Zanubrutinib in Patients With Relapsed/Refractory Marginal Zone Lymphoma (MZL): Final Analysis of MAGNOLIA (BGB-3111-214)

Kim M. Linton¹, Judith Trotman², Pamela McKay³, Kirit Ardeshna⁴, Sunil Iyengar⁵, Alessandra Tedeschi⁶, Bei Hu⁷, Sophie Leitch⁸, Jie Jin⁹, Mingyuan Sun¹⁰, Magdalena Sobieraj-Teague¹¹, Pier Luigi Zinzani¹², Peter Browett¹³, Xiaoyan Ke¹⁴, Craig A. Portell¹⁵, Catherine Thieblemont¹⁶, Fontanet Bijou¹⁷, Patricia Walker¹⁸, Eliza A.Hawkes¹⁹, Shir-Jing Ho²⁰, Keshu Zhou²¹, Zhiyu Liang²², Jianfeng Xu²², Chris Tankersley²², Richard Delarue²², Melannie Co²², and Stephen Opat²³

 ¹Manchester Cancer Research Centre, Division of Cancer Sciences, Manchester, UK; ²Concord Repatriation General Hospital, University of Sydney, Concord, New South Wales, Australia; ³Beatson West of Scotland Cancer Centre, Glasgow, UK; ⁴University College London Hospitals, London, UK;
⁵Royal Marsden Hospital, London, UK; ⁶ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy; ⁷Levine Cancer Institute/Atrium Health, Charlotte, NC, USA;
⁸North Shore Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand; ⁹The First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China;
¹⁰Institute of Hematology & Blood Disease Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Tianjin, China;
¹¹Flinders Medical Centre, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia; ¹²Institute of Hematology "Seràgnoli" University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy;
¹³Auckland City Hospital, Grafton, New Zealand; ¹⁴Peking University Third Hospital, Beijing, China; ¹⁵University of Virginia, Comprehensive Cancer Center, Charlottesville, VA, USA; ¹⁶APHP, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Hemato-oncology, Paris University Diderot, Paris, France; ¹⁷Institut Bergonié, Bordeaux, France;
¹⁸Peninsula Private Hospital, Frankston, Victoria, Australia; ¹⁹Box Hill Hospital, Box Hill, Victoria, Australia; ²⁰St. George Hospital, Kogarah, New South Wales, Australia; ²¹Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, Henan, China; ²²BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China, BeiGene Switzerland GmbH and BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; and ²³Monash Health and Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia Research funding from BeiGene, Roche; Honoraria from Roche, Genmab, Aptitude Health, Hartley, BMS, Gilead, Simon Kuchner & Partners Strategy & Marketing Consultants, Celgene, Karyopharm Therapeutics; Travel grants from Janssen, Celgene.

INTRODUCTION

- Advanced-stage MZL is generally incurable¹
- BCR signaling is a critical pathway in MZL pathogenesis²
- BTK plays a key role in BCR signaling²
 - BTK inhibition has antitumor activity in various B-cell malignancies^{2,3}
- Zanubrutinib (BGB-3111) is a potent and highly specific next-generation BTK inhibitor
 - Designed to maximize BTK occupancy and minimize off-target inhibition of TEC- and EGFR-family kinases³⁻⁵
 - Can be coadministered with strong/moderate CYP3A inhibitors at a reduced dose, proton pump inhibitors, acid-reducing agents, and antithrombotic agents^{6,7}
 - Recently approved for the treatment of patients with R/R MZL based on the primary analysis results of the MAGNOLIA study (BGB-3111-214; NCT03846427)⁷
- Here we present the final analysis of MAGNOLIA at a median follow-up of 28 months

BCR, B-cell receptor; BTK, Bruton tyrosine kinase; CYP3A, cytochrome P450, subtype 3A; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; R/R, relapsed/refractory,

TEC, tyrosine kinase expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma.

1. Cheah CY, et al. Haematologica. 2022;107(1):35-43. 2. Pal Singh S, et al. Mol Cancer. 2018;17(1):57. 3. Opat S, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2021;27(23):6323-6332. 4. Guo Y, et al. J Med Chem. 2019;62(17):7923-7940.

5. Rhodes JM and Mato A. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2021;15:919-926. 6. Ou YC, et al. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2021;87(7):2926-2936. 7. BRUKINSA® (zanubrutinib) [package insert]. BeiGene USA, Inc. September 2021.

