Zanubrutinib Demonstrated Superior Progression-Free Survival vs Ibrutinib for Treatment of Relapsed/Refractory Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia and Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma: Final PFS Analysis of Phase 3 ALPINE Study

Tadeusz Robak¹, Jennifer R. Brown², Barbara Eichhorst³, Peter Hillmen⁴, Nicole Lamanna⁵, Susan M. O'Brien⁶, Constantine S. Tam^{7,8}, Lugui Qiu⁹, Maciej Kaźmierczak¹⁰, Wojciech Jurczak¹¹, Keshu Zhou¹², Martin Šimkovič^{13,14}, Jiří Mayer¹⁵, Amanda Gillespie-Twardy¹⁶, Alessandra Ferrajoli¹⁷, Peter S. Ganly ¹⁸, Robert Weinkove^{19,20}, Sebastian Grosicki²¹, Andrzej Mital²², Anders Österborg^{23,24}, Habte A. Yimer²⁵, Tommi Salmi²⁶, Megan (Der Yu) Wang²⁷, Lina Fu²⁷, Jessica Li²⁷, Kenneth Wu²⁷, Aileen Cohen²⁷, and Mazyar Shadman^{28,29}

¹Medical University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland; ²Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, USA; ³Department of Internal Medicine, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany, and Center for Integrated Oncology, University Hospital Cologne, Cologne, Germany; ⁴St James's University Hospital, Leeds, UK; ⁵Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA; ⁶Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA; ⁷The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; ⁸Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia; ⁹Institute of Hematology and Blood Diseases Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Tianjin, China; ¹⁰Department of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland; ¹¹MSC National Research Institute of Oncology, Krakow, Poland; ¹²Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China; ¹³4th Department of Internal Medicine - Hematology, University Hospital, Hradec Kralove, Czech Republic; ¹⁴Faculty of Medicale Cancer Cane, Roanoke, VA, USA; ¹⁷Department of Internal Medicine-Hematology and Oncology, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic and University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic; ¹⁶Blue Ridge Cancer Care, Roanoke, VA, USA; ¹⁷Department of Leukemia, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; ¹⁸Department of Haematology, Christchurch Hospital, Christchurch, New Zealand; ¹⁹Te Rerenga Ora Blood and Cancer Centre, Te Whatu Ora Health New Zealand Capital Coast & Hutt Valley, Wellington, New Zealand; ²⁰Cancer Immunotherapy Programme, Malaghan Institute of Medical Research, Wellington, New Zealand; ²¹Department of Hematology and Cancer Prevention, Health Sciences Faculty, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland; ²²Department of Hematology and Transplantology, Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland; ²³Department of Oncology-Pathology, Karolinska Institute, St

Presented at: 31st Congress of the Polish Society of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine; September 14-16, 2023; Katowice, Poland. Correspondence: Tadeusz Robak; robaktad@csk.umed.lodz.pl

Speaker Disclosures

Professor Robak received honoraria from Abbvie, AstraZeneca, and BeiGene; and received research funding from AstraZeneca, BeiGene, GSK, Janssen, Lily, Octapharma, Regeneron, and Servier.

Bruton Tyrosine Kinase Inhibition in CLL: Background

- BCR signaling is required for tumor expansion and proliferation in CLL and B-cell lymphomas¹
 - BCR signaling is dependent on BTK
- Ibrutinib, a first-in-class, covalent BTK inhibitor, has transformed CLL therapy; however, it has properties that limit use
 - Treatment discontinuation from toxicities has been reported in 16%-23% of patients²⁻⁵
 - Exposure coverage between dosing intervals falls below IC₅₀ and variable BTK occupancy at trough has been observed



BCR, B-cell antigen receptor; BTK, Bruton tyrosine kinase; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; C_{trough}, trough concentration; IC₅₀, half maximal inhibitory concentration; QD, daily.

1. Singh SP, et al. Molecular Cancer. 2018; 17:57. 2. Sharman JP, et al. Blood. 2017;130(suppl 1):4060. 3. Mato AR, et al. Haematologica. 2018;103(5):874-879. 4. Munir T, et al. Am J Hematol. 2019;94(12):1353-1363. 5. Ghia P, et al. EHA Abstract EP636 2021. 6. Tam CS et al. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2021;14:11, 1329-1344.

