# Zanubrutinib in Acalabrutinib-Intolerant Patients With B-Cell Malignancies


## Mazyar Shadman,<sup>1</sup> Ian W. Flinn,<sup>2</sup> Edwin C. Kingsley,<sup>3</sup> Benjamin B. Freeman,<sup>4</sup> Moshe Y. Levy,<sup>5</sup> Charles M. Farber,<sup>6</sup> James D'Olimpio,<sup>7</sup> Jennifer L. Cultrera,<sup>8</sup> Ben Zhang,<sup>9</sup> Rocco J. Crescenzo,<sup>10</sup> Adam Idoine,<sup>10</sup> Xiaoping Zhang,<sup>10</sup> Kunthel By,<sup>10</sup> Jeff P. Sharman<sup>11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Sarah Cannon Research Institute/Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; <sup>4</sup>Summit Medical Group, Florham Park, NJ, USA; <sup>5</sup>Texas Oncology-Baylor Charles A. Sammons Cancer Center, Dallas, TX, USA; <sup>6</sup>Atlantic Hematology Oncology, Morristown Medical Center, Morristown, NJ, USA; <sup>7</sup>Clinical Research Alliance, Westbury, NY, USA; <sup>8</sup>Florida Cancer Specialists & Research Institute, Leesburg, FL, USA; <sup>9</sup>Minnesota Oncology Clinic, Burnsville, MN, USA; <sup>10</sup>BeiGene (Beijing) Co, Ltd, Beijing, China, and BeiGene USA, Inc, San Mateo, CA, USA; <sup>11</sup>Willamette Valley Cancer Institute and Research Center, Eugene, OR, USA

## INTRODUCTION

- Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitors are a mainstay of treatment for B-cell malignancies; however, their use can be limited by adverse events (AEs), many of which are potentially caused by off-target inhibition of other tyrosine kinases<sup>1-3</sup>
- Zanubrutinib is a potent and selective next-generation BTK inhibitor designed to maximize BTK occupancy and minimize off-target kinase binding and associated AEs<sup>4</sup>
- Previous results from an ongoing phase 2 study (BGB-3111-215; NCT04116437) showed that zanubrutinib is well tolerated in patients who are intolerant of ibrutinib and/or acalabrutinib<sup>5</sup>
- Zanubrutinib demonstrated higher selectivity than ibrutinib, acalabrutinib, and acalabrutinib's major metabolite, M27, by kinase profiling (Figure 1)<sup>5,6</sup>
- Zanubrutinib, ibrutinib, acalabrutinib, and M27 (metabolite of acalabrutinib) demonstrated >50% inhibition of 7, 17, 15, and 23 kinases, respectively, of the 370 kinases tested
- Here, we report updated results on the tolerability and efficacy of zanubrutinib in patients intolerant of acalabrutinib (Cohort 2)

Figure 1. Kinase Selectivity of Zanubrutinib, Ibrutinib, Acalabrutinib, and Acalabrutinib Metabolite M27




Reprinted from Shadman M, et al. *Lancet Haematol.* 2023;10(1):e35-e45. Copyright © 2022 Elsevier Ltd.

## METHODS

- BGB-3111-215 is an ongoing phase 2 study (Figure 2) in patients with previously treated B-cell malignancies who were intolerant of acalabrutinib, as defined by 1 of the following:
- Grade ≥1 nonhematologic toxicities with ≥3 recurrent episodes or lasting >7 days, or grade ≥3 of any duration
- Grade ≥3 febrile neutropenia of any duration
   Grade 4 heme toxicity which persists to the point that the investigator chose to stop therapy due to toxicity, not progression
- Inability to use acid-reducing agents or anticoagulants (eg, proton pump inhibitors, warfarin) due to concurrent acalabrutinib use
- Patients with Richter transformation or progressive disease (PD) while on prior BTK inhibitor treatment were excluded

#### Figure 2. BGB-3111-215 Study Design



**Primary objective:** evaluate safety of zanubrutinib in acalabrutinib-intolerant patients, as assessed by recurrence and change in severity of acalabrutinib-intolerance AEs **Secondary objective:** evaluate efficacy of zanubrutinib by investigator-assessed ORR, DCR, PFS, and

WM, Waldenström macroglobulinemia

atient-reported outcomes

## RESULTS

#### **Patients**

As of May 15, 2023, 27 acalabrutinib-intolerant patients had enrolled (Table 1);
 13 of these patients were also intolerant of ibrutinib

**Table 1. Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics** 

Acalabrutinib-Intolerant (n=27)
17 (63)
2 (7)
2 (7)
2 (7)
4 (15)
73 (51-87)
17 (63)
10 (37)
18 (67)
7 (26)
2 (7)
2 (1-6)
12 (44)
1 (4)
26 (96)
1 (4)
5.4 (0.5-33.7)
19 (70)
8 (30)

SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; WM, Waldenström macroglobulinemia.
 Of 27 acalabrutinib-intolerant patients, 7 (26%) discontinued zanubrutinib treatment

(**Table 2**) (AE, n=2; physician decision, n=2; withdrawal by patient, n=2; PD, n=1)

#### Table 2. Patient Disposition

Patients, n (%)	Acalabrutinib-Intolerant (n=27)
Remaining on treatment	20 (74)
Remaining on study	23 (85)
Discontinued from treatment	7 (26)
AE	<b>2 (7)</b> <sup>a</sup>
Physician decision	2 (7)
Withdrawal by patient	2 (7)
PD	1 (4)
Death, n (%)	1 (4)
Zanubrutinib treatment duration, median (range), months	11.4 (0.5-32.2)
Survival follow-up, median (range), months	12.4 (1.6-32.2)

• Myalgia (n=1), diarrhea (n=1).

