Randomized, Global, Phase 3 Study of Tislelizumab + Chemotherapy vs Placebo + Chemotherapy as First-line (1L) Treatment for Advanced/Metastatic Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma (ESCC): RATIONALE-306 Update **Authors:**

Sue-Anne McLachlan*, ¹ Richard Hubner*, ² Jianming Xu, ³ Ken Kato, ⁴ Eric Raymond, ⁵ Yongqian Shu, ⁶ Yueyin Pan, ⁷ Yi Jiang, ⁸ Jingdong Zhang, ⁹ Sook Ryun Park, ¹⁰ Takashi Kojima, ¹¹ Chen-Yuan Lin, ¹² Evgeny Gotovkin, ¹³ Lucjan Wyrwicz, ¹⁴ Ryu Ishihara, ¹⁵ Hongqian Wu, ¹⁶ Yanyan Peng, ¹⁷ Lei Wang, ¹⁸ Liyun Li¹⁸, Harry H. Yoon¹⁹ *First and presenting author

Affiliations:

- ¹ Physician, Department of Medical Oncology, St. Vincent's Hospital; Melbourne, Australia;
- ²Physician, Department of Medical Oncology, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust/Division of Cancer Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK;
- ³ Professor, Department of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Fifth Medical Center, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China;
- ⁴Physician, Department of Head and Neck, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan;
- ⁵ Professor, Department of Medical Oncology, Centre Hospitalier Paris Saint-Joseph, Paris, France;
- ⁶Physician, Department of Oncology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China;
- ⁷Chief Physician, Department of Oncology Chemotherapy, Anhui Provincial Hospital, Hefei, China;
- ⁸Chief Physician, Department of Oncology, Cancer Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Shantou, China;
- ⁹ Chief Physician, Medical Oncology Department of Gastrointestinal Tumors, Liaoning Cancer Hospital, Shenyang, China;
- ¹⁰Associate Professor, Department of Oncology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea;
- ¹¹Physician, Department of Gastrointestinal Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital East, Chiba, Japan;
- ¹²Attending Physician, Department of Hematology and Oncology, China Medical University Hospital, and China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan;
- ¹³Physician, Department of Chemotherapy, Ivanovo Regional Oncology Dispensary, Ivanovo, Russia;
- ¹⁴Physician/Head of Department, Department of Oncology and Radiotherapy, Maria Sklodowska-Curie National Cancer Research Institute, Warsaw, Poland;
- ¹⁵Physician, Department of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Osaka International Cancer Institute, Osaka, Japan;
- ¹⁶Professor, Department of Global Statistics and Data Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., Ridgefield Park, NJ, USA;
- ¹⁷Professor, Department of Clinical Biomarker, BeiGene (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China;
- ¹⁸Physician, Department of Clinical Development, BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China;
- ¹⁹Physician, Department of Medical Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

Background: At interim analysis (IA) of RATIONALE-306 (NCT03783442), 1L tislelizumab + chemotherapy demonstrated a statistically significant, clinically meaningful improvement in overall survival (OS) vs placebo + AGITG 2023

chemotherapy, with a manageable safety profile, in patients with advanced/metastatic ESCC. Here, we report updated efficacy and safety data with minimum 2 years' follow-up.

Methods: Adults with unresectable locally advanced recurrent/metastatic ESCC and no prior systemic treatment for advanced disease were enrolled and randomized 1:1 to receive tislelizumab 200 mg (Arm A) or placebo (Arm B) IV Q3W + chemotherapy (platinum + fluoropyrimidine or platinum + paclitaxel), until disease progression, toxicity, or withdrawal. Primary endpoint was OS in the intent-to-treat population; secondary endpoints included progression-free survival (PFS), objective response rate (ORR), duration of response (DoR) per investigator, and safety.

Results: 649 pts were randomized (Arm A n=326, Arm B n=323). At data cutoff (December 31, 2022), minimum study follow-up was 25.2 months. Improvements in OS, PFS, ORR, and DoR in Arm A vs B were maintained relative to the IA. Median OS was 17.2 months and 10.6 months (hazard ratio [HR]: 0.67; 95% CI: 0.56, 0.80) with 24-month OS rates of 37.9% and 25.0% in Arms A and B, respectively. Median PFS in Arm A vs B was 7.3 months vs 5.6 months (HR: 0.61; 95% CI: 0.51, 0.73). ORR was 63.5% and 42.4% and median DoR was 7.1 months and 5.7 months in Arms A and B, respectively. Similar to the IA, incidences of any-grade (96.6% vs 96.3%) or grade ≥3 (66.7% vs 64.5%) treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs) were comparable between Arms A and B, respectively; treatment-emergent adverse events leading to treatment discontinuation were higher in Arm A (31.8%) vs B (22.1%). In Arm A vs B, serious TRAEs occurred in 29.3% vs 19.6% of patients; TRAEs leading to death were reported in 1.9% and 1.2% of patients.

Conclusions: After minimum 2 years' follow-up, 1L tislelizumab + chemotherapy continued to demonstrate clinically meaningful improvements in OS and PFS and durable tumor response benefit vs placebo + chemotherapy in patients with advanced/metastatic ESCC, with no new safety signals.