

## Adverse events of interest with zanubrutinib vs fixed-duration combination of venetoclax + obinutuzumab in treatment-naïve chronic lymphocytic leukemia

**Authors:** Nicole Lamanna,<sup>1</sup> Lipeng Chen,<sup>2</sup> Sheng Xu,<sup>3</sup> Ayad K. Ali,<sup>4</sup> Han Ma,<sup>4</sup> Wassim Aldairy<sup>4</sup>

**Affiliations:** <sup>1</sup>Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA; <sup>2</sup>BeiGene (Beijing) Co, Ltd, Beijing, China; <sup>3</sup>BeiGene (Shanghai) Co, Ltd, Shanghai, China;

<sup>4</sup>BeiGene USA, Inc, San Mateo, CA, USA

**Background:** The efficacy and safety of BTKi zanubrutinib monotherapy has been evaluated in treatment-naïve chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL) in SEQUOIA (NCT03336333), while the combination of fixed-duration BCL-2 inhibitor venetoclax + CD20 monoclonal antibody obinutuzumab (VenO) has been evaluated in CLL14 (NCT02242942).

**Aims:** This analysis evaluated selective adverse events of interest (AEIs) with zanubrutinib vs VenO.

**Methods:** The incidence rates of infections, hematologic events, and treatment-emergent adverse events (TEAEs) leading to treatment discontinuation of zanubrutinib in SEQUOIA (n=351) and VenO in CLL14 (n=212) were compared. In this analysis, data for zanubrutinib at median treatment duration of 23.9 months (to match safety follow-up for VenO) and 61.1 months and data for fixed-duration VenO from available publications (median treatment duration, 11.1 months) were compared for AEIs. Zanubrutinib outcomes were adjusted for COVID-19 as SEQUOIA was ongoing during the pandemic while CLL14 was conducted prior to the pandemic.

**Results:** With a median treatment duration of 23.9 months with zanubrutinib vs 11.1 months with VenO (**Table**), the incidence of grade 3/4 infections (excluding COVID-19), neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and febrile neutropenia and TEAEs leading to discontinuation was lower with zanubrutinib vs VenO (nominal  $P < .05$  for all). With longer zanubrutinib exposure at the 61.2-month median treatment duration for zanubrutinib, the incidence rate of infection was higher with zanubrutinib vs VenO but similar after excluding COVID-19. The rates of neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and febrile neutropenia remained lower with zanubrutinib vs VenO (nominal  $P \leq .05$ ). COVID-19 was the most common TEAE leading to discontinuation of zanubrutinib (1.1% and 1.7% with median treatment duration of 23.9 and 61.1 months, respectively), while neutropenia was the most common TEAE leading to discontinuation of venetoclax (2.4%).

**Summary/Conclusion:** Hematologic toxicity rates were lower with zanubrutinib vs VenO in the analysis time window. Rates of TEAEs leading to discontinuation and infections excluding COVID-19 were lower with zanubrutinib with a median treatment duration of 23.9 months. Continuing zanubrutinib monotherapy does not appear to increase the risk of infection, even with much longer treatment duration, compared with fixed-duration VenO.

**Table. AEs in SEQUOIA vs CLL14**

	<b>Zanubrutinib up to 104 weeks</b>	<b>Zanubrutinib DCO: April 30, 2024</b>
	<b>SEQUOIA zanubrutinib (n=351) vs CLL14 VenO (n=212)</b>	<b>SEQUOIA zanubrutinib (n=351) vs CLL14 VenO (n=212)</b>
<b>Median treatment exposure, months</b>	23.9 vs 11.1	61.2 vs 11.1
<b>Grade 3/4 infections and infestations (system organ class), %</b>	12.5 vs 17.5; $P=.109$	27.1 vs 17.5; $P=.010$
Excluding COVID-19	11.1 vs 17.5; $P=.034$	20.2 vs 17.5; $P=.418$
<b>Grade 3/4 neutropenia, %</b>	9.1 vs 52.8; $P<.001$	10.3 vs 52.8; $P<.001$
<b>Grade 3/4 thrombocytopenia, %</b>	1.1 vs 13.7; $P<.001$	1.7 vs 13.7; $P<.001$
<b>Grade 3/4 febrile neutropenia, %</b>	0.6 vs 5.2; $P=.004$	0.9 vs 5.2; $P=.005$
<b>Any TEAE leading to discontinuation, %</b>	7.4 vs 15.6; $P=.003$	18.8 vs 15.6; $P=.329$
Excluding COVID-19	6.6 vs 15.6; $P=.001$	16.2 vs 15.6; $P=.833$

All  $P$  values are nominal.