079-1090;
Hong Y, et al. *FEBS Open Bio*. 2021;11(3):782-792; 5. Qin S, et al. *JAMA Oncol*. 2023. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2023.4003 (Epub ahead of print).
Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; PD-1, programmed cell death protein 1.

RATIONALE-301 Study Design

Randomized, open-label, multiregional phase 3 study

Key eligibility criteria

- Histologically confirmed HCC
- Systemic therapy-naïve
- BCLC stage C or B disease not amenable to or progressed after loco-regional therapy
- Child-Pugh class A
- ≥1 measurable lesion per RECIST v1.1
- ECOG PS ≤1
- No tumor thrombus involving main trunk of portal vein or inferior vena cava





- Primary endpoint: OS in the ITT analysis set
- Key secondary endpoints: ORR, PFS, and DoR assessed by blinded independent review committee per RECIST v1.1, and safety

- Stratification factors
- · Macrovascular invasion (present vs absent)
- Extrahepatic spread (present vs absent)
- ECOG PS (0 vs 1)
- Etiology (HCV vs other^a)
- Geography (Asia [excluding Japan] vs Japan vs rest of world [EU/US])

^aIncludes HBV.

Abbreviations: BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; BID, twice daily; DoR, duration of response; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; EU, Europe; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV, hepatitis C virus; ITT, intent-to-treat; IV, intravenous; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; PO, orally; Q3W, once every 3 weeks; R, randomized; RECIST v1.1, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.1; US, United States. Qin S, et al. JAMA Oncol. 2023. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2023.4003 (Epub ahead of print).

Patient Disposition and Baseline Characteristics

	Japanese Subgroup			Overall Population
	Tislelizumab (n=38)	Sorafenib (n=39)	Total (n=77)	Total (N=674)
Median age, years (range)	75.5 (59.0-86.0)	70.0 (48.0-84.0)	74.0 (48.0-86.0)	61.0 (23.0-86.0)
Male, n (%)	32 (84.2)	34 (87.2)	66 (85.7)	570 (84.6)
Child-Pugh Class A, n (%)	38 (100.0)	39 (100.0)	77 (100.0)	672 (99.7)
BCLC Stage,ª n (%) B C	20 (52.6) 18 (47.4)	24 (61.5) 15 (38.5)	44 (57.1) 33 (42.9)	150 (22.3) 524 (77.7)
ECOG PS, n (%) 0 1	35 (92.1) 3 (7.9)	37 (94.9) 2 (5.1)	72 (93.5) 5 (6.5)	364 (54.0) 310 (46.0)
Extrahepatic spread present, n (%)	17 (44.7)	13 (33.3)	30 (39.0)	417 (61.9)
Macrovascular invasion present, n (%)	3 (7.9)	2 (5.1)	5 (6.5)	100 (14.8)
Alpha-fetoprotein, n (%) ≥200 ng/mL ≥400 ng/mL	12 (31.6) 10 (26.3)	10 (25.6) 9 (23.1)	22 (28.6) 19 (24.7)	281 (41.7) 251 (37.2)

Data presented for ITT analysis set. ^aAt study entry.

Abbreviations: BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status.

Overall Survival



Median survival follow-up in the Japanese subgroup was 37.4 months (range: 34.1-40.8) in the tislelizumab arm vs 39.9 months (range: 33.5-42.6) in the sorafenib arm.

Data presented for ITT analysis set. Data cutoff: July 11, 2022. 1. Qin S, et al. *JAMA Oncol.* 2023. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2023.4003 (Epub ahead of print). **Abbreviations:** CI, confidence interval: HR, hazard ratio: ITT, intent-to-treat: NE, not evaluable: OS, overall survival.

