Zanubrutinib Plus Obinutuzumab Versus Obinutuzumab in Patients With Relapsed/Refractory Follicular Lymphoma: Updated Analysis of the ROSEWOOD Study

PL Zinzani,¹ J Mayer,² J Trotman,³ F Bijou,⁴ AC de Oliveira,⁵ Y Song,⁶ Q Zhang,⁷ M Merli,⁸ K Bouabdallah,⁹ PS Ganly,¹⁰ H Zhang,¹¹ R Johnson,¹² AM Garcia-Sancho,¹³ M Provencio Pulla,¹⁴ M Trněný,¹⁵ S Yuen,¹⁶ SE Assouline,¹⁷ R Auer,¹⁸ E Ivanoa,¹⁹ P Kim,¹⁹ A Greenbaum,¹⁹ S Huang,¹⁹ R Delarue,²⁰ CR Flowers²¹

¹Seràgnoli Institute of Hematology, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy; ²Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic; Department of Internal Medicine, Hematology and Oncology, University Hospital Brno, Brno, Czech Republic; ³Concord Repatriation General Hospital, University of Sydney, Concord, NSW, Australia; ⁴Institute Bergonié, Bordeaux, France; ⁵Institute Català d'Oncologia (ICO) Hospital Duran i Reynals, Barcelona, Spain; ⁶Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing, China; ⁷Harbin Medical University Cancer Hospital, Harbin, China; ⁸Circolo Hospital and Macchi Foundation, University of Insubria, Varese, Italy; ⁹Haut-Lévêque Hospital, Bordeaux University Hospital Center, Bordeaux, France; ¹⁰Christchurch Hospital, Department of Haematology, Christchurch, New Zealand; ¹¹Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute & Hospital, Tianjin, China; ¹²St. James's University Hospital Trust, Leeds, UK; ¹³Salamanca University Hospital, Salamanca, Spain; ¹⁴Puerta de Hierro Majadahonda University Hospital, Madrid, Spain; ¹⁵General University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic; ¹⁶Calvary Mater Newcastle, Waratah, NSW, Australia; ¹⁷Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada; ¹⁸St. Bartholomew's Hospital, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK; ¹⁹BeiGene (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China; BeiGene USA Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; ²⁰Beigene International GmbH, Basel, Switzerland; ²¹MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Lymphoma/Myeloma, Houston, TX, USA

Presented at: 17th International Conference on Malignant Lymphoma; June 13-17, 2023; Lugano, Switzerland. Correspondence: Pier Luigi Zinzani, MD, PhD; pierluigi.zinzani@unibo.it

Abstract 81

Speaker Disclosures

Pier Luigi Zinzani had a consulting or advisory role with Celltrion, Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, Bristol Myers Squibb, SERVIER, Sandoz, MSD, AstraZeneca, Roche, EUSA Pharma, Kyowa Kirin, Takeda, Secura Bio, TG Therapeutics, Novartis, ADC Therapeutics, Incyte, BeiGene; and speakers bureau with Celltrion, Gilead Sciences, Janssen-Cilag, Bristol Myers Squibb, SERVIER, MSD, AstraZeneca, Takeda, EUSA Pharma, Roche, Kyowa Kirin, Novartis, Incyte, BeiGene.

Background

- In a phase 1b/2 study that included patients with R/R FL, the combination of zanubrutinib^a + obinutuzumab was generally well tolerated, with an ORR of 72% and a complete response rate of 39%¹
- The ROSEWOOD trial (BGB-3111-212; NCT03332017) examined zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab vs obinutuzumab in patients with R/R FL who received ≥2 prior lines of therapy
- At the primary analysis, the trial met its primary endpoint of ORR²
 - Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab, 68.3%
 P=.0017
 - Obinutuzumab, 45.8%

Here we report an updated analysis of the ROSEWOOD trial with a median follow-up of 20.2 months

FL, follicular lymphoma; ORR, objective response rate; R/R, relapsed or refractory.

a Zanubrutinib monotherapy is approved in the US and EU for the treatment of adult patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia; marginal zone lymphoma after >1 prior anti-CD20–based therapy; Waldenström macroglobulinemia (in EU: after >1 prior therapy, or as first-line treatment if unsuitable for chemoimmunotherapy); and mantle cell lymphoma after >1 prior therapy (US only).

1. Tam CS, et al. Blood Adv. 2020;4(19):4802-4811; 2. Zinzani PL, et al. ASCO 2022. Abstract 7510.

ROSEWOOD study design

Key eligibility criteria

- •Age ≥18 years
- Grade 1-3A R/R FL
- Previous treatment with ≥2 lines of therapy, including an anti-CD20 antibody and an alkylating agent
- Measurable disease
- ECOG PS of 0-2
- Adequate organ functionNo prior BTK inhibitor

Arm A Zanubrutinib^a + obinutuzumab^b (N=145) Until PD or unacceptable toxicity

Randomization 2:1

- Stratification factors
- Number of prior lines of treatment
- Rituximab-refractory status
- Geographic region

Arm B
 Obinutuzumab^b (N=72)
 → Option to cross over to combination if PD is centrally confirmed or if there is no response at 12 months


Primary endpoint ORR by IRC according to Lugano 2014 classification¹ Other endpoints DOR by IRC° PFS by IRC° OS° TTNT Safety (AEs)°

127 sites; 17 countries/regions Randomized November 2017 to June 2021

AE, adverse event; BTK, Bruton tyrosine kinase; DOR, duration of response; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; FL, follicular lymphoma; IRC, independent review committee; ORR, objective response rate; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; R/R, relapsed or refractory; TTNT, time to next treatment.