Zanubrutinib: Differentiating Features and Background

- Zanubrutinib is a next-generation BTKi
 - Zanubrutinib was designed to have greater BTK specificity than ibrutinib
 - Zanubrutinib has exposure coverage above IC₅₀
 - Higher drug-concentration/IC₅₀ ratios would be expected to lead to more sustained and complete BTK inhibition to improve efficacy
- Zanubrutinib has demonstrated superior PFS by IRC over chemoimmunotherapy (SEQUOIA) in treatmentnaive CLL/SLL patients without del(17p)¹



BID, twice daily; BTK, Bruton tyrosine kinase; BTKi, Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; del(17p), deletion in chromosome 17p; IC50, half maximal inhibitory concentration; IRC, independent review committee; PFS, progression-free survival; QD, daily; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma.

1. Tam CS, et al. Lancet Oncol. 2022;23(8):1031-1043. 2. Ou YC, et al Leukemia & Lymphoma. 2021; 62(11):2612-2624.

ALPINE Study Design

R/R CLL/SLL with \geq 1 prior treatment

(Planned N=600, Actual N=652)

Key Inclusion Criteria

- R/R to ≥1 prior systemic therapy for CLL/SLL
- Measurable lymphadenopathy by CT or MRI

Key Exclusion Criteria

- Prior BTKi therapy
- Treatment with warfarin or other vitamin K antagonists



BID, twice daily; BTKi, Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CT, computed tomography; del(17p), deletion in chromosome 17p; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; QD, daily; R, randomized; R/R, relapsed/refractory; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; *TP53*, tumor protein p53.

Patient Disposition



AE, adverse event; PD, progressive disease.

Balanced Demographics and Disease Characteristics

	Zanubrutinib (n=327)	lbrutinib (n=325)
Age, median (range) ≥65 years, n (%)	67 (35-90) 201 (61.5)	68 (35-89) 200 (61.5)
Male, n (%)	213 (65.1)	232 (71.4)
ECOG PS ≥1, n (%)	198 (60.6)	203 (62.5)
Prior lines of systemic therapy, median (range) >3 prior lines, n (%)	1 (1-6) 24 (7.3)	1 (1-12) 30 (9.2)
del(17p) and/or <i>TP53^{mut}</i> , n (%) del(17p) <i>TP53^{mut}</i> without del(17p)	75 (22.9) 45 (13.8) 30 (9.2)	75 (23.1) 50 (15.4) 25 (7.7)
del(11q), n (%)	91 (27.8)	88 (27.1)
IGHV mutational status, n (%) Mutated Unmutated	79 (24.2) 239 (73.1)	70 (21.5) 239 (73.5)
Complex karyotype ^a	56 (17.1)	70 (21.5)
Bulky disease (≥5 cm), n (%)	145 (44.3)	149 (45.8)

^a Complex karyotype is defined as having \geq 3 abnormalities.

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; del(11q), deletion in chromosome 11q; del(17p), deletion in chromosome 17p; IGHV, immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region; TP53^{mut}, tumor protein 53 mutation.

Zanubrutinib PFS by IRC Superior to Ibrutinib

Median study follow-up of 29.6 months



CI, confidence interval; DCO, data cutoff; PFS, progression-free survival.

DCO: 8 Aug 2022

PFS Favored Zanubrutinib Across Subgroups

Subgroup	Zanubrutinil	b Ibrutinib	Hazard R	latio (95% Cl)ª
	Response/Patients		ITT: 0.65	
Age group				
<65 years	23/126	43/125		0 42 (0.25, 0.70)
≥65 years	65/201	77/200	⊢÷● – –	0.78 (0.56, 1.09)
Sex				
Male	59/213	91/232		0.61 (0.44, 0.84)
Female	29/114	29/93		0.72 (0.43, 1.21)
Prior lines of therapy				
1–3	80/303	102/295	H.	0.67 (0.50, 0.90)
>3	8/24	18/30	⊢● i	0.45 (0.19, 1.04)
Baseline <i>del</i> (17p)/ <i>TP53</i> mutation status				
Present	23/75	34/75		0.52 (0.30, 0.88)
Absent	65/251	86/250	⊢	0.67 (0.49, 0.93)
Baseline IGHV mutation status				
Unmutated	72/239	98/239	⊢∳ →1	0.64 (0.47, 0.87)
Mutated	15/79	18/70	⊢ ♦ − 	0.63 (0.32, 1.26)
Complex karyotype				
Yes	20/56	24/70		0.91 (0.50, 1.66)
No	37/153	45/130		0.58 (0.37, 0.90)
0.1 0.50 1.00 1.50 2.00				
Favors Zanubrutinib Favors Ibrutinib				

^a Hazard ratio and 95% CI were unstratified for subgroups.

Cl, confidence interval; DCO, data cutoff; del(17p), deletion in chromosome 17p; IGHV, immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region; ITT, intention to treat; TP53, tumor protein 53.

DCO: 8 Aug 2022

Zanubrutinib Improved PFS^a in Patients with del(17p)/TP53^{mut}



^a PFS data assessed by IRC.

CI, confidence interval; del(17p), deletion in chromosome 17p; DCO, data cutoff; PFS, progression-free survival; TP53^{mut}, tumor protein 53 mutation.

DCO: 8 Aug 2022

Zanubrutinib Showed Higher ORR Assessed by IRC



DCO: 8 Aug 2022

CR, complete response; CRi, complete response with incomplete bone marrow recovery; DC, discontinued prior to first assessment; DCO, data cutoff; IRC, independent review committee; NA, not assessed; NE, not evaluable; nPR, nodular partial response; ORR, overall response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response with lymphocytosis; SD, stable disease.

Overall Safety/Tolerability Summary

• Zanubrutinib safety profile was more favorable compared with ibrutinib

	Zanubrutinib (n=324)	Ibrutinib (n=324)		
Median treatment duration, months	28.4	24.3		
Any grade AE	318 (98.1)	321 (99.1)		
Grade 3 to 5	218 (67.3)	228 (70.4)		
Grade 5	33 (10.2)	36 (11.1)		
Serious AE	136 (42.0)	162 (50.0)		
AEs leading to				
Dose reduction	40 (12.3)	55 (17.0)		
Dose interruption	162 (50.0)	184 (56.8)		
Treatment discontinuation	50 (15.4)	72 (22.2)		

AE, adverse event; DCO, data cutoff.

DCO: 8 Aug 2022

Most Common Adverse Events^a



^a Adverse events occurring in ≥15% of patients in either arm. ^b Pooled terms. DCO, data cutoff.

DCO: 8 Aug 2022

Zanubrutinib had a Favorable Cardiac Profile

• Lower rates of cardiac events, serious cardiac events, treatment discontinuation, and deaths

		(11-324)
	Cardiac AEs	69 (21.3%)
 Lower rate of serious cardiac AEs reported with zanubrutinib Atrial fibrillation/flutter (n=2) 	Serious cardiac AEs	6 (1.9%)
	Cardiac AEs leading to treatment discontinuation	1 (0.3)
– MI/ACS (n=2)	Ventricular extrasystoles	1 (0.3)
 CHF (n=2) Fatal cardiac events: Zanubrutinib, n=0 (0%) Ibrutinib, n=6 (1.9%) 	Atrial fibrillation	0
	Cardiac arrest	0
	Cardiac failure	0
	Cardiac failure acute	0
	Congestive cardiomyopathy	0
	Myocardial infarction	0
	Palpitations	0

^a Cardiac deaths. One death not listed due to myocardial infarction with ibrutinib discontinuation due to diarrhea 14 days prior to the fatal event. ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AE, adverse event; CHF, congestive heart failure; DCO, data cutoff; MI, myocardial infarction.

DCO: 8 Aug 2022

Ibrutinib

(n=324)

96 (29.6%)

25 (7.7%)

14 (4.3)

0

5 (1.5)

2 (0.6)^a

2 (0.6)

1 (0.3)^a

1 (0.3)^a

1 (0.3)^a

1 (0.3)

1(0.3)

Zanubrutinib

-22A

0

Robak T, et al. Zanubrutinib Demonstrated Superior Progression-Free Survival vs Ibrutinib for Treatment of Relapsed/Refractory Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia and Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma: Final Analysis of Phase 3 ALPINE Study. Presented at: 31st Congress of the Polish Society of Hematology and Transfusion Medicine; September 14-16, 2023; Katowice, Poland. Correspondence: Tadeusz Robak; robaktad@csk.umed.lodz.pl

Ventricular fibrillation

Fewer Atrial Fibrillation/Flutter Events With Zanubrutinib



DCO, data cutoff.