#### Safety

- Of 40 acalabrutinib-intolerance events that were reported by 27 patients, the most common (≥2 events) were arthralgia (n=6), headache (n=5), myalgia (n=5), diarrhea (n=3), rash (n=3), fatigue (n=2), and hemorrhage (n=2) (Figure 3)
- Most acalabrutinib-intolerance events (28 of 40; 70%) did not recur at any grade with zanubrutinib; of the 12 that did recur, none recurred at a higher severity
- Seventeen of 27 patients (63%) did not experience any recurrence of their prior acalabrutinib-intolerance events
- Two patients discontinued zanubrutinib due to recurrence of a prior acalabrutinibintolerance event (grade 2 myalgia, n=1; and grade 3 diarrhea, n=1; both recurred at the same grade)
- Three of 27 patients (11%) experienced the same intolerance event (pain in extremity, diarrhea, and atrial fibrillation; n=1 each) with ibrutinib and acalabrutinib
- Two (67%) did not have a recurrence of those events with zanubrutinib
- One (33%) had a recurrence at a lower grade (diarrhea)




Figure 3. Recurrence of Acalabrutinib-Intolerance Events on Zanubrutinib

<sup>a</sup> No events recurred at a higher grade.

- No AEs led to death, and 2 events (7%) led to treatment discontinuation (Table 3)
  The most common grade ≥3 AE was neutrophil count decreased, which occurred in
- 3 patients (11%) (**Table 4**)
- Anemia and thrombocytopenia/platelet count decreased did not occur

#### **Table 3. Adverse Event Summary**

Patients, n (%)	Any Grade (n=27)
Serious AE	7 (26)
Leading to treatment discontinuation	2 (7)
Leading to dose interruption	16 (59)
Leading to dose reduction	6 (22)
Leading to death	0

#### Table 4. Select Adverse Events<sup>a</sup>

Patients, n (%)	Any Grade (n=27)	Grade ≥3 (n=27)
Any AE	26 (96)	12 (44) <sup>b</sup>
Neutrophil count decreased	3 (11)	3 (11)
Neutropenia	2 (7)	2 (7)
Diarrhea	12 (44)	1 (4)
Hypertension	6 (22)	1 (4)
COVID-19	5 (19)	1 (4)
Maculopapular rash	3 (11)	1 (4)
Abdominal pain	2 (7)	1 (4)
Bacteremia	1 (4)	1 (4)
Cellulitis	1 (4)	1 (4)
COVID-19 pneumonia	1 (4)	1 (4)
Fall	1 (4)	1 (4)
Febrile neutropenia	1 (4)	1 (4)
Gastroenteritis salmonella	1 (4)	1 (4)
Hip fracture	1 (4)	1 (4)
Pneumonia	1 (4)	1 (4)
Small intestinal obstruction	1 (4)	1 (4)




## CONCLUSIONS

- The median zanubrutinib exposure was 6 months longer than the reported cumulative acalabrutinib exposure before discontinuation (11.4 months vs 5.4 months, respectively)
- Most patients (63%) did not experience any recurrence of their prior acalabrutinib-intolerance event
- Of the 40 acalabrutinib-intolerance events, 28 did not recur; of the 12 that did recur, none recurred at a higher grade
- Zanubrutinib provided clinically meaningful benefit in efficacy-evaluable patients who were previously intolerant of acalabrutinib, as measured by a disease control rate of 96%
- The results from this study demonstrate that zanubrutinib may be a viable treatment option for patients who are intolerant of acalabrutinib

#### Efficacy

- Among the 25 efficacy-evaluable patients on zanubrutinib, 24 (96%) achieved SD or better, and 16 (64%) achieved minor response (MR) or better (**Figure 4**)
- Twelve of 17 efficacy-evaluable patients (71%) with CLL/SLL on zanubrutinib achieved a partial response with lymphocytosis (PR-L) or better

#### Figure 4. BOR by Investigator Assessment



<sup>a</sup> In patients with a BOR better than SD. BOR, best overall response; DCR, disease control rate; MR, minor response; PR-L, partial response with lymphocytosis.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Stephens DM, Byrd JC. *Blood.* 2019;133(12):1298-1307.
- 2. Furman RR, et al. *Leukemia*. 2021;35(11):3201-3211.
- 3. Mato AR, et al. *Haematol*. 2018;103(5):874-879.
- 4. Guo Y, et al. *J Med Chem*. 2019;62(17):7923-7940.
- 5. Shadman M, et al. *Lancet Haematol*. 2023;10(1):e35-e45. 6. Shadman M, et al. *Blood*. 2021;138(suppl 1):1410.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the investigators, site support staff, and especially the patients for participating in this study. This study was sponsored by BeiGene, Ltd. Medical writing and editorial assistance was provided by Nucleus Global, an Inizio company, and supported by BeiGene.

ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT04116437; data cutoff: May 15, 2023

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Study is ongoing. CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DCR, disease control rate; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma;