UPDATED RESULTS OF THE PARP1/2 INHIBITOR PAMIPARIB IN COMBINATION WITH LOW-DOSE TEMOZOLOMIDE IN PATIENTS WITH LOCALLY ADVANCED OR METASTATIC SOLID TUMORS

Agostina Stradella¹, Melissa Johnson², Sanjay Goel³, Sreenivasa R. Chandana⁴, Matthew D. Galsky⁵, Emiliano Calvo⁶, Victor Moreno⁷, Haeseong Park⁸, Tobias Arkenau⁹, Andrés Cervantes¹⁰, Lorena Fariñas-Madrid¹¹, Linda Mileshkin¹², Ruth Plummer¹³, Jeff Evans¹⁴, Lisa Horvath¹⁵, Amy Prawira¹⁶, Robert Pelham¹⁷, Song Mu¹⁸, Claudia Andreu-Vieyra¹⁹, and Minal Barve²⁰

¹Institut Catala, Barcelona, Spain; ²Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Icahn School of Medical Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid - CIOCC, Centro Integral Oncology, Montefiore Medical Center, Bronx, NY, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Icahn School of Medical Oncology, Icahn School of Medical Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid - CIOCC, Centro Integral Oncology, Icahn School of Medical Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Icahn School of Medical Oncology, Icahn School of Medical Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN, USA; ⁴START Madrid, Spain; ¹Institute, Tennessee, Nashville, TN, US ⁷START Madrid - FJD, Hospital Fundación Jiménez Díaz, Madrid, Spain; ¹¹Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; ¹²Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Australia; ¹³Sir Bobby Robson Cancer Trials Research Institute, Incliva, Valencia, Spain; ¹⁴Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain; ¹⁴Vall d'Hebron, Barce Newcastle UK; ¹⁴Beatson West of Scotland, Glasgow, UK; ¹⁵Chris O'Brien Lifehouse, Sydney, Australia; ¹⁶St Vincent's Hospital, Sydney, Australia; ¹⁷CDx and Biomarker Development, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁹Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Pharmacology, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, Sydney, Australia; ¹⁰Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, Sydney, Australia; ¹⁰Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, Sydney, Australia; ¹⁰Clinical Science, BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ¹⁰Clinical Science, Sydney, Australia; ¹⁰Clinical Science, Sydney, ¹⁰Clinical Science, Sydney, ¹⁰Clinical Science, Sydney, ¹⁰Clinic

BACKGROUND

- Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) proteins play a key role in the repair of single strand (ss) and double strand (ds) DNA breaks^{1,2}
- Normal cells repair DNA breaks using the base excision repair (BER) and the homologous recombination (HR) pathways; cancer cells that are HR deficient (HRD⁺) are unable to repair dsDNA
- PARP inhibition impairs DNA repair and traps PARP proteins on damaged DNA, resulting in cytotoxicity that is exacerbated in HRD⁺ cells (synthetic lethality)^{3–9}
- Pamiparib is an investigational PARP1/2 inhibitor that has demonstrated brain penetration and PARP-DNA complex-trapping capabilities in preclinical studies and promising antitumor activity in ovarian cancer¹
- Temozolomide (TMZ) methylates DNA bases, creating adducts that are repaired by the BER pathway in a PARP-dependent fashion; PARP inhibition results in the accumulation of highly cytotoxic adducts, leading to cell death (Figure 1)
- Low-dose (Id) TMZ (11.5 mg/m² to 69 mg/m²) has been shown to cause DNA damage in tissues and peripheral blood cells in preclinical *in vivo* studies¹¹
- We hypothesize that DNA damage caused by Id TMZ may synergize with PARP inhibition, and that this synergy will result in increased antitumor activity
- This phase 1b study (NCT03150810) evaluates the safety and preliminary antitumor activity of pamiparib in combination with Id TMZ in patients with locally advanced and metastatic tumors. We have previously shown that pamiparib in combination with Id TMZ was generally well-tolerated and has antitumor activity.¹² Here, we present updated results that include the recommended dose (RP2D) and schedule as well as data from patients enrolled in the gastric/gastroesophageal junction (G/GEJ) cancer and the extensive-stage small cell lung cancer (ES SCLC) expansion cohorts