DCO: 8 Aug 2022

Conclusions

- Zanubrutinib demonstrated superior PFS over ibrutinib in patients with relapsed/refractory CLL/SLL
 - PFS benefit seen across all major subgroups, including the del(17p)/*TP53^{mut}* population
- Zanubrutinib had a favorable safety profile compared with ibrutinib
 - Lower rate of grade ≥3 and serious AEs, fewer AEs leading to treatment discontinuation and dose reduction
 - Zanubrutinib had a better cardiac profile than ibrutinib with lower rates of atrial fibrillation, serious cardiac events, cardiac events leading to treatment discontinuation, and fatal cardiac events
- ALPINE is the first study to demonstrate PFS superiority in a head-to-head comparison of BTK inhibitors in patients with relapsed/refractory CLL/SLL; zanubrutinib has now proven superiority to ibrutinib in both PFS and ORR

Acknowledgments

- We would like to thank our independent data monitoring committee members for their efforts in this study.
- Additionally, we would like to thank the BeiGene ALPINE study team for all their efforts and hard work.
- Medical writing and editorial support, under the direction of the authors, was provided by Regina Switzer, PhD, and Elizabeth Hermans, PhD, of BeiGene; additional editorial support was provided by ArticulateScience, LLC, and was funded by BeiGene in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP) guidelines (<u>http://www.ismpp.org/gpp-2022</u>).

Correspondence:

Francisco Javier López-Jiménez jljimenez@salud.madrid.org

The authors would like to thank the investigators, site support staff, and especially the patients and their caregivers for participating in the ALPINE study





The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

Original Article

Zanubrutinib or Ibrutinib in Relapsed or Refractory Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia

Backup Slides

Endpoints and Statistical Design

ORR non-inferiority and superiority were demonstrated in the ORR interim and final analyses;
 PFS was tested for non-inferiority under hierarchical testing when 205 events had occurred



CR, complete response; DCO, data cutoff; DoR, duration of response; INV, investigator; ORR, overall response rate; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; PR, partial response; PR-L, partial response with lymphocytosis.

Overall Survival

• Fewer deaths with zanubrutinib compared with ibrutinib



CI, confidence interval; DCO, data cutoff; OS, overall survival.

DCO: 8 Aug 2022

Sensitivity Analyses Are Consistent with Primary PFS Analysis, Including Drug Interruptions and Treatment Discontinuation

Drug Interruptions^{1,2} Treatment Discontinuation² (%) (%) 90 **Survival Probability** Probability 90 80 -80 70-70 **PFS Events PFS Events** Survival 60-60 n (%) n (%) 50 -50 81 (24.8) Zanubrutinib Zanubrutinib 40 (12.2) 40-40 Progression-free ession-free 101 (31.1) 58 (17.8) Ibrutinib Ibrutinib 30-30 Hazard ratio (95% CI)=0.71 (0.53, 0.95) Hazard ratio (95% CI)=0.56 (0.38, 0.84) 20 20 Nominal. two-sided P=.0220 Nominal, two-sided P=.0042 10 Progr 10 0 0 12 21 24 27 30 33 36 39 42 0 12 15 18 21 24 27 30 33 36 39 42 15 18 1 Months from Randomization Months from Randomization No. of Patients at Risk No. of Patients at Risk Zanubrutinib 327 292 279 264 224 Zanubrutinib 327 308 298 294 271 258 222 Ibrutinib 325 301 289 273 256 244 223 190 132 Ibrutinib 325 293 275 263 245 225 217 182 122 93 Ω

CI, confidence interval; DCO, data cutoff; PFS, progression-free survival. 1. Brown JR, Eichhorst E, Hillmen P, et al. N Engl J Med. 2023;388(4):319-332. 2. Data on file.

DCO: 8 Aug 2022