

ASPEN: Long-term follow-up results of a phase 3 randomized trial of zanubrutinib vs ibrutinib in patients with Waldenström macroglobulinemia (WM)

Authors: Paula Marlton¹; Ramon Garcia-Sanz²; Stephen Opat³; Shirley D'Sa⁴; Wojciech Jurczak⁵; Hui Lee⁶; Gavin Cull⁷; Roger G. Owen⁸; Bjorn E. Wahlin⁹; Alessandra Tedeschi¹⁰; Jorge J. Castillo¹¹; Tanya Siddiqi¹²; Christian Buske¹³; Veronique Leblond¹⁴; Wai Y. Chan¹⁵; Jingjing Schneider¹⁵; Aileen Cohen¹⁵; Meletios Dimopoulos¹⁶; Constantine S. Tam^{17,18,19,20}

Affiliations: ¹Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; ²Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain; ³Monash Health, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia; ⁴Centre for Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia and Associated Disorders, University College London Hospital Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; ⁵Maria Sklodowska-Curie National Institute of Oncology, Krakow, Poland; ⁶Flinders Medical Centre, Adelaide, SA, Australia; ⁷Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, University of Western Australia Perth, WA, Australia; ⁸St. James University Hospital, Leeds, United Kingdom; ⁹Karolinska Universitetssjukhuset and Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden; ¹⁰ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy; ¹¹Bing Center for Waldenström Macroglobulinemia, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ¹²City Of Hope National Medical Center, Duarte, CA, USA; ¹³CCC Ulm - Universitätsklinikum Ulm, Ulm, Baden-Württemberg, Germany; ¹⁴Sorbonne University, Pitié Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France; ¹⁵BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁶National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece; ¹⁷Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; ¹⁸University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia; ¹⁹St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Fitzroy, Victoria, Australia; ²⁰Royal Melbourne Hospital, Parkville, Victoria, Australia

ABSTRACT

Aim: ASPEN is a randomized, open-label, phase 3 study comparing zanubrutinib, a potent and selective Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor (BTKi), with the first-generation BTKi, ibrutinib, in WM. Data with a median follow-up of 43 months are presented.

Method: In cohort 1, patients with *MYD88* mutations were randomized 1:1 to receive zanubrutinib 160mg twice daily or ibrutinib 420mg once daily; stratifications were *CXCR4* mutations and prior lines of therapy. In cohort 2, patients without *MYD88* mutations received zanubrutinib 160mg twice daily. The primary endpoint was the proportion of patients with complete response/very good partial response (CR+VGPR).

Results: In cohorts 1 and 2, 201 (zanubrutinib=102; ibrutinib=99) and 28 patients were enrolled, respectively. More cohort 1 patients in the zanubrutinib vs ibrutinib arm had *CXCR4* mutations (32% [33/98] vs 20% [20/92] with next-generation sequencing data) and were aged >75 years (33% vs 22%). With median treatment durations of 42 (zanubrutinib) and 41 (ibrutinib) months, 67% and 58% remain on treatment, respectively. Investigator-assessed CR+VGPR rate was 36% vs 22% (zanubrutinib vs ibrutinib; P=0.02) and 31% in cohort 2 (1 CR). CR+VGPR rates for wild-type *CXCR4* were 45% vs 28% (zanubrutinib vs ibrutinib; P=0.04) and were 21% vs 5% (P=0.15) for mutated *CXCR4*. Median progression-free survival and overall survival were not yet reached. Rates of atrial fibrillation, diarrhoea, hypertension, localized infection, haemorrhage, muscle spasms, pneumonia, grade ≥ 3 infection, and adverse events leading to discontinuation/death were lower for zanubrutinib vs ibrutinib as were exposure-adjusted incidence rates of atrial fibrillation/flutter and hypertension (0.2 vs 0.8 and 0.5 vs 1.0 persons/100 person-months; P<0.05); neutropenia rate was higher. Zanubrutinib safety outcomes were similar between cohorts.

Conclusion: ASPEN is the largest phase 3 WM trial with head-to-head BTKi comparison. At a median follow-up of 43 months, zanubrutinib had higher CR+VGPR rates and clinically meaningful advantages in long-term safety/tolerability vs ibrutinib.