Abbreviations: BRCA=breast cancer susceptibility gene, DNA=deoxyribonucleic acid, HRD=homologous recombination deficiency, Ld=low dose, PARP=poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase, PARPi=PARP inhibitor, ssDNA=single-strand DNA,TMZ=temozolomide

METHODS

- The study design is detailed in Figure 2
- The design allowed for exploration of intermediate doses and alternate administration schedules based on emerging safety and pharmacokinetic data
- Radiographic assessments are being conducted every 8 weeks; efficacy set was defined as patients with ≥1 post-baseline tumor assessments

Key Eligibility

- Adult patients (≥18 years old) with a confirmed malignancy that has progressed to advanced or metastatic stage with no option for effective standard therapy
- ECOG PS ≤1
- Disease that is measurable or evaluable per RECIST v1.1; prostate cancer patients were evaluated using Prostate Cancer Working Group 2 (PCWG2) criteria
- Patients were excluded if they had hypersensitivity to TMZ or dacarbazine, or had prior treatment with a PARP inhibitor
- ≤2 prior lines of chemotherapy in the advanced setting (for patients enrolled in the ES SCLC and G/GEJ cancer expansion cohorts only)

Figure 2: Study Design

Day 1 7

Abbreviations: BID=twice daily, BSA=body surface area, D=day, ES SCLC=extensive-stage small cell lung cancer, G/GEJ=gastric/ gastroesophageal junction, HRD=homologous recombination deficiency, MAD=maximum administered dose, mCRPC=metastatic castrationresistant prostate cancer, MTD=maximum tolerated dose, OvCa=ovarian cancer, PO=per orem, QD=once daily, RP2D=recommended phase 2 dose, TMZ=temozolomide, TNBC=triple-negative breast cancer

RESULTS

Patient Disposition

Table 1: Patient Demographics

Median age, years (ra

Sex, n (%)

Race, n (%)

ECOG PS, n (%)

Median prior cancer

Prior anticancer therapies, n (%)

Recommended Phase 2 Dose and Schedule

Pharmacokinetics

of single-agent pamiparib

Time point

Predose 2h postdose Abbreviations: CV=coefficient of variation, Id=low dose, TMZ=temozolomide



• As of 29 July 2019, 113 patients with solid tumors have been enrolled in the study (Table 1); median treatment duration was 2.4 months (range: 0.2–18.1)

• A total of 17 patients (15%) remain on pamiparib and Id TMZ treatment

| | Dose escalation (n=66) | Dose expansion (n=47) | Overall (N=113) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| inge) | 66 (38–85) | 61.0 (26–77) | 64.0 (26–85) |
| Male | 33 (50.0) | 26 (55.3) | 59 (52.2) |
| Female | 33 (50.0) | 21 (44.7) | 54 (47.8) |
| Caucasian | 46 (69.7) | 38 (80.9) | 84 (74.3) |
| Black/African American | 9 (13.6) | 1 (2.1) | 10 (8.8) |
| Asian | 1 (1.5) | 1 (2.1) | 2 (1.8) |
| Other | 5 (7.6) | 5 (10.6) | 10 (8.8) |
| Unknown | 5 (7.6) | 2 (4.3) | 7 (6.2) |
| 0 | 16 (24.2) | 14 (29.8) | 30 (26.5) |
| 1 | 50 (75.8) | 33 (70.2) | 83 (73.5) |
| herapies, n (range) | 3.0 (1–10) | 2.0 (1–5) | 3.0 (1–10) |
| ≤3 | 34 (51.5) | 44 (93.6) | 78 (69.0) |
| 4-6 | 22 (33.3) | 2 (4.3) | 24 (21.2) |
| ≥7 | 10 (15.2) | 0 (0) | 10 (8.8) |
| Missing | 0 | 1 (2) | 1 (0.9) |

Abbreviations: ECOG PS=Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status

• Enrollment in the dose-escalation phase was completed in September 2018; the RP2D was determined to be pamiparib 60 mg PO BID D1–28 in combination with TMZ 60 mg PO QD D1–7, based on tolerability

• Pamiparib plasma exposure in patients treated with the combination regimen (Table 2) was similar to that

