A Phase 1 Study With the Novel Bcl-2 Inhibitor BGB-11417 as Monotherapy or in Combination With Zanubrutinib in Patients With B-Cell Malignancies: Preliminary Data

Poster #P056

Paolo Ghia[1], Stephen Opat[2,3], Chan Y. Cheah[4-6], Masa Lasica[7], Emma Verner[8,9], Peter J. Browett[10], Henry Chan[11], Jacob D. Soumerai[12], Eva González Barca[13], James Hilger[14], Yiqian Fang[14], David Simpson[14], Constantine S. Tam[3,15]

[1]Università Vita-Salute San Raffaele and IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, Italy; [2]Monash Health, Clayton, VIC, Australia; [3]Monash University, Clayton, VIC, Australia; [4]Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital and Pathwest Laboratory Medicine, Nedlands, WA, Australia; [5]Medical School, University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, Australia; [6]Linear Clinical Research, Nedlands, WA, Australia; [7]St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Fitzroy, VIC, Australia; [8]Concord Repatriation General Hospital, Concord, NSW, Australia; [9]University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia; [10]Auckland City Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand; [11]North Shore Hospital Auckland, New Zealand; [12]Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; [13]Institut Català d'Oncologia-Hospitalet, IDIBELL, Universitat de-Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; [14]BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China, and BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo, CA, USA; [15]The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

INTRODUCTION

- BGB-11417 is a B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) inhibitor
  - BCL2 is a key regulator of apoptosis, aberrantly expressed in many hematologic malignancies[1]
  - The currently approved Bcl-2 inhibitor, venetoclax, has been shown to be safe and effective and is approved for the treatment of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL/SLL) and acute myeloid leukemia[2]
  - Treatment with venetoclax can be limited by common gastrointestinal toxicities, neutropenia, and the emergence of specific BCL2 mutations around the BH3-binding groove, resulting in resistance[3,4]
- BGB-11417 was developed as a potent and highly selective inhibitor of Bcl-2[5]
  - Antitumor activity of BGB-11417 appeared to be more potent than venetoclax in human acute lymphoblastic leukemia, mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) in xenograft mouse models[5]
  - BGB-11417 has a favorable pharmacokinetic (PK) profile with excellent bioavailability and selectivity for Bcl-2 at a concentration of <1 nM[5]
  - Toxicology studies (data on file) have shown BGB-11417 to have a broad therapeutic index and tolerable safety profile
- The combination of venetoclax and the Bruton tyrosine kinase (BTK) inhibitor, ibrutinib, is tolerable and provides synergistic activity in patients with CLL[6-8] or MCL[9]
- Zanubrutinib is a next-generation BTK inhibitor that elicited excellent activity and favorable toxicity in patients with CLL/SLL[10] or MCL.[11] It is currently approved for the treatment of MCL, marginal zone lymphoma (MZL), and Waldenström macroglobulinemia (WM)[12]
  - Early safety data show that combining zanubrutinib with venetoclax in patients with treatment-naive (TN) CLL/SLL appears to be tolerable.[13] Additionally, promising safety and efficacy were seen with the combination of zanubrutinib, obinutuzumab, and venetoclax in patients with CLL[14] or MCL[15]
- Here, we report preliminary results of the BGB-11417-101 trial (NCT04277637) in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL), WM, or CLL/SLL treated with BGB-11417 monotherapy or BGB-11417 in combination with zanubrutinib

METHODS

Study Design

- BGB-11417-101 is a first-in-human, phase 1, open-label, multicenter, dose-escalation and -expansion study
- Disease-specific dose escalation cohorts are followed by the corresponding expansion cohorts
  - BGB-11417 monotherapy cohorts (parts 1 and 2)
  - BGB-11417 in combination with zanubrutinib cohorts (parts 3 and 4)
- Eligible patients include those with various B-cell malignancies (varies by cohort; **Figure 1**)
- Dose escalation investigates up to 5 potential dose levels of BGB-11417 (40, 80, 160, 320, or 640 mg once daily [QD]) before establishing the recommended phase 2 dose (RP2D)
  - Patients in the combination therapy cohorts received zanubrutinib 320 mg QD beginning 8-12 weeks before BGB-11417 was introduced
- Adverse events (AEs) are reported per Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v5.0 (International Workshop for CLL [iwCLL] for select hematologic toxicities for patients with CLL)
- Response to treatment was assessed by Lugano classification[16] for patients with NHL, iwCLL guidelines[17] for patients with CLL, and Owen criteria for patients with WM[18]

Figure 1. Study Schema



Blue text indicates cohorts presented in this poster. [a]High TLS risk defined as the presence of any lymph node ≥10 cm or the presence of any lymph node ≥5 cm with concurrent absolute lymphocyte count ≥25×10^9/L.
CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; RP2D, recommended phase 2 dose; R/R, relapsed/refractory; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; SMC, safety monitoring committee; TLS, tumor lysis syndrome; TN, treatment naive; ven, venetoclax; WM, Waldenström macroglobulinemia.

Dose Escalation

- For dose-escalation cohorts, patients were enrolled in 1 of 5 planned oral BGB-11417 dose levels in cohorts of at least 3 patients (**Figure 2**)
  - Planned daily dose levels: 40 mg, 80 mg, 160 mg, 320 mg, and 640 mg
- Dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) assessed from ramp-up through day 21 at the intended daily dose, and evaluated by bayesian logistic regression model, were used to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD)

Figure 2. Ramp-Up Schemas (Example Target Dose of 80 mg)



[a]Combination cohorts began zanubrutinib treatment 8-12 weeks before and during BGB-11417 ramp-up.
D, day; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; QD, once daily; W, week; WM, Waldenström macroglobulinemia.

Dose Ramp-Up

- To protect against potential tumor lysis syndrome (TLS), all patients received a dose ramp-up to the target dose level (**Figure 2**)
  - Patients with NHL (excluding MCL) received a ramp-up over 3-days, with daily dose increases (day 1, 25% of target dose; day 2, 50%) before reaching the target daily dose (day 3+, 100%)
  - Patients with CLL/SLL, MCL, or WM received a longer ramp-up over several weeks, with weekly dose increases (beginning with 1 mg QD, then doubling the dose weekly until the target dose was reached)
- Other TLS prophylaxis included
  - Hydration: oral or intravenous 1.5-2 L/day from ≥1 day before until ≥1 day after each new dose level
  - Antihyperuricemics (allopurinol; rasburicase as needed): from ≥2-days before first dose until 1 week after reaching final target dose level
  - Hospitalization for observation at select ramp-up visits: TLS laboratory results and PK monitored frequently at select time points

RESULTS

Disposition and Baseline

- As of the data cutoff (4 February 2022), cohorts 1A, 1B, 1E, 3A, 3B, and 4B treated patients with the study drug (blue text; **Figures 1** and **3)**

Figure 3. Patient Disposition (Data Cutoff: 4 February 2022)



[a]FL (n=6), DLBCL (n=17), MZL (n=3). [b]Includes "other" or "physician decision." [c]n=20 still in zanubrutinib pretreatment phase. [d]Expansion cohort at 160 mg QD.
AE, adverse event; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; PD, progressive disease; QD, once daily; R/R, relapsed/refractory; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; TN, treatment-naive; WM, Waldenström macroglobulinemia.

RESULTS

Table 1. Patient and Disease Characteristics

Characteristic	BGB-11417 monotherapy (n=34)	BGB-11417 + zanubrutinib combination (n=44)	All patients (N=78)
Age, median (range), years	72 (55-86)	61 (36-84)	65 (36-86)
ECOG PS, n (%)			
Unknown	1 (2.9)	1 (2.3)	2 (2.6)
0	14 (41.2)	27 (61.4)	41 (52.6)
1	16 (47.1)	15 (34.1)	31 (39.7)
2	3 (8.8)	1 (2.3)	4 (5.1)
Disease types, n (%)			
CLL	6 (17.6)	34 (77.3)	40 (51.3)
R/R DLBCL	17 (50)	N/A	17 (21.8)
R/R FL	6 (17.6)	N/A	6 (7.7)
R/R MZL	3 (8.8)	N/A	3 (3.8)
MCL	0	10 (22.7)	10 (12.8)
WM	2 (5.9)	N/A	2 (2.6)
TN, n (%)	0	14 (31.8)	14 (17.9)
R/R, n (%)	34 (100.0)	30 (68.2)	64 (82.1)
Prior lines of therapy, median (range)	2 (1-6)	1 (1-2)	1 (0-6)
Time from end of most recent systemic therapy to first dose, median (range), months	5.3 (0-49.7)	43.4 (1.6-194.4)	10.8 (0-194.4)

CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; FL, follicular lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; R/R, relapsed/refractory; TN, treatment naive; WM, Waldenström macroglobulinemia.

Table 2. Overall Adverse Events

AEs, n (%)	BGB-11417 monotherapy (n=34[a])	BGB-11417 + zanubrutinib combination (n=44[b,c])	All patients (N=78)
Any AEs	32 (94.1)	34 (77.3)	66 (84.6)
Grade ≥3 AEs	14 (41.2)	7 (15.9)	21 (26.9)
Serious AEs	11 (32.4)	5 (11.4)	16 (20.5)
Leading to death	2[d] (5.9)	1 (2.3)[e]	3 (3.8)
Leading to hold of BGB-11417	5[f] (14.7)	1[g] (2.3)	6 (7.7)
Leading to dose reduction of BGB-11417	0	0	0
Leading to discontinuation of BGB-11417	1[h] (2.9)	0	1 (1.3)

[a]All patients have relapsed/refractory disease. [b]Includes 20 patients who are still in zanubrutinib pretreatment phase and have not yet received BGB-11417. [c]Includes 14 patients who are treatment naive. [d]Neither related to study drug; 1 death secondary to disease progression and 1 gastrointestinal hemorrhage subsequent to bowel surgery. [e]Cardiac arrest, not related to study drug. [f]Thrombocytopenia, hemoptysis and pyrexia; ALT, AST, and GGT levels increased; neutropenia, pyrexia, and febrile neutropenia; small intestinal obstruction; neutropenia. [g]Dose withheld due to COVID-19 infection. [h]Gastrointestinal hemorrhage subsequent to bowel surgery.
AE, adverse event; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; COVID-19, coronavirus disease of 2019; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase.

Table 3. Dose-Limiting Toxicities in Dose-Escalation Cohorts

Cohort	40 mg[a]	80 mg	160 mg	320 mg	640 mg
	Monotherapy				
NHL (1A)	0/3	0/4	1/4	0/9	0/6
CLL (1B)	N/A	1/4	TBD	TBD	TBD
WM (1E)	N/A	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	Combination				
CLL (3A)	0/4	0/3	0/3	TBD	TBD
MCL (3B)	N/A	0/3	TBD	TBD	TBD

[a]Not tested in cohorts 1B, 1E, and 3B because this dose had been cleared in other cohorts by the time these cohorts were open.
CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; TBD, to be determined; WM, Waldenström macroglobulinemia.

Monotherapy

- Dose escalation was completed for cohort 1A, with no MTD reached through 640 mg, and only 1 DLT of Grade 3 febrile neutropenia was seen at 160 mg
- Dose escalation continues for all other monotherapy dose-escalation cohorts
  - One DLT of Grade 4 neutropenia was seen in a patient with relapsed/refractory (R/R) CLL receiving BGB-11417 monotherapy at 80 mg (patient recovered and continued dosing)

Combination

- Dose escalation continues for all combination dose-escalation cohorts, with no DLTs yet up to 160 mg (CLL) or 80 mg (MCL)
- Cohort 4B, TN CLL expansion, was opened at 160 mg QD; owing to tolerability and promising activity seen during dose escalation, additional dose levels may potentially be expanded in the future

Figure 4. TEAEs Regardless of Causality in ≥3 Patients Receiving (A) Monotherapy (n=34[a]) or (B) Combination Therapy (n=44[b,c])



[a]All patients have relapsed/refractory disease. [b]Includes 20 patients who are still in zanubrutinib pretreatment phase and have not yet received BGB-11417. [c]Includes 14 patients who were treatment naive. [d]Neutropenia includes neutrophil count decreased and neutropenia. [e]Thrombocytopenia includes platelet count decreased and thrombocytopenia.
AST, aspartate transaminase; COVID-19, coronavirus disease of 2019; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

Bcl-2 Inhibitor Events of Interest

- One patient with CLL receiving monotherapy with high baseline TLS risk had a marked tumor flare on BTK inhibitor withdrawal and developed laboratory TLS in a late ramp-up
  - The patient experienced no sequelae from laboratory TLS and resolved by the next day; BGB-11417 did not need to be withheld
- Neutropenia was observed in 8 patients receiving monotherapy (n=6 Grade ≥3; n=5 received growth factor) and 6 patients receiving combination therapy (n=3 Grade ≥3; n=4 received growth factor). All cases resolved without the need for dose reduction

Early Efficacy

- Although dose escalation has not yet been completed for any cohort and the follow-up is limited, responses were observed at the preliminary dose levels (**Figures 5** and **6**)
- NHL (R/R monotherapy)
  - Significant reductions in the sum of product of perpendicular diameters (SPD) from baseline were seen in most patients (**Figure 5**)
  - Two of 20 (10%) patients have responded: 1 partial response (PR) at 160 mg and 1 complete response (CR) at 320 mg
- WM (R/R monotherapy)
  - Follow-up is limited: 1 of 2 (50%) patients have achieved a minor response at 80 mg
- MCL (R/R combination)
  - Five of 10 (50%) patients have achieved PR or better so far at either 80 or 160 mg, including 1 CR at each dose level
- CLL/SLL
  - Significant reduction in absolute lymphocyte count (ALC) was noted among all patients with CLL during ramp-up, with reduction in lymphocytes noted at dose levels as low as 1 mg (**Figure 7**)
  - R/R monotherapy
    - Four of 6 (67%) patients have achieved partial response with lymphocytosis (PR-L) or better so far at either 80 or 160 mg
  - Combination therapy
    - R/R: 16 of 20 (80%) patients have achieved PR-L or better across dose levels ranging between 40-320 mg
    - TN: follow-up is limited, with most patients still on zanubrutinib pretreatment

Figure 5. Change in SPD Among Patients With NHL



DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; SPD, sum of product of perpendicular diameters.

Figure 6. Duration of Treatment and Best Response



CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; CR, complete response; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; MCL, mantle cell lymphoma; MZL, marginal zone lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; PR-L, partial response with lymphocytosis; SD, stable disease; WM, Waldenström macroglobulinemia.

Figure 7. Activity of BGB-11417: Reduction in ALC Over Ramp-Up in Patients With CLL[a]



[a]Figures represent reduction in ALC above the ULN (4x10^9/L) compared to pre–BGB-11417 baseline before next dose escalation (or after 1 week at target dose) per dose. Patients receive each BGB-11417 dose level for 1 week before escalating to the next dose. Combination patients were also receiving zanubrutinib during BGB-11417 ramp-up, beginning 8-12 weeks before the first BGB-11417 dose (Note: 1 patient with normal baseline ALC is excluded from monotherapy figure).
ALC, absolute lymphocyte count; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia.

