RATIONALE 304: Tislelizumab (TIS) plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone as first-line (1L) treatment for non-squamous (non-sq) NSCLC in patients (pts) aged 65–75 years

**Authors:** Shun Lu,<sup>1\*</sup> Jie Wang,<sup>2</sup> Yan Yu,<sup>3</sup> Xinmin Yu,<sup>4</sup> Yanping Hu,<sup>5</sup> Zhiyong Ma,<sup>6</sup> Xingya Li,<sup>7</sup> Wu Zhuang,<sup>8</sup> Yunpeng Liu,<sup>9</sup> Weidong Li,<sup>10</sup> Jiuwei Cui,<sup>11</sup> Dong Wang,<sup>12</sup> Wangjun Liao,<sup>13</sup> Mengzhao Wang,<sup>14</sup> Jianying Zhou,<sup>15</sup> Zhehai Wang,<sup>16</sup> Yuping Sun,<sup>17</sup> Wanyu He,<sup>18</sup> Yuanyuan Bao<sup>19</sup>

### **Institutions:**

<sup>1</sup>Shanghai Chest Hospital, Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China; <sup>2</sup>Cancer Hospital Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China; <sup>3</sup>Affiliated Tumor Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China; <sup>4</sup>Zhejiang Cancer Hospital, Hangzhou, China; <sup>5</sup>Hubei Cancer Hospital, Wuhan, China; <sup>6</sup>The Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University/Henan Cancer Hospital, Zhengzhou, China; <sup>7</sup>The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China; <sup>8</sup>Fujian Cancer Hospital, Fuzhou, China; <sup>9</sup>The First Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, China; <sup>10</sup>Cancer Center of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China; <sup>11</sup>The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China; <sup>12</sup>Daping Hospital, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, China; <sup>13</sup>Nanfang Hospital of Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China; <sup>14</sup>Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Beijing, China; <sup>15</sup>The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China; <sup>16</sup>Shandong Cancer Hospital, Jinan, China; <sup>17</sup>Jinan Central Hospital, Jinan, China; <sup>18</sup>BeiGene (Beijing) Co. Ltd, Beijing, China; <sup>19</sup>BeiGene (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China

### **Abstract:**

# **Background**

Primary results from the Phase 3 RATIONALE-304 study (NCT03663205) showed efficacy and a manageable safety/tolerability profile for TIS, an anti-programmed cell protein 1 monoclonal antibody, plus chemotherapy, as 1L treatment for non-sq NSCLC. We report results from pts aged 65–75 years.

#### Methods

In RATIONALE-304, eligible pts (18–75 years) were treatment-naïve and had locally advanced or metastatic non-sq NSCLC. Pts were stratified by disease stage and programmed death-ligand 1 expression, and randomized 2:1 to receive TIS (200 mg intravenously [IV]) plus platinum (carboplatin AUC 5 or cisplatin 75 mg/m² IV) plus pemetrexed 500 mg/m² every three weeks for 4–6 cycles followed by maintenance TIS plus pemetrexed (Arm A), or platinum pemetrexed for 4–6 cycles followed by maintenance pemetrexed (Arm B). Progression-free survival (PFS) by independent review committee (IRC), objective response rate (ORR), and safety were assessed in pts aged 65–75 years.

### **Results**

In total, 97 pts aged 65–75 years were randomized to Arm A (60 pts) or Arm B (37 pts). The median age of pts was 68.0 years, and 76 pts (78.4%) were male. PFS was longer, and ORR higher, in Arm A vs Arm B (**Table**). Overall, 59 pts in Arm A, and 37 pts in Arm B experienced  $\geq$  1 treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE). In Arm A, Grade  $\geq$  3 TEAEs occurred in 43 (72.9%) pts aged 65–75 years vs 150 (67.6%) aged  $\geq$  18 years, and in Arm B, 18 (48.6%) pts aged 65–75 years vs 59 (53.6%) pts aged  $\geq$  18 years. TEAEs leading to permanent discontinuation of any component of study treatment occurred in 19 (32.2%) pts in Arm A, and 5 (13.5%) pts in Arm B. 21 (35.6%) pts receiving TIS experienced  $\geq$  1 immune-related TEAE.

## **Conclusions**

Observed improvements in PFS and ORR support the treatment benefits of TIS in combination with platinum and pemetrexed chemotherapy in pts aged 65–75 with advanced non-squamous NSCLC. The safety profile of TIS in pts aged 65–75 years was similar to the safety profile for all pts in the overall study population.

### **Table**

	Arm A (N=60)	Arm B (N=37)
PFS		
Events (%)	27 (45.0)	20 (54.1)
HR (95% CI)	0.727 (0.407, 1.297)	-
Median, months (95% CI)	9.7 (5.75, 11.53)	7.7 (4.21, 9.76)
ORR, % (95% CI)	53.3 (40.0, 66.3)	40.5 (24.8, 57.9)