Tislelizumab Versus Docetaxel in Patients With Previously Treated Advanced Nonsquamous Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Subanalysis From the RATIONALE-303 Phase 3 Randomized Clinical Study

Authors: Ying Cheng,¹ Dingzhi Huang,² Zhiyong Ma,³ Yun Fan,⁴ Jie Wang,⁵ Xinmin Yu,⁴ Mikhail Dvorkin,⁶ Gareth Rivalland,⁷ Yiyuan Ma,⁸ Yan Wang,⁸ Yan Ma,⁸ Caicun Zhou⁹

Affiliations: ¹Department of Medical Thoracic Oncology, Jilin Cancer Hospital, Changchun, China; ²Department of Thoracic Medical Oncology, Lung Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment Centre, Key Laboratory of Cancer Prevention and Therapy, Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital, National Clinical Research Centre for Cancer, Tianjin, China; ³The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University/Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China; ⁴Department of Thoracic Medical Oncology, Cancer Hospital of University of Chinese Academy of Sciences & Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, Hangzhou, China; ⁵State Key Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, Department of Medical Oncology, National Cancer Centre/National Clinical Research Centre for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China; ⁶BHI of Omsk Region Clinical Oncology Dispensary, Omsk, Russia; ⁷Department of Cancer and Blood, Auckland City Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand; ⁸BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China; ⁹Department of Medical Oncology, Shanghai Pulmonary Hospital, Tongji University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

Objectives: At a predefined interim analysis (IA), RATIONALE-303 (NCT03358875) demonstrated improved overall survival (OS) for tislelizumab versus docetaxel in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population, with a manageable safety profile. Disease characteristics, standard of care, and treatment/prognosis differ between histologic types of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Here, we report on the nonsquamous population.

Methods: Eight hundred five patients with histologically confirmed, advanced NSCLC with progressive disease during or after ≥1 platinum-containing chemotherapy regimen were randomized (2:1) to tislelizumab 200 mg or docetaxel 75 mg/m² every 3 weeks until disease progression, intolerable toxicity, or withdrawal. Histology (squamous vs nonsquamous) was a randomization stratification factor. Dual primary endpoints were OS in the ITT and PD-L1 ≥25% populations. A prespecified IA was conducted after ~426 deaths (76% of planned events). Efficacy and safety were assessed in 435 randomized patients with nonsquamous histology

Results: Baseline characteristics of nonsquamous patients were balanced between treatment arms and similar to the ITT population. As of August 10, 2020, at median follow-up of 20 and 17 months, respectively, median (95% CI) OS was longer with tislelizumab (18.6 months [15.41, 23.16]) versus docetaxel (13.8 months [9.43, 17.94]) in the nonsquamous ITT population, and objective response rate and duration of response were also improved for tislelizumab versus docetaxel (Table). In tislelizumab and docetaxel patients, 95.5% and 97.9% had ≥1 treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE), respectively, and 39.0% (tislelizumab) and 70.9% (docetaxel) of patients had ≥ grade 3 TEAEs. The most common TEAEs were anemia, increased aspartate aminotransferase, and increased alanine aminotransferase (tislelizumab arm), and alopecia, anemia, and decreased neutrophil count (docetaxel arm).

Conclusions: Tislelizumab prolonged OS, consistent with the overall ITT population, with a favorable safety profile in patients with advanced nonsquamous NSCLC who progressed after a platinum-containing regimen.

Table

Efficacy ^a	Tislelizumab (n=287)		Docetaxel (n=148)	
Median OS, months (95% CI)	18.6 (15.41, 23.16)		13.8 (9.43, 17.94)	
OS HR (95% CI) ^b	0.71 (0.538, 0.929) <i>P</i> =0.0064 ^{c,d}			
Median PFS, months (95% CI)	2.5 (2.14, 4.01)		3.6 (2.17, 4.14)	
PFS HR (95% CI) ^b	0.84 (0.660, 1.062) <i>P</i> =0.0686 ^{c,d}			
ORR, n (%)	60 (20.9)		14 (9.5)	
Median DoR, months (95% CI)	11.7 (6.80, 14.65)		6.2 (2.10, 7.16)	
Safety ^e	Tislelizumab (n=287)		Docetaxel (n=141)	
TEAEs ≥15% of patients in either arm, n (%)	All grades	≥ Grade 3	All grades	≥ Grade 3
Anemia	76 (26.5)	11 (3.8)	56 (39.7)	6 (4.3)
AST increased	64 (22.3)	5 (1.7)	18 (12.8)	0 (0.0)
ALT increased	63 (22.0)	4 (1.4)	24 (17.0)	0 (0.0)
Cough	59 (20.6)	4 (1.4)	25 (17.7)	0 (0.0)
Weight decreased	44 (15.3)	2 (0.7)	13 (9.2)	0 (0.0)
Decreased appetite	41 (14.3)	3 (1.0)	26 (18.4)	0 (0.0)
Hypoalbuminemia	37 (12.9)	0 (0.0)	23 (16.3)	0 (0.0)
Nausea	37 (12.9)	0 (0.0)	22 (15.6)	0 (0.0)
Constipation	31 (10.8)	0 (0.0)	22 (15.6)	0 (0.0)
Asthenia	29 (10.1)	1 (0.3)	29 (20.6)	8 (5.7)
Neutrophil count decreased	8 (2.8)	1 (0.3)	53 (37.6)	36 (25.5)
White blood cell count decreased	8 (2.8)	0 (0.0)	42 (29.8)	26 (18.4)
Neutropenia	7 (2.4)	3 (1.0)	44 (31.2)	38 (27.0)
Leukopenia	6 (2.1)	0 (0.0)	38 (27.0)	22 (15.6)
Alopecia	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	70 (49.6)	2 (1.4)

^aEfficacy analysis set: nonsquamous patients; ^bStratified; ^cOne-sided stratified log-rank test; ^dDescriptive *P*-value; ^eSafety analysis set: nonsquamous patients.

ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CI; confidence interval; DoR, duration of response; HR, hazard ratio; NE, not evaluable; ORR, objective response rate; PFS, progression-free survival; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

Data cut-off: August 10, 2020.