SEQUOIA: Results of a Phase 3 Randomized Study of Zanubrutinib versus Bendamustine + Rituximab in Patients with Treatment-Naive Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia/Small Lymphocytic Lymphoma

Talha Munir¹, Krzysztof Giannopoulos^{2,3}, Wojciech Jurczak⁴, Martin Šimkovič^{5,6}, Mazyar Shadman^{7,8}, Anders Österborg^{9,10}, Luca Laurenti¹¹, Patricia Walker¹², Stephen Opat^{13,14}, Henry Chan¹⁵, Hanna Ciepluch¹⁶, Richard Greil^{17,18}, Monica Tani¹⁹, Marek Trněný²⁰, Danielle M. Brander²¹, Ian W. Flinn²², Sebastian Grosicki²³, Emma Verner^{24,25}, Brad S. Kahl²⁶, Paolo Ghia²⁷, Jianyong Li²⁸, Tian Tian²⁹, Lei Zhou²⁹, Carol Marimpietri²⁹, Jason C. Paik²⁹, Aileen Cohen²⁹, Jane Huang²⁹, Jennifer R. Brown³⁰, Tadeusz Robak³¹, Constantine S. Tam^{32,33}

¹St James's University Hospital, Leeds, United Kingdom; ²Experimental Hematooncology Department, Medical University of Lublin; ³Hematology Department, St. John's Cancer Centre, Lublin; ⁴Maria Sklodowska-Curie National Research Institute of Oncology, Krakow, Poland; ⁵Fourth Department of Internal Medicine – Haematology, University Hospital, Hradec Kralove; ⁶Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic; ⁷Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center; ⁸Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, United States; ⁹Department of Oncology, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden; ¹¹Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A Gemelli UCSC, Rome, Italy; ¹²Peninsula Private Hospital, Frankston; ¹³Monash Health; ¹⁴Monash University, Clayton, Australia; ¹⁵North Shore Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand; ¹⁶Copernicus Regional Oncology Center, Gdansk, Poland; ¹⁷Third Medical Department of Medicine, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Reguent and Infectiology, Paracelsus Medical University; ¹⁸Salzburg Cancer Research Institute (SCRI) Center for Clinical Cancer and Immunology Trials (CCCIT), Salzburg, Austria; ¹⁹Hematology Unit, Santa Maria delle Croci Hospital, Ravenna, Italy; ²⁰First Department of Medicine, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, General Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic; ²¹Hematologic Malignancies and Cellular Therapy, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, United States; ²²Tennessee Oncology, Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Nashville, United States; ²³Department of Hematology and Cancer Prevention, Health Sciences Faculty, Medical University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland; ²⁴Concord Repatriation General Hospital, Concord; ²⁵University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia; ²⁶Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, United States; ²³Department of Hematology, Tre First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China; ²⁹BeiGene USA, Inc., San Mateo; ³⁰Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston


INTRODUCTION

- Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and small lymphocytic leukemia (SLL) are progressive B-cell malignancies that are characterized by progressive accumulation of leukemic cells in the peripheral blood, bone marrow, and lymphoid tissue¹
- In recent years, treatment of CLL/SLL has been transformed with the advent of effective inhibitors of B-cell receptor signaling, such as the BTK inhibitor, ibrutinib²
- Ibrutinib has well-described off-target effects that contribute to its toxicity profile, notably an increased risk for cardiovascular disease, including atrial fibrillation, hypertension, and hemorrhage³
- Cardiovascular AEs, diarrhea, and rash observed in patients

RESULTS (CONT)

Zanubrutinib treatment benefit was observed across patient subgroups defined by age, Binet stage, bulky disease, and del(11q) status (Figure 1B) and for patients with unmutated IGHV (HR 0.24; 2-sided P<.0001), but not for mutated IGHV (HR 0.67; 2-sided P=.1858; Figure 1C)





- The proportion of patients that experienced any AE was similar in the zanubrutinib (93.3%) and B+R (96.0%) arms (Table 2); grade 3 AEs occurred in a higher percentage of patients in the B+R arm (79.7%) vs the zanubrutinib arm (52.5%)
- For zanubrutinib vs B+R arms, treatment discontinuation due to AEs occurred in 8.3% vs 13.7%, respectively; AEs leading to death occurred in 4.6% vs 4.8%, respectively
- AEs of special interest were observed at the following frequencies in the zanubrutinib vs B+R arms, respectively (Table 4):
- Atrial fibrillation (any grade): 3.3% vs 2.6%
- Bleeding (any grade) 45.0% vs 11.0%;
 bleeding (grade ≥3): 3.8% vs 1.8%