^a Zanubrutinib was given orally at 160 mg twice daily. ^b Obinutuzumab was given intravenously at 1000 mg in both arms on days 1, 8, and 15 of cycle 1, day 1 of cycles 2-6, and then every 8 weeks up to a maximum of 20 doses. ^c Secondary endpoint. 1. Cheson BD, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(27):3059-3068.

One-third of patients were still receiving zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab at the time of this updated analysis



The study population was heavily pretreated and had refractory disease

63.0 (31-84) 59 (40.6) 77 (53.1) 119 (82.1) 23 (15.9)	65.5 (32-88) 41 (57.0) 37 (51.4) 60 (83.3)
77 (53.1) 119 (82.1)	37 (51.4)
119 (82.1)	
. ,	60 (83.3)
23 (15 0)	
20 (10.0)	12 (16.7)
49 (33.8)	29 (40.3)
83 (57.2)	40 (55.6)
3 (2-11)	3 (2-9)
78 (53.8)	36 (50.0)
47 (32.4)	29 (40.3)
50 (34.5)	30 (41.7)
143 (98.6)	71 (98.6)
118 (81.4)	57 (79.2)
136 (93.8)	68 (94.4)
79 (54.5)	40 (55.6)
	83 (57.2) 3 (2-11) 78 (53.8) 47 (32.4) 50 (34.5) 143 (98.6) 118 (81.4) 136 (93.8)

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; FLIPI, Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index; GELF, Groupe d'Etude des Lymphomes Folliculaires; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; PD, progressive disease; ULN, upper limit of normal.

Median treatment exposure for zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab was twice that for obinutuzumab alone

Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab

- Median zanubrutinib exposure was 12.2 months (range, 0.5-44.1 months)
 - 56.7% of patients received ≥12 cycles
 - Median relative dose intensity was 98.9% (range, 30.7%-100%)
 - Median number of obinutuzumab infusions was 11 (range, 3-20)

Obinutuzumab

- Median exposure was 6.5 months (range, 0.1-28.7 months)
 - Median number of infusions was 9 (range, 3-20)

ORR difference by IRC was 22.7% in favor of zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab at the median study follow-up of 20.2 months

Endpoint	Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab (n=145)	Obinutuzumab (n=72)	2-sided <i>P</i> value
ORR by IRC ^a (95% CI), %	69.0 (60.8-76.4)	45.8 (34.0-58.0)	.0012
CR	39.3	19.4	.0035
PR	29.7	26.4	_
DOR by IRC			
Median (95% CI), months	NE (25.3-NE)	14.0 (9.2-25.1)	_
18-month DOR rate (95% CI), %	69.3 (57.8-78.2)	41.9 (22.6-60.1)	_
DOCR by IRC			
Median (95% CI), months	NE (26.5-NE)	26.5 (2.7-NE)	_
18-month DOCR rate (95% CI), %	87.4 (73.8-94.2)	51.1 (21.0-74.9)	_

CR, complete response; DOCR, duration of CR; DOR, duration of response; IRC, independent review committee; NE, not estimable; ORR, objective response rate; PR, partial response. ^a ORR difference by IRC was 22.7%; 95% CI, 9.0%-36.5%.

Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab showed consistent benefit over obinutuzumab across prespecified subgroups

Subgroups	Respons	e/patients		
	Obinutuzumab	Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab		Risk difference (95% Cl), %
All patients in ITT	33/72	100/145	_ _	22.7 (9.0-36.5)
Age, years				
<65	14/32	58/83		26.1 (6.3-45.9)
≥65	19/40	42/62		20.2 (0.9-39.6)
<75	30/60	89/130	_	18.5 (3.5-33.4)
≥75	3/12	11/15		48.3 (15.2-81.5)
No. of prior lines of therapy				
2-3	27/54	77/108	─ ●──	21.3 (5.5-37.1)
>3	6/18	23/37		28.8 (2.0-55.6)
Bulky disease: any target lesion longest diameter ≥7 cm				
Yes	3/12	11/23		22.8 (-9.1 to 54.7)
No	30/60	89/122		23.0 (8.0-37.9)
FLIPI risk category				
Low (0-1)	3/9	21/29	•	39.1 (4.3-73.9)
Intermediate (2)	13/24	26/34	→	22.3 (-2.2 to 46.8)
High (≥3)	17/37	49/77	— •—	17.7 (-1.6 to 37.0)
Rituximab-refractory status				. ,
Refractory	14/36	47/78	•	21.4 (2.1-40.6)
Not refractory	19/36	53/67	→	26.3 (7.3-45.3)
Refractory status to most recent line of therapy				(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Refractory	11/29	29/47	_	23.8 (1.3-46.2)
Not refractory	21/42	66/93	_	21.0 (3.3-38.7)
Progression of disease ≥6 months of completion of the most				(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
recent line of therapy				
Yes	12/39	42/71	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	28.4 (9.9-46.8)
No	19/30	53/67		15.8 (-4.0 to 35.6)
Progression of disease ≥24 months of starting the first line of chemoimmunotherapy				
Yes	9/22	25/39		23.2 (-2.3 to 48.7)
No	14/31	40/59		22.6 (1.4-43.8)
FLIPI, Follicular Lymphoma International Prognostic Index; ITT, intent to treat.			-75 -50 -25 0 25 50 75	