MAGNOLIA (BGB-3111-214) Study Design

A Phase 2, Multicenter, Open-label, Single-Arm Study



- Patients with R/R MZL who received ≥1 CD20-directed regimen
- Response based on the Lugano classification for NHL¹
 - PET-based criteria for patients with IRC-confirmed FDG-avid disease
 - CT-based criteria for non-FDG-avid patients
 - Additional sensitivity analysis for all evaluable patients using CT-based criteria
- Biomarker correlative sub-study by the Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group

BID, twice daily; CD20, cluster of differentiate 20; CT, computerized tomography; DOR, duration of response; FDG, fluorodeoxyglucose; IRC, independent review committee; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PET, positron emission tomography; PFS, progression-free survival; PI, principal investigator; R/R, relapsed/refractory. 1. Cheson et al. *J Clin Oncol* 2014;32(27):3059-3067.

Patient Disposition



Data cutoff date: 04 May 2022.

^aTwo patients were excluded owing to lack of central confirmation of MZL. ^bBGB-3111-LTE1 is a BeiGene-sponsored, global, open-label extension study (NCT04170283). ^cFive patients discontinued treatment owing to AEs (2 patients with fatal COVID-19 pneumonia; 1 patient with pyrexia later attributed to disease progression; 1 patient with fatal myocardial infarction in a patient with preexisting cardiovascular disease; 1 patient who died from septic encephalopathy after bladder surgery (in CR at the time of death). ^dFour patients discontinued per investigator decision (3 patients required prohibited medications; 1 patient due to lack of clinical benefit). AE, adverse event; LTE, long-term extension; PD, progressive disease.

Baseline Demographics and Disease History

Characteristics, n (%)	Total (N=68)
Median age (range), years	70 (37-95)
≥65	41 (60)
≥75	19 (28)
Male	36 (53)
ECOG PS 0/1 ^a	63 (93)
MZL subtypes	
Extranodal	26 (38)
Nodal	26 (38)
Splenic	12 (18)
Unknown	4 (6)
Disease status	
Relapsed	44 (65)
Refractory	22 (32)
Stage III/IV	59 (87)
FDG-avid (by IRC)	61 (90)
Extranodal site involvement	53 (78)
Bone marrow infiltration	29 (43)
Median prior lines of systemic therapy (range)	2 (1-6)
Immunochemotherapy	61 (90) ^b
Rituximab monotherapy	7 (10)

Best Overall Response by IRC and Investigator Assessment

	(N=66) ^a		
	IRC		INV
Efficacy	PET and/or CT (primary endpoint) ^b	CT only (sensitivity analysis) ^f	PET and/or CT
ORR, n (%)	45 (68)	44 (67)	50 (76)
[95% CI]	[55.6, 79.1]	[54.0, 77.8]	[63.6 85.5]
<i>P</i> -value	<0.0001°		
Best response, n (%)			
CR	17 (26)	16 (24)	19 (29)
PR	28 (42)	28 (42)	31 (47)
SD	14 (21) ^{d,e}	16 (24)	10 (15)
PD	6 (9)	5 (8)	5 (8)
Discontinued study prior to 1st	1 (1)	1 (1)	1 (1)
assessment, n (%)	1(1)	1 (1)	1(1)
Median time to response (range), months	2.8 (1.7-11.1)	3.0 (1.8-22.2)	2.8 (1.7-16.6)

CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; CT, computerized tomography; INV, investigator; IRC, independent review committee; ORR, overall response rate; PD, progressive disease; PET, positron emission tomography; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

^aTwo patients were excluded from the efficacy population owing to lack of central confirmation of MZL. ^bPatients with IRC-confirmed FDG-avid disease were assessed by PET-based criteria; non–FDG-avid patients were assessed by CT-based Lugano criteria. ^c*P*-value for the primary endpoint was computed with the binomial exact test against the null hypothesis of ORR = 30% with alternative of ORR > 30%. ^dFive (7.6%) patients with SD are remaining on study treatment (after 12-18 cycles). ^eIncludes one patient with FDG-avid disease who missed the PET scan at cycle 3 and was assessed as non-PD; CT showed SD at cycle 3. ^fAdditional sensitivity analysis using CT-based Lugano criteria for all 66-evaluable patients regardless of PET status at baseline.

Best Overall Response by IRC and MZL Subtypes



^aOne patient (extranodal MZL) who withdrew consent prior to the first disease assessment was not shown in the graph.

CR, complete response; IRC, independent review committee; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; ORR, overall response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

Subgroup Analysis of ORR by IRC

	68.2 (55.6, 79.1)
	57.7 (36.9, 76.7)
├────	75.0 (58.8, 87.3)
├───	58.3 (43.2, 72.4)
├────	94.4 (72.7, 99.9)
├ ──── │	64.0 (42.5, 82.0)
├──── ┥	76.0 (54.9, 90.6)
	66.7 (34.9, 90.1)
•	50.0 (6.8, 93.2)
	50.0 (6.8, 93.2)
	60.0 (14.7, 94.7)
•	71.4 (29.0, 96.3)
⊢	70.0 (55.4, 82.1)
	1

^aTwo-sided Clopper-Pearson. 95% Cls for ORR.

CI, confidence interval; IRC, independent review committee; MALT, mucosa associated lymphoid tissue; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; NMZL, nodal MZL; ORR, overall response rate; SMZL, splenic MZL.

Subgroup Analysis of ORR by IRC (cont.)

Subgroup	Patients/response		ORR, % (95% CI)ª
Bone marrow involvement			
Yes	19/29	├────	65.5 (45.7, 82.1)
No	26/37	├ ──── │	70.3 (53.0, 84.1)
Disease status			
Relapsed	31/43	⊢	72.1 (56.3, 84.7)
Refractory	14/21	⊢	66.7 (43.0, 85.4)
Prior lines of systemic thera	ару		
<3	36/48	├───	75.0 (60.4, 86.4)
≥3	9/18	├────	50.0 (26.0, 74.0)
Prior treatment			
RCVP	20/25	┣━━━━━┫	80.0 (59.3, 93.2)
RCHOP	9/17	├────	52.9 (27.8, 77.0)
BR	16/22	├────	72.7 (49.8, 89.3)
R-lenalidomide	1/2	• 1	50.0 (1.3, 98.7)
Rituximab monotherapy	7/7	•	100.0 (59.0, 100.0)
CHOP	2/3	├ ──── │	66.7 (9.4, 99.2)
R-chlorambucil	2/5	├ ────┤	40.0 (5.3, 85.3)
		1 1 1	
	(25 50 75 100	

^aTwo-sided Clopper-Pearson. 95% CIs for ORR.

BR, bendamustine/rituximab; CHOP, cyclophosphamide-hydroxydaunorubicin-Oncovin-prednisone; CI, confidence interval; IRC, independent review committee; ORR, overall response rate; R-chlorambucil, rituximab-chlorambucil; RCHOP, rituximab cyclophosphamide-hydroxydaunorubicin-Oncovin-prednisone; RCVP, rituximab cyclophosphamide-vincristine-prednisone; R-lenalidomide, rituximab-lenalidomide

PFS by MZL Subtypes by IRC Assessment



DOR by MZL Subtypes by IRC Assessment



Overall Survival by MZL Subtypes



TEAEs in All Patients

Safety Summary

TEAEs, n (%)	N=68
Patients with ≥1 TEAE	68 (100)
Grade ≥3 TEAE	33 (48)
Serious TEAE	30 (44)
Leading to death	5 (7) ^a
Leading to dose interruption	25 (37) ^b
Leading to study drug discontinuation	5 (7) ^c
Leading to dose reduction	0



^aFive patients died owing to AEs: COVID-19 pneumonia (n=2); myocardial infarction in a patient with preexisting cardiovascular disease (n=1); acute myeloid leukemia in a patient with prior exposure to an alkylating agent (n=1); septic encephalopathy following radical cystectomy and ileal conduit in a patient with recurrent bladder cancer (in CR at the time of death; [n=1]). ^bMost common AEs leading to dose interruption: COVID-19 pneumonia (n=4), neutropenia (n=3), diarrhea (n=2), lower respiratory tract infection (n=2), pneumonia (n=2), pyrexia (n=2), syncope (n=2), and tonsillitis (n=2). ^cFive patients discontinued owing to AEs: COVID-19 pneumonia (n=2); pyrexia later attributed to disease progression (n=1); myocardial infarction (n=1); septic encephalopathy (n=1). ^dIncludes neutropenia and neutrophil count decreased. ^eIncludes thrombocytopenia and platelet count decreased. TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; URTI, upper respiratory tract infection.