- treated with ibrutinib have been associated with off-target inhibition of kinases such as EGFR, HER, and TEC³
- Zanubrutinib is an irreversible, potent, next-generation BTK inhibitor designed to maximize BTK occupancy and minimize off-target inhibition of TEC- and EGFR-family kinases^{4,5}
- Efficacy and safety of zanubrutinib has been recently demonstrated in two large, randomized studies in Waldenström macroglobulinemia and relapsed/refractory CLL/SLL, with lower rates of atrial fibrillation when compared to ibrutinib^{6,7}
- Preliminary data showing high response rates with zanubrutinib in untreated patients with the high-risk genomic abnormality, del(17p), enrolled in SEQUOIA Cohort 2, have been recently published^{8,9}
- Here, we present results from the first cohort of SEQUOIA, a phase 3 trial of zanubrutinib versus bendamustine + rituximab (B+R) as firstline treatment for CLL/SLL

METHODS

- SEQUOIA (BGB-3111-304; NCT03336333) is an international, randomized, open-label, phase 3 study of zanubrutinib compared with B+R treatment for patients with previously untreated CLL/SLL
- Eligible patients had received no prior systemic treatment for CLL/SLL, met International Workshop on CLL (iwCLL) criteria for treatment, and were unsuitable for treatment with fludarabine, cyclophosphamide, and rituximab (ie, ≥65 years of age, Cumulative Illness Rating Scale score >6, creatinine clearance < 70 mL/min, and/or history of previous severe infection or multiple infections within the past 2 years)
- Cohort assignment was based on centrally-verified del(17p) status
- In Cohort 1, study patients without del(17p) were randomized to receive either zanubrutinib 160 mg twice daily until progressive disease or unacceptable toxicity or bendamustine 90 mg/m² (days 1 and 2) + rituximab (375 mg/m² for cycle 1, then 500 mg/m² for cycles 2-6) for 6 cycles of 28-days each
- Randomization stratification factors included age (<65 y vs ≥65 y), Binet Stage (C vs A/B), immunoglobulin heavy chain gene (IGHV) mutational status (mutated vs unmutated), and geographic region (North America vs Europe vs Asia-Pacific)

 Zanubrutinib
 241
 237
 230
 224
 222
 214
 208
 195
 123
 79
 31
 17
 2
 1

 B+R
 238
 218
 210
 200
 187
 176
 164
 150
 89
 54
 20
 8
 1
 0


B+R, bendamustine + rituximab; IRC, independent review committee; PFS, progression-free survival

Figure 1B. PFS by Patient Subgroup

	Event/Patient			
Subgroup	Zanubrutinib	B+R		Hazard Ratio (95% CI), %
All Patients	36/241	71/238	- e	0.42 (0.28–0.63)
Age (years)				
<65	6/45	19/46	_ _	0.25 (0.10–0.62)
≥65	30/196	52/192	_ —	0.47 (0.30–0.74)
Sex				
Male	24/154	47/144		0.39 (0.24–0.64)
Female	12/87	24/94	_ —●	0.45 (0.23–0.91)
Binet stage				
A or B	24/171	52/168		0.39 (0.24–0.64)
С	12/70	19/70	_	0.48 (0.23–1.00)
ECOG				
0	12/110	24/101	_ —	0.39 (0.19–0.78)
≥1	24/131	47/137	_ —	0.43 (0.26–0.71)
Bulky disease (LDi <5 cm vs ≥5 cm)				
<5 cm	21/172	44/165		0.37 (0.22–0.63)
≥5 cm	15/69	27/73	_	0.52 (0.27–0.97)
IGHV mutational status				
Mutated	18/109	25/110		0.67 (0.36–1.22)
Unmutated	15/125	45/121	- -	0.24 (0.13–0.43)
Cytopenias at baseline ^ь				
Yes	21/102	34/109	●	0.55 (0.32–0.95)
No	15/139	37/129	_ —	0.31 (0.17–0.57)
Chromosome 11q deletion				
Yes	7/43	22/46	—	0.21 (0.09–0.50)
No	29/198	49/192	—• —	0.50 (0.32–0.80)
			0 1	2 3

^aHazard ratios were calculated using a stratified Cox regression model. ^bDefined as having anemia (hemoglobin ≤110 g/L) or thrombocytopenia (platelets ≤100×10⁹/L) or neutropenia (absolute neutrophil count ≤1.5×10⁹/L). B+R, bendamustine + rituximab; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; IGHV, gene encoding the immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region; IRC, independent review committee; LDi, longest diameter.