Table 2: Pamiparib Pharmacokinetics

| Geometric Mean Pamiparib Concentration (%CV) | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Pamiparib + Id TMZ (N=51) | | Pamiparib mono | Pamiparib monotherapy (N=11) | | | |
| Single dose | Steady state | Single dose | Steady state | | | |
| 0 ng/mL (0) | 2005 ng/mL (69) | 0 ng/mL (0) | 2327 ng/mL (35) | | | |
| 1744 ng/mL (32) | 3450 ng/mL (40) | 1881 ng/mL (27) | 3891 ng/mL (47) | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Dose Escalation: Preliminary Antitumor Activity



Biomarker analysis: Biomarker data were generated from ctDNA (C1D1), gDNA (C1D1) and/or tissue samples. NGS of ctDNA was performed using a 600-gene panel (PredicineATLAS). Somatic BRCA1 and BRCA2 status, HRD score and mutational status of selected genes were determined using NGS of DNA extracted from FFPE tissues (Myriad myChoice HRD). The mutational status of germline BRCA1, BRCA2 status, and selected genes were determined using NGS of gDNA (Color Genomics). Only pathogenic mutations are shown (ClinVar) annotated as germline, somatic, or both.

Abbreviations: BRCA=breast cancer susceptibility gene, ctDNA=circulating tumor DNA, DNA=deoxyribonucleic acid, FFPE=formalinfixed/parafin embedded, gDNA=genomic DNA, HRD=homologous recombination deficiency, NGS=next-generation sequencing, Non-sq NSCLC=non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer, OvCa=ovarian cancer, PCWG2=Prostate Cancer Working Group 2, PSA=prostate-specific antigen, SCLC=small cell lung cancer, Sq NSCLC=squamous non-small cell lung cancer, TNBC=triple-negative breast cancer

- As of 29 July 2019, 57/66 patients enrolled in the dose-escalation phase were evaluable for response; 52/66 patients had measurable disease and were evaluated by either RECIST (Figure 3) or PCWG2 (prostate cancer)
- Overall response rate (ORR) was 19.3% (11 PR); 8/11 responses were confirmed. In addition, an unconfirmed PSA response was observed in 1 prostate cancer patient - Disease control rate (DCR) was 64.9% (95% CI, 51.1–77.1)
- Median duration of response was 6.4 months (95% CI, 2.1–7.7) Median treatment duration was 3.7 months (range 0.2–18.1)
- Biomarker analyses showed an HRD^+ score rate of 32.2% (N=22; $HRD^+=8/22$)
- 62.5% of patients (5/8) with HRD⁺ scores showed a response
- Not all HRD⁺ patients harbored mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2, or PALB2

G/GEJ Cancer Expansion Cohort: Preliminary Antitumor Activity (≤2 Prior Lines of Chemotherapy)

Figure 4: Treatment Duration in Evaluable Patients



Abbreviations: PD=progressive disease, SD=stable disease

- At the time of data cut off, 20 G/GEJ cancer patients had been enrolled in the dose-expansion phase. and 15 were evaluable for response. The median follow-up time was 4 months (range 0.4–7.4) 70% of patients had an ECOG PS of 1
- ORR was 0%; DCR was 33.3% (95% CI, 11.8–61.6) Median treatment duration was 1.94 months (range 0.3–5.8); 2 patients are still ongoing (Figure 4)

ES SCLC Expansion Cohort: Preliminary Antitumor Activity (≤2 Prior Lines of Chemotherapy)



Abbreviations: CR=complete response, PD=progressive disease, PR=partial response, SD=stable disease

- As of 29 July 2019, 22 ES SCLC patients had been enrolled in the dose-expansion phase and 19 were evaluable for response (Figure 5). The median follow-up time was 4 months (range 0.5–6.4) 50% of patients had a chemotherapy-free interval ≥ 90 days from their last platinum regimen and 86.4%
- of patients had an ECOG PS of 1
- ORR was 31.6% (5 PR and 1 CR); DCR was 78.9% (95% CI, 54.4–93.9) (Figure 5A)
- Median treatment duration was 3.6 months (range 1.0–5.7); 5 patients are still ongoing (Figure 4)

