*Title (Italian):* ZANUBRUTINIB DIMOSTRA UNA SOPRAVVIVENZA LIBERA DA PROGRESSIONE SUPERIORE RISPETTO A IBRUTINIB NEL TRATTAMENTO DELLA LEUCEMIA LINFATICA CRONICA E DEL LINFOMA A PICCOLI LINFOCITI RECIDIVATI/ REFRATTARI: ANALISI FINALE DELLO STUDIO ALPINE DI FASE 3

*Title (English):* ZANUBRUTINIB (ZANU) DEMONSTRATES SUPERIOR PROGRESSION-FREE SURVIVAL (PFS) VS IBRUTINIB (IBR) FOR TREATMENT OF RELAPSED/ REFRACTORY CHRONIC LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA AND SMALL LYMPHOCYTIC LYMPHOMA (R/R CLL/SLL): FINAL ANALYSIS OF PHASE 3 ALPINE STUDY

## Authors:

A. Tedeschi<sup>1</sup>, J.R. Brown<sup>2</sup>, B. Eichhorst<sup>3</sup>, P. Hillmen<sup>4</sup>, N. Lamanna<sup>5</sup>, S.M. O'Brien<sup>6</sup>, C.S. Tam<sup>7,8</sup>, L. Qiu<sup>9</sup>, M. Kaźmierczak<sup>10</sup>, W. Jurczak<sup>11</sup>, K. Zhou<sup>12</sup>, M. Šimkovič<sup>13,14</sup>, J. Mayer<sup>15</sup>, A. Gillespie-Twardy<sup>16</sup>, A. Ferrajoli<sup>17</sup>, P.S. Ganly<sup>18</sup>, R. Weinkove<sup>19,20</sup>, S. Grosicki<sup>21</sup>, A. Mital<sup>22</sup>, T. Robak<sup>23</sup>, A. Osterborg<sup>24,25</sup>, H.A. Yimer<sup>26</sup>, T. Salmi<sup>27</sup>, M. (D.Y.) Wang<sup>27</sup>, L. Fu<sup>27</sup>, J. Li<sup>27</sup>, K. Wu<sup>27</sup>, A. Cohen<sup>27</sup>, M. Shadman<sup>28,29</sup>

## Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup>Great Metropolitan Hospital Niguarda, Piazza Ospedale; <sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute; <sup>3</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of Cologne and Center for Integrated Oncology; <sup>4</sup>St James's University Hospital; <sup>5</sup>Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbia University; <sup>6</sup>Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California; <sup>7</sup>The Alfred Hospital; <sup>8</sup>Monash University; <sup>9</sup>State Key Laboratory of Experimental Hematology, National Clinical Research Center for Hematological Disorders, Institute of Hematology and Blood Diseases Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College; <sup>10</sup>Department of Hematology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, Poznan University of Medical Sciences; <sup>11</sup>Maria Skłodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology; <sup>12</sup>Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Henan Cancer Hospital; <sup>13</sup>4<sup>th</sup> Department of Internal Medicine - Hematology, University Hospital; <sup>14</sup>Faculty of Medicine in Hradec Králové, Charles University in Prague; <sup>15</sup>Department of Internal Medicine-Hematology and Oncology, Masaryk University and University Hospital Brno; <sup>16</sup>Blue Ridge Cancer Care; <sup>17</sup>Department of Leukemia, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center; <sup>18</sup>Department of Haematology, Christchurch Hospital; <sup>19</sup>Te Rerenga Ora Blood and Cancer Centre, Te Whatu Ora Health New Zealand Capital Coast & Hutt Valley; <sup>20</sup>Cancer Immunotherapy Programme, Malaghan Institute of Medical Research; <sup>21</sup>Department of Hematology and Cancer Prevention, Health Sciences Faculty, Medical University of Silesia; <sup>22</sup>Department of Hematology and Transplantology, Medical University of Gdańsk; <sup>23</sup>Medical University of Lodz; <sup>24</sup>Department of Oncology-Pathology, Karolinska Institutet: <sup>25</sup>Department of Hematology, Karolinska University Hospital; <sup>26</sup>Texas Oncology-Tyler/US Oncology Research; <sup>27</sup>BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd. and BeiGene USA, Inc.; <sup>28</sup>Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center; <sup>29</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Washington

## Cities:

1. Milan (IT), 2. Boston (USA), 3. Aachen, Bonn, Cologne, Duesseldorf (DE), 4. Leeds (UK), 5. New York (USA), 6. Irvine (USA), 7.8. Melbourne (AU), 9. Tianjin (CN), 10. Poznan (PL), 11. Krakow (PL), 12. Zhengzhou (CN), 13. Hradec Králové (CZ), 14. Prague (CZ), 15. Brno (CZ), 16. Roanoke (USA), 17. Houston (USA), 18. Christchurch (NZ), 19.20. Wellington (NZ), 21. Katowice (PL), 22. Gdańsk (PL), 23. Lodz (PL), 24.25. Stockholm (SE), 26. Tyler (USA), 27. Beijing (CN), San Mateo (USA), 28.29. Seattle (USA)

**Introduction:** ZANU is a next-generation Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor (BTKi) that has improved BTK occupancy across disease-relevant tissues with greater kinase selectivity compared with the first-generation BTKi IBR. In the phase 3 ALPINE study (NCT03734016), ZANU was superior to standard therapy, IBR, in the treatment of R/R CLL/SLL in the predefined interim analysis of the primary endpoint, overall response rate (ORR) assessed by both independent review committee (IRC) and investigator. Here, data from the predefined final analysis of the key secondary efficacy endpoint of PFS are reported.

**Methods:** Patients (pts) with R/R CLL/SLL who received  $\geq 1$  prior therapy and had measurable disease were randomized 1:1 to receive ZANU (n=327) or IBR (n=325) until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. As results for the primary endpoint of ORR were superior with ZANU, the key secondary efficacy endpoint, PFS, was examined using hierarchical testing after 205 PFS events were reached. If ZANU noninferiority was shown, superiority of ZANU over IBR could be tested and supported at a 2-sided *P* value of <.04996. Other endpoints included overall survival (OS) and safety.

**Results:** At the data cutoff (August 8, 2022; median follow-up, 29.6 mo), PFS per IRC with ZANU was superior to that with IBR in the intention-to-treat population (hazard ratio [HR], 0.65; P=.0024) (**Figure 1a**). Across major predefined subgroups, PFS by IRC and investigator consistently favored ZANU over IBR, including in pts with del(17p)/*TP53* mutation (**Figure 1b**). Rates of overall treatment discontinuation (26% vs 41%) and discontinuation due to cardiac disorders (0.3% vs 4.3%), grade ≥3 AEs (67% vs 70%), serious AEs (42% vs 50%), atrial fibrillation/flutter (5% vs 13%), dose interruption (50% vs 57%), dose reduction (12% vs 17%), and death (15% vs 19%) were all lower with ZANU vs IBR. No grade 5 AEs due to cardiac disorders were observed with ZANU vs 6 (1.9%) with IBR. The HR for OS with ZANU vs IBR was 0.76 (95% CI, 0.51-1.11).

**Conclusions:** ALPINE is the first study to show PFS superiority with ZANU in a head-to-head comparison of BTKis in pts with R/R CLL/SLL. With these data and the results from the predefined interim analysis, ZANU has shown superior ORR and PFS and a favorable safety profile vs IBR for treatment of R/R CLL/SLL.

Figure 1. IRC-Assessed Progression-Free Survival in (A) the Intention-to-Treat Population and (B) Pts With del17p/*TP53* Mutation.



IRC, independent review committee; PFS, progression-free survival.