Figure 1C. PFS by IGHV Status



- Hypertension (any grade): 14.2% vs 10.6%
- Infections (any grade): 62.1% vs 55.9%;
 infections (grade ≥3): 16.3% vs 18.9%
- Neutropenia (any grade): 15.8% vs 56.8%;
 neutropenia (grade ≥3): 11.7% vs 51.1%

Table 2. Adverse Event Summary

Event, n (%)	Zanubrutinib (n=240ª)	B+R (n=227ª)
Any AE	224 (93.3)	218 (96.0)
Grade ≥3 AE	126 (52.5)	181 (79.7)
Serious AE	88 (36.7)	113 (49.8)
Fatal AE	11 (4.6)	11 (4.8)
AE leading to dose reduction	18 (7.5)	84 (37.4)
AE leading to dose interruption/ delay	111 (46.3)	154 (67.8)
AE leading to discontinuation	20 (8.3)	31 (13.7)

^aSafety was assessed in patients who received \geq 1 dose of treatment; 1 patient in the zanubrutinib arm and 11 patients in the B+R arm did not receive treatment. AE, adverse event; B+R, bendamustine + rituximab.

Table 3. Common Adverse Events (≥12% of Patients in Any Arm)

	Zanub (n=2		B+ (n=2	
AE, n (%)	Any Grade	Grade ≥3	Any Grade	Grade ≥3
Contusion	46 (19.2)	0 (0.0)	8 (3.5)	0 (0.0)
Upper respiratory tract infection	41 (17.1)	2 (0.8)	27 (11.9)	2 (0.9)
Neutropenia ^b	37 (15.4)	27 (11.3)	129 (56.8)	116 (51.1)
Diarrhea	33 (13.8)	0 (0.0)	30 (13.2)	4 (1.8)
Arthralgia	32 (13.3)	2 (0.8)	20 (8.8)	1 (0.4)
Fatigue	28 (11.7)	3 (1.3)	36 (15.9)	2 (0.9)
Rash	26 (10.8)	0 (0.0)	44 (19.4)	6 (2.6)
Constipation	24 (10.0)	1 (0.4)	43 (18.9)	0 (0.0)
Nausea	24 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	74 (32.6)	3 (1.3)
Pyrexia	17 (7.1)	0 (0.0)	60 (26.4)	8 (3.5)
Vomiting	17 (7.1)	0 (0.0)	33 (14.5)	3 (1.3)
Anemia	11 (4.6)	1 (0.4)	43 (18.9)	4 (1.8)
Thrombocytopenia	9 (3.8)	4 (1.7)	31 (13.7)	16 (7.0)
Infusion-related reaction ^c	1 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	43 (18.9)	6 (2.6)

- Patients with del(17p) were assigned to Cohort 2 and received zanubrutinib monotherapy
- The primary endpoint was progression-free survival (PFS) as assessed by independent review committee (IRC) per modified iwCLL criteria for CLL and Lugano criteria for SLL
- The comparison of PFS between the 2 arms in Cohort 1 was based on a log-rank test stratified by the randomization stratification factors of age, Binet stage, and IGHV mutational status; hazard ratios (HRs) and 2-sided 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated from a stratified Cox regression model
- Key secondary endpoints included PFS by investigator assessment, overall response rate (ORR) by investigator and IRC assessments, overall survival (OS), and safety
- Adverse events (AEs) were assessed and graded per the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI-CTCAE) v4.03 and the Grading Scale for Hematologic Toxicities in CLL Studies

RESULTS


- From October 31, 2017 to July 22, 2019, 479 patients without del(17p) were randomized to receive zanubrutinib (n=241) and B+R (n=238)
- 51 patients were from the United Kingdom
- At the data cutoff, 206/240 patients from Cohort 1 were continuing to receive zanubrutinib; in cohort 2, 188/227 patients completed the B+R regimen and 15 patients crossed over to receive zanubrutinib after centrally-confirmed disease progression
- Treatment groups were well balanced for demographic and disease characteristics; in both arms, the median patient age was 70 y and most patients were men (Table 1)
- In the zanubrutinib arm, 53.4% had unmutated IGHV and 17.8% had del(11q) compared with 52.4% and 19.3%, respectively, in the B+R arm
- **Table 1. Baseline Patient and Disease Characteristics**


Zanubrutinib - Unmutated	125	121	117	114	113	112	109	104	68	44	14	6
B+R - Unmutated	121	110	106	100	90	82	73	65	39	25	6	1
Zanubrutinib - Mutated	109	109	106	104	103	97	94	88	53	33	15	10
B+R - Mutated	110	101	98	94	91	88	86	80	47	27	14	7

B+R, bendamustine + rituximab; IGHV, gene encoding the immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region; IRC, independent review committee

- For zanubrutinib vs B+R:
- ORR by IRC was 94.6% vs 85.3% and complete response rate was 6.6% vs 15.1%
- ORR by investigator assessment was 97.5% vs 88.7%
- Estimated 24-month OS was 94.3% vs 94.6% (Figure 2)


Figure 2. Overall Survival





Median follow-up: 26.2mo. B+R, bendamustine + rituximab

Figure 3. Cohort 2: PFS in Patients with del(17p)



\mathbf{C}	\frown	NI	\mathbf{C}	L L			NIC
		IN		LL	72	IU	NS

^aSafety was assessed in patients who received ≥1 dose of treatment; 1 patient in the zanubrutinib arm and 11 patients the B+R arm did not receive treatment. ^bPooled term with neutrophil count decreased. ^cDue to amphotericin B infusion. AE, adverse event; B+R, bendamustine + rituximab.

Table 4. Adverse Events of Interest

	Zanub (n=2		B+R (n=227ª)		
AE, n (%)	Any Grade	Grade ≥3	Any Grade	Grade ≥3	
Anemia	11 (4.6)	1 (0.4)	44 (19.4)	4 (1.8)	
Neutropenia ^b	38 (15.8)	28 (11.7)	129 (56.8)	116 (51.1)	
Thrombocytopenia ^c	11 (4.6)	5 (2.1)	40 (17.6)	18 (7.9)	
Arthralgia	32 (13.3)	2 (0.8)	20 (8.8)	1 (0.4)	
Atrial fibrillation	8 (3.3)	1 (0.4)	6 (2.6)	3 (1.3)	
Bleeding ^d	108 (45.0)	9 (3.8)	25 (11.0)	4 (1.8)	
Major bleeding ^e	12 (5.0)	9 (3.8)	4 (1.8)	4 (1.8)	
Diarrhea	33 (13.8)	2 (0.8)	31 (13.7)	5 (2.2)	
Hypertension ^f	34 (14.2)	15 (6.3)	24 (10.6)	11 (4.8)	
Infections ⁹	149 (62.1)	39 (16.3)	127 (55.9)	43 (18.9)	
Myalgia	9 (3.8)	0 (0.0)	3 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	
Other cancers	31 (12.9)	17 (7.1)	20 (8.8)	7 (3.1)	
Dermatologic other cancers	16 (6.7)	2 (0.8)	10 (4.4)	2 (0.9)	

^aSafety was assessed in patients who received ≥1 dose of treatment; 1 patient in the zanubrutinib arm and 11 patients in the B+R arm did not received treatment. ^bNeutropenia, neutrophil count decreased, or febrile neutropenia. ^cThrombocytopenia or platelet count decreased. ^dPooled term of all-cause bleeding including bruising, petechiae, purpura, and contusion. ^eMajor bleeding included all grade ≥3, serious, and any-grade central nervous system hemorrhage. ^fHypertension, blood pressure increased, or hypertensive crisis. ^gAll infection terms pooled. AE, adverse event; B+R, bendamustine + rituximab.

Characteristics	Zanubrutinib (n=241)	B+R (n=238)
Age, median (IQR), y	70 (66–75)	70 (66–74)
Age ≥65, n (%)	196 (81.3)	192 (80.7)
Male, n (%)	154 (63.9)	144 (60.5)
ECOG PS 2, n (%)	15 (6.2)	20 (8.4)
Geographic region, n (%)		
North America	34 (14.1)	28 (11.8)
Europe	174 (72.2)	172 (72.3)
Asia/Pacific	33 (13.7)	38 (16.0)
Binet stage C,ª n (%)	70 (29.0)	70 (29.4)
Bulky disease ≥5 cm, n (%)	69 (28.6)	73 (30.7)
Cytopenia at baseline, ^b n (%)	102 (42.3)	109 (45.8)
Unmutated IGHV gene, n/N (%)	125/234 (53.4)	121/231 (52.4)
del(11q), n (%)	43 (17.8)	46 (19.3)
TP53 mutation, n/N (%)	15/232 (6.5)	13/223 (5.8)

^aPatients with SLL had Binet stage calculated as if they had CLL. ^bDefined as having anemia (hemoglobin ≤110 g/L) or thrombocytopenia (platelets ≤100×10⁹/L) or neutropenia (absolute neutrophil count ≤1.5×10⁹/L). B+R, bendamustine + rituximab; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; del(11q), chromosome 11q deletion; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; IQR, interquartile