Secondary Efficacy Endpoints

	Japanese Subgroup (n=77)		Overall Population ¹ (N=674)	
	Tislelizumab (n=38)	Sorafenib (n=39)	Tislelizumab (n=342)	Sorafenib (n=332)
Confirmed objective response rate, ^{a,b} n (%) [95% Cl] ^c	5 (13.2) [4.4, 28.1]	3 (7.7) [1.6, 20.9]	49 (14.3) [10.8, 18.5]	18 (5.4) [3.2, 8.4]
Median progression-free survival,ª month (95% Cl)	4.0 (2.1, 5.6)	4.2 (2.1, 8.2)	2.1 (2.1, 3.5)	3.4 (2.2, 4.1)
Best overall response , ^{a,b,d} n (%) Complete response Partial response Stable disease Progressive disease	1 (2.6) 4 (10.5) 16 (42.1) 14 (36.8)	0 (0.0) 3 (7.7) 16 (41.0) 13 (33.3)	10 (2.9) 39 (11.4) 94 (27.5) 169 (49.4)	1 (0.3) 17 (5.1) 139 (41.9) 121 (36.4)
Median duration of response, ^a months (95% CI)	NE (19.7, NE)	NE (14.7, NE)	36.1 (16.8, NE)	11.0 (6.2, 14.7)
Disease control rate, n (%)	22 (57.9)	21 (53.8)	151 (44.2)	167 (50.3)

Data presented for ITT analysis set. Data cutoff: July 11, 2022.

^aAssessed by blinded independent review committee. ^bConfirmed responses. ^c95% CI was calculated using Clopper-Pearson method.

⁴These data do not include patients with a non-complete/non-partial, not evaluable, or not assessable response. 1. Qin S, et al. *JAMA Oncol.* 2023. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2023.4003 (Epub ahead of print).

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval: ITT, intent-to-treat; NE, not evaluable.

Safety Summary

	Japanese Subgroup (n=74)		Overall Population ¹ (N=662)	
	Tislelizumab (n=37)	Sorafenib (n=37)	Tislelizumab (n=338)	Sorafenib (n=324)
TRAE of any grade	22 (59.5)	36 (97.3)	259 (76.6)	311 (96.0)
TRAE of grade ≥3	7 (18.9)	20 (54.1)	75 (22.2)	173 (53.4)
Serious TRAE	4 (10.8)	4 (10.8)	40 (11.8)	33 (10.2)
TRAE leading to study drug discontinuation	4 (10.8)	6 (16.2)	21 (6.2)	33 (10.2)
TRAE leading to study drug modification	10 (27.0)	30 (81.1)	68 (20.1)	187 (57.7)
TRAE leading to death	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (0.9)	2 (0.6)

► The most common TRAEs reported by patients in the tislelizumab arm (≥10%) were:









Data presented for safety analysis set. Data cutoff: July 11, 2022.

Qin S, et al. JAMA Oncol. 2023. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2023.4003 (Epub ahead of print).
Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; TRAE, treatment-related treatment-emergent adverse event.

Conclusions



In the Japanese subgroup of RATIONALE-301, tislelizumab demonstrated overall survival noninferiority, with greater and more durable antitumor responses compared with sorafenib as first-line treatment in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma.



Tislelizumab had a favorable safety profile compared with sorafenib in Japanese patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma, characterized by a much lower rate of TRAE of any grade, TRAE of grade ≥3, and TRAE leading to study drug discontinuation and study drug modification.



The efficacy and safety results obtained in the Japanese subgroup were consistent with the published results from the overall study population.¹

Acknowledgments

- We as authors would like to thank:
 - The patients and their families for their participation in the study
 - > The global investigators and site personnel for their support during the conduct of this important trial.
- This study is sponsored by BeiGene, Ltd. Statistical support was provided by Songzi Li, PhD. Data collection was supported by Tadatoshi Takayama, MD. Medical writing support for the development of this poster, under direction of the authors, was provided by Yee Theng Soo, MSc, of Ashfield MedComms, an Inizio company, and was funded by BeiGene, Ltd. Editorial support was provided by Elizabeth Hermans, PhD, of BeiGene, Ltd.