CONCLUSIONS

- These early phase 1 results suggest that BGB-11417 is tolerable in patients with CLL or NHL at the dose levels tested
  - Dose escalation concluded for monotherapy patients with NHL with only 1 DLT seen and no MTD reached; only 1 DLT was seen in monotherapy patients with CLL
  - Grade ≥3 AEs have been infrequent and manageable
  - Findings so far suggest that the combination of BGB-11417 and zanubrutinib is well tolerated, similar to BGB-11417 monotherapy
  - Risk of TLS appears limited and manageable; laboratory TLS has been seen in only 1 patient with high TLS-risk CLL receiving monotherapy
- Transient neutropenia was the most frequent Grade ≥3 AE
- Substantial decreases in ALC have been seen during ramp-up for patients with CLL, with promising early response rates amongst patients with R/R CLL

REFERENCES

1. Khan N, Kahl B, et al. *Target Oncol.* 2018;13(3):257-267.
2. Venclexta (venetoclax). [package insert]. AbbVie and Genentech; 2021.
3. Davids MS, et al. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2018;24(18):4371-4379.
4. Blombery P, et al. *Cancer Discov.* 2019;9(3):342-353.
5. Hu N, et al. AACR 2020. Abstract 3077.
6. Hillmen P, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2019;37(30):2722-2729.
7. Jain N, et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;380(22):2095-2103.
8. Siddiqi T, et al. EHA 2020. Abstract S158.
9. Tam CS, et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2018;378(13):1211-1223.
10. Hillmen P, et al. EHA 2021. Abstract LB1900.
11. Tam CS, et al. *Blood Adv.* 2021;5(12):2577-2585.
12. Brukinsa (zanubrutinib). [package insert]. BeiGene; 2021.
13. Tedeschi A, et al. *Blood.* 2021; 138(suppl 1). Abstract 67.
14. Soumerai JD, et al. *Lancet Haematol.* 2021;8(12):e879-e890.
15. Kumar A, et al. *Blood.* 2021;138(suppl 1). Abstract 3540.
16. Cheson BD, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(27):3059-3067.
17. Hallek M, et al. *Blood.* 2008;111(12):5446-5456.
18. Owen RG, et al. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2001;116(3):420-428.

DISCLOSURES

PG: honoraria from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, ArQule/MSD, BeiGene, Janssen, Loxo/Lilly, and Roche; research support from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, and Sunesis
SO: honoraria from Roche, Janssen, AbbVie, Celgene, Takeda, Merck, Gilead, AstraZeneca; consulting role with Roche, Janssen, AbbVie, Celgene, Takeda, Merck, Gilead, Mundipharma, AstraZeneca, CSL; research funding from BeiGene, Roche, Janssen, AbbVie, Takeda, Merck, Gilead, Epizyme, AstraZeneca; travel expenses from Roche
CYC: honoraria from and consulting role with Roche, Janssen, MSD, Gilead, Ascentage Pharma, AstraZeneca, Lilly, TG Therapeutics, BeiGene, Novartis, BMS; research funding from BMS, Roche, AbbVie; travel expenses from Roche
ML: travel expenses from Celgene
EV: research funding from Janssen Cilag Pty Ltd
PJB: consulting role with MSD, EUSA Pharma; research funding from BeiGene, Roche, Shire
HC: consulting role with Janssen, AbbVie, GSK, EUSA Pharma
JDS: consulting role with AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Genentech/Roche, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics; research funding from Adaptive Biotechnologies, BeiGene, BostonGene, Genentech/Roche, GSK, Moderna, TG Therapeutics
EGB: consulting role with Janssen, AbbVie, BeiGene, Kiowa, EUSA Pharma; speakers bureau for Janssen, AbbVie, Takeda, Roche, EUSA Pharma; travel expenses from Janssen, AbbVie, Roche
JH, YF, DS: employment and stock ownership with BeiGene
CST: honoraria from Janssen, AbbVie, BeiGene, Loxo, Novartis; research funding from Janssen, AbbVie, BeiGene

CORRESPONDENCE

ghia.paolo@hsr.it

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the investigators, site support staff, and especially the patients and their caregivers for participating in the BGB-11417-101 study. This study was sponsored by BeiGene. Editorial support was provided by Medical Expressions, Inc., and funded by BeiGene.

Presented at the 2022 Italian Society of Hematology (SIE) National Congress, September 26-28, 2022; Rome, Italy