Most Common TEAEs

TEAEs of Clinical Interest

	N=68	
TEAEs of interest, n (%)	All grade	Grade ≥3
Infections	38 (56)	15 (22)ª
Hemorrhage	28 (41)	1 (1.5) ^b
Cardiac		
Hypertension	3 (4) ^c	2 (3)
Atrial fibrillation/flutter	2 (3) ^d	1 (1.5)
Ventricular extrasystole	1 (1.5) ^e	0
Second primary malignancy	5 (7) ^f	3 (4)

^aFatal infection: COVID-19 pneumonia (n=2). ^bGastrointestinal hemorrhage (day 862) in a patient who also received anticoagulant for pulmonary embolism; patient continued zanubrutinib with no recurrent bleeding episode. ^cTwo patients had newonset hypertension; none led to treatment reduction or discontinuation. ^dAtrial fibrillation in a patient with preexisting atrial fibrillation (21 days after end of treatment owing to disease progression). Patient with atrial flutter recovered spontaneously and continued zanubrutinib. ^eVentricular extrasystole in an 83-year-old patient with no known cardiac history, was non-serious, transient, resolved on the same day, and did not lead to treatment modification or discontinuation. ^fIncludes basal cell and squamous cell carcinoma and basal cell carcinoma (with history of skin cancer); papillary thyroid carcinoma (with preexisting thyroid nodule); recurrent bladder cancer and prostate cancer (with history of bladder cancer); and acute myeloid leukemia (with prior chemotherapy with alkylating agent). TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

Cardiac TEAEs of Clinical Interest

	BGB-3111-214	Pooled analysis B-cell malignancies ^c	
Cardiovascular disorders, n (%)	Zanubrutinib (N=68)	Zanubrutinib (N=1550)	lbrutinib (N=422)
Median treatment duration, months	24	26.64	19.96
Any cardiovascular medical history			
Atrial fibrillation/flutter	8 (11.7)	101 (6.5)	26 (6.2)
Ventricular arrhythmia ^a	0	14 (0.9)	1 (0.2)
Hypertension ^b	21 (30.9)	669 (43.2)	206 (48.8)
Any cardiovascular AE			
Atrial fibrillation/fluttor	2 (3)	60 (3.9)	60 (14.2)
		EAIR: 0.13 vs 0.82 person-month (<i>P</i> < 0.0001)	
Ventricular arrhythmia (Grade ≥2)ª	1 (1.5)	11 (0.7)	6 (1.4)
Hypertension ^b	3 (4)	225 (14.5)	85 (20.1)

^aIncluding ventricular tachyarrhythmia (SMQ narrow), ventricular arrhythmias and cardiac arrest (High Level Term MedDRA v24.0). ^bIncluding hypertension (SMQ narrow). ^cPooled analyses of 10 clinical studies of zanubrutinib.¹ AE, adverse event; CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; EAIR, exposure-adjusted incident rate; MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; SMQ, standardized MedDRA query; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event. 1. Tam CS, et al. LL&M 2022. Abstract 1324736.

Molecular Correlates Sub-Study¹

(Australasian Leukaemia and Lymphoma Group)



- Baseline WES was performed on 17 patients focusing on 48 genes known to be currently mutated in MZL
- More than 1 mutation was found in 16/17 (94%) patients
- MYD88 or TNFAIP3 mutations were associated with improved PFS
- Similar observation was reported by Noy et al. with ibrutinib²

1. Tatarczuch M, et al. *HemaSphere*. 2022;6(3):1146-1147. 2. Noy A, et al. *Blood Adv*. 2020;4(22):5773-5784.

CI, confidence interval; CR, complete response; HR, hazard ratio; ins/del, insertion/deletion; mPFS, median PFS; MYD88, myeloid differentiation primary response 88; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; NR, not reached; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; TNFAIP3, tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced protein 3; WES, whole-exome sequencing.

Conclusions

At a median study follow-up of 28 months:

- Zanubrutinib showed high response rates and durable disease control in R/R MZL
 - ORR of 68% (by PET and/or CT) and 67% (by CT only) with a CR of ~25% by IRC
 - Responses in all MZL subtypes and in difficult-to-treat subgroups
 - At 24 months: PFS rate, 71%; DOR rate, 73%; OS rate, 86%
- Zanubrutinib was generally well tolerated
 - Hypertension and atrial fibrillation/flutter were uncommon; comparable rate to zanubrutinib pooled safety analyses and lower than reported for ibrutinib
 - One (1.5%) patient had major gastrointestinal hemorrhage while receiving concomitant anticoagulant
 - No new safety signals observed

Acknowledgments

- We would like to thank the MAGNOLIA investigators, site support staff, and especially the patients for participating in this study
- This study was sponsored by BeiGene. Medical writing support was provided by ArticulateScience, LLC, and was funded by BeiGene in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP) guidelines (<u>http://www.ismpp.org/gpp-2022</u>)

Corresponding Author:

Kim M. Linton; email: <u>Kim.M.Linton@manchester.ac.uk</u>