

Pathological Response to Neoadjuvant Tislelizumab (TIS) Plus Platinum-Doublet (PtDb) Chemotherapy (CT) in Resectable Stage II-III A NSCLC Patients (pts) in the Phase 3 (Ph3) RATIONALE-315 Trial

Authors: Dongsheng Yue,¹ Wenxiang Wang,² Hongxu Liu,³ Qixun Chen,⁴ Chun Chen,⁵ Jun Zhang,⁶ Fan Bai,⁷ Changli Wang¹

Affiliations:

1. Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, Tianjin, China
2. Hunan Cancer Hospital, Hunan, China
3. Liaoning Cancer Hospital and Institute, Shenyang, China
4. Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, Hangzhou, China
5. Fujian Medical University Union Hospital, Fuzhou, China
6. BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA
7. BeiGene (Shanghai) Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China

Background

Neoadjuvant (NA) CT with anti-PD-(L)1 mAb has shown promising pathologic response rates (ie, major pathological response [MPR], pathological complete response [pCR]) in pts with resectable NSCLC. The Ph3 RATIONALE-315 study (NCT04379635) investigated the efficacy & safety of NA TIS (anti-PD-1 mAb) or placebo (PBO) + CT, then adj TIS or PBO, in pts with resectable stage II-III A NSCLC.

Methods

This study enrolled pts with treatment (tx)-naïve, resectable, confirmed squamous (sq) or non-sq (nsq) stage II-III A NSCLC who were eligible for PtDb CT, with ECOG PS ≤1 and no known *EGFR* mutation (nsq) or *ALK* gene translocation (sq & nsq). Pts stratified by histology, disease stage, and PD-L1 expression (≥1% vs <1%) were randomized (1:1) to 3-4 cycles of TIS 200 mg IV Q3W or PBO, plus PtDb CT, followed by surgery + 8 cycles of adj TIS 400 mg IV Q6W or PBO. Primary endpoints: MPR rate after completion of NA tx + EFS per RECIST v1.1 by blinded independent review committee (IRC). Key secondary endpoint: pCR rate.

Results

As of 20 Feb 2023 (median follow-up: 16.8 mo), 453 pts (TIS + CT, n=226; CT, n=227) were randomized to the intention-to-treat (ITT) population and had similar baseline characteristics. Of 452 (99.8%; n=226 both arms) pts treated in the NA phase, 421 (92.9%) completed NA tx (TIS + CT, n=211 [93.4%]; CT, n=210 [92.5%]); 90 (19.9%) did not undergo surgery (TIS + CT, n=36 [15.9%]; CT, n=54 [23.8%]). Efficacy & safety data from the NA phase are summarized in the table; MPR & pCR rates were significantly improved with TIS + CT vs CT ($P < 0.0001$). TIS + CT did not impact the feasibility of surgery.

Conclusions

TIS + CT showed clinically meaningful and statistically significant improvements in MPR and pCR rates vs PBO + CT as NA tx. The safety profile of TIS + CT was consistent with known risks of each tx and was manageable in pts with resectable stage II-IIIa NSCLC, further supporting this tx combination for these pts.

Table. Efficacy and Safety Summary

	TIS + CT	CT
	ITT Analysis Set	
	n=226	n=227
MPR, % (95% CI)^a	56.2 (49.5-62.8)	15.0 (10.6-20.3)
Difference, % (95% CI); <i>P</i> value ^b	41.1 (33.2-49.1); <i>P</i> <0.0001	
OR (95% CI)	7.5 (4.8-11.8)	
pCR, % (95% CI)	40.7 (34.2-47.4)	5.7 (3.1-9.6)
Difference, % (95% CI); <i>P</i> value ^b	35.0 (27.9-42.1); <i>P</i> <0.0001	
OR (95% CI)	11.5 (6.2-21.5)	
	Safety Analysis Set^c	
TEAEs	n=226	n=226
	n (%)	
Pts with ≥1 TEAE	224 (99.1)	225 (99.6)
Grade ≥3	157 (69.5)	148 (65.5)
Serious	25 (11.1)	24 (10.6)

^aAssessed by IRC

^b1-sided

^cRandomized pts who received ≥1 dose of any study drug; OR, odds ratio;; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.