Safety and Tolerability

- 112 patients had \geq 1 treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE); the most common TEAEs (all grades) were anemia, nausea, fatigue, decreased appetite, neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and vomiting (Table 3)
- 63 (55.8%) patients experienced Grade \geq 3 TEAEs related to the study drug (Table 4) - The most common Grade \geq 3 adverse events (AEs) were cytopenias (anemia, neutropenia, and thrombocytopenia), all of which were manageable and reversible
- Related Grade 4 AEs included neutropenia (9.7%), decreased neutrophil counts (8.8%), thrombocytopenia (11.5%), decreased platelet counts (7.1%), decreased WBC (2.7%); and anemia, aspartate aminotransferase (AST) elevation and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) elevation (0.9% each)
- No related Grade 5 AEs were reported
- 3 (2.7%) patients experienced AEs related to the study drug that resulted in treatment discontinuation
- Serious AEs related to study treatment were reported in 11 (9.7%) patients
- 4 (3.5%) patients experienced AEs with fatal outcome, all of which were deemed unrelated to the study drug

Table 3: TEAEs Occurring in ≥9% of Patients Regardless of Relationship (All Grades)

| TEAEs | Dose escalation (n=66) | Dose expansion (n=47) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Anemia | 36 (54.5) | 29 (61.7) |
| Nausea | 35 (53.0) | 26 (55.3) |
| Fatigue | 30 (45.5) | 25 (53.2) |
| Decreased appetite | 20 (30.3) | 19 (40.4) |
| Neutropenia | 22 (33.3) | 15 (31.9) |
| Thrombocytopenia | 23 (34.8) | 13 (27.7) |
| Vomiting | 15 (22.7) | 18 (38.3) |
| Platelet count decrease | 12 (18.2) | 14 (29.8) |
| Constipation | 7 (10.6) | 15 (31.9) |
| Diarrhea | 14 (21.2) | 8 (17.0) |
| Neutrophil count decrease | 12 (18.2) | 10 (21.3) |
| Abdominal pain | 11 (16.7) | 7 (14.9) |
| Cough | 9 (13.6) | 6 (12.8) |
| Dyspnea | 12 (18.2) | 3 (6.4) |
| Dizziness | 10 (15.2) | 4 (8.5) |
| Aspartate aminotransferase increase | 9 (13.6) | 4 (8.5) |
| Headache | 7 (10.6) | 5 (10.6) |
| White blood cell count decrease | 8 (12.1) | 4 (8.5) |
| Blood bilirubin increase | 7 (10.6) | 3 (6.4) |
| Hypokalemia | 8 (12.1) | 2 (4.3) |

Abbreviation: TEAE=treatment-emergent adverse even

POSTER 451PD

European Society for Medical Oncology Congress 27 September–1 October, 2019, Barcelona, Spain

| All patients (N=113) |
|-------------------------|
| |
| 00 (07.0) |
| 61 (54.0) |
| 55 (48.7) |
| 39 (34.5) |
| 37 (32.7) |
| 36 (31.9) |
| 33 (29.2) |
| 26 (23.0) |
| 22 (19.5) |
| 22 (19.5) |
| 22 (19.5) |
| 18 (15.9) |
| 15 (13.3) |
| 15 (13.3) |
| 14 (12.4) |
| 13 (11.5) |
| 12 (10.6) |
| 12 (10.6) |
| 10 (8.8) |
| 10 (8.8) |

CONCLUSIONS

- The RP2D and schedule of the combination was determined to be pamiparib 60 mg PO BID D1–28 and TMZ 60 mg PO QD D1-7
- The combination of pamiparib and Id TMZ shows:
- a manageable safety profile, with cytopenias being the most frequent Grade ≥3 events
- promising preliminary efficacy in patients with ES SCLC and various other tumor types
- Dose-escalation patients with HRD⁺ tumors, irrespective of BRCA mutational status, showed a high response rate
- HRD status may be a promising biomarker for sensitivity to the pamiparib + Id TMZ combination regardless of tumor type
- Further biomarker exploration is underway

Table 4: TEAEs Grade ≥3 Reported as Related to Pamiparib and/or Temozolomide Occurring in More Than 2 Patients

| TEAEs | Dose escalation (n=66) | Dose expansion (n=47) | All patients (N=113) | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Anemia | 21 (31.8) | 16 (34.0) | 37 (32.7) | |
| Neutropenia | 18 (27.3) | 11 (23.4) | 29 (25.7) | |
| Thrombocytopenia | 13 (19.7) | 9 (19.1) | 22 (19.5) | |
| Neutrophil count decrease | 11 (16.7) | 9 (19.1) | 20 (17.7) | |
| Platelet count decreased | 7 (10.6) | 8 (17.0) | 15 (13.3) | |
| WBC count decreased | 7 (10.6) | 4 (8.5) | 11 (9.7) | |
| Asthenia | 0 (0) | 3 (6.4) | 3 (2.7) | |

Abbreviations: TEAE=treatment-emergent adverse event, WBC=white blood cell

REFERENCES

- 1. Thomas C, Tulin AV. Poly-ADP-ribose polymerase: Machinery for nuclear processes. *Mol Aspects Med.* 2013;34(6): 1124-1137.
- . Coleman RL, Sill MW, Bell-McGuinn K, et al. A phase II evaluation of the potent, highly selective PARP inhibitor veliparib in the treatment of persistent or recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer in patients who carry a germline BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation - An NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group study. Gynecol Oncol. 2015;137(3):386-391
- Pommier Y, O'Connor MJ, de Bono J. Laying a trap to kill cancer cells: PARP inhibitors and their mechanisms of action. Sci Transl Med. 2016;8(362):362ps317
- 4. Kubota E, Williamson CT, Ye R, et al. Low ATM protein expression and depletion of p53 correlates with olaparib sensitivity in gastric cancer cell lines. Cell Cycle. 2014;13(13):2129-2137.
- Ledermann J, Harter P, Gourley C, et al. Olaparib maintenance therapy in platinum-sensitive relapsed ovarian cancer *N Engl J Med.* 2012;366(15):1382-1392.
- 6. Owonikoko TK, Dahlberg SE, Khan SA, et al. A phase 1 safety study of veliparib combined with cisplatin and etoposide in extensive stage small cell lung cancer: A trial of the ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group (E2511). Lung Cancer. 2015;89(1):66-70.
- Robinson D, Van Allen EM, Wu YM, et al. Integrative clinical genomics of advanced prostate cancer. Cell. 2015;161(5): 1215-1228
- Tutt A, Robson M, Garber JE, et al. Oral poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor olaparib in patients with BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations and advanced breast cancer: a proof-of concept trial. Lancet. 2010;376(9737):235-244.
- 9. Murai J, Huang S-Y, Das B, et al. Trapping of PARP1 and PARP2 by clinical PARP inhibitors. *Cancer Res.* 2012;72(21): 5588-5599
- 10. Lickliter J, Mileshkin L, Voskoboynikm M, et al. Dose escalation/expansion study to investigate the safety, pharmacokinetics food effect, and antitumor activity of BGB-290 in patients with advanced solid tumors. Ann Oncol. 2017; 28 (suppl 5): v122-v141.
- 11. Guerard M, Johnson G, Dertinger S, et al. Dose-response relationship of temozolomide, determined by the Pig-a, comet, and micronucleous assay. Arch Toxicol. 2017; 91:2443-2453.
- 12. Johnson M, Galsky M, Barve M, et al. Preliminary results of pampirarib, a PARP1/2 inhibitor, in combination with temozolomide in patients with locally advanced or metastatic solid tumors. Poster number 421P. Presented at ESMO. October 19–23 2018. Munich, Germany.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the investigative center study staff, the study patients, and their families. BeiGene, Ltd. provided financial support for this presentation, including writing and editorial assistance by Ira Mills, PhD, and Erin Spohr of Ashfield Healthcare Communications.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURE

A Stradella served as a consultant for Celgene, Eisai, and Roche and received travel support from Celgene, Eisai, Pfizer, and Roche. Other author disclosures detailed in the Quick Response (QR) Code. Copies of this poster obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from the author of this poster.