DOR and PFS were longer with zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab

DOR by IRC 100 100 Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab mDOR, NE (25.3 mo-NE) 80 80 Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab mPFS, 28.0 (16.1-NE) mo % 60 % 60 DOR, PFS, 40 40 Obinutuzumab HR, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.33-0.75 Obinutuzumab mDOR, 14.0 (9.2-25.1) mo P=.0007^a 20 20 mPFS, 10.4 (6.5-13.8) mo + Censored + Censored 0 0 24 32 40 0 12 16 20 28 36 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 44 ٢ Months Months No. at risk No. at risk Zanubrutinib + 100 97 82 73 68 59 51 43 40 33 23 21 19 12 10 7 145 135 116 96 92 79 67 62 56 45 38 35 25 22 15 10 3 3 1 0 9 5 3 3 1 1 0 obinutuzumab **Objinutuzumab** 33 29 24 23 20 16 13 11 10 9 8 6 5 3 2 2 2 0 72 63 42 34 30 27 19 16 15 12 11 9 8 8 5 3 3 2 1 1 0

PFS by IRC

HR. hazard ratio: IRC, independent review committee: mDOR, median duration of response: mPFS, median progression-free survival: NE, not estimable. ^a Descriptive 2-sided P value


TTNT and OS were prolonged with zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab

OS TTNT 100 24-mo OS rate 100 Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab % mOS, NE (NE-NE) 77.3% Event-free probability, 80 mTTNT, NE (33.4 mo-NE) 80 71.4% 60 60 % Obinutuzumab os, HR, 0.34; 95% CI, 0.22-0.52 mOS, 34.6 (29.3-NE) mo 40 40 HR, 0.62; 95% CI, 0.35-1.07 P<.0001a P=.0845^a Obinutuzumab mTTNT, 12.2 (8.5-17.3) mo 20 20 + Censored + Censored 0 0 0 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 44 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 Months Months No. at risk No. at risk Zanubrutinib + 1451391331291231191131029281706256514133262017114 145 137 125 118 107 98 91 80 71 62 53 47 44 40 29 22 17 12 10 6 3 3 3 0 4 3 0 obinutuzumab **Obinutuzumab** 72 65 49 44 41 32 30 24 20 18 16 13 11 9 72 67 63 62 57 54 49 48 43 39 36 32 25 23 18 14 13 8 5 8 5 4 2 1 1 0 3 1

HR, hazard ratio; mOS, median overall survival; mTTNT, median time to next treatment; NE, not estimable. ^a Descriptive 2-sided *P* value.

There were no unexpected safety findings with zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab

Common nonhematologic TEAEs (any grade)




Grade ≥3 nonhematologic TEAEs

n (%)	Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab (n=143)	Obinutuzumab (n=71)
Pneumonia	14 (9.8)	3 (4.2)
COVID-19	8 (5.6)	2 (2.8)
COVID-19 pneumonia	5 (3.5)	2 (2.8)
Diarrhea	4 (2.8)	1 (1.4)
Febrile neutropenia	3 (2.1)	1(1.4)
Atrial fibrillation	2 (1.4)	0
IRR	1 (0.7)	3 (4.2)
Hypertension	1 (0.7)	1 (1.4)

EAIRs for TEAEs of special interest were similar in both arms, except for any grade hemorrhage

EAIRs for TEAEs of special interest



EAIR, exposure-adjusted incidence rate; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

Conclusions

- In the ROSEWOOD study, zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab demonstrated meaningful efficacy and a manageable safety profile in heavily pretreated patients with R/R FL
- This longer follow-up analysis provides evidence of the significant complete response rate, with longer PFS and TTNT, with zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab vs obinutuzumab alone
 - A consistent benefit was observed across key prespecified subgroups
- Zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab demonstrated a favorable risk-benefit profile and may represent a
 potential novel combination therapy for patients with R/R FL
- A phase 3 study of zanubrutinib + obinutuzumab in patients who previously received ≥1 line of systemic therapy is now underway (MAHOGANY; NCT05100862)

FL, follicular lymphoma; PFS, progression-free survival; R/R, relapsed or refractory; TTNT, time to next treatment

Acknowledgments

- The authors thank the patients and their families, investigators, co-investigators, and the study teams at each of the participating centers
- This study was sponsored by BeiGene, Ltd.
- Medical writing support was provided by Nicole Lopez, PhD of Articulate Science, LLC, and was funded by BeiGene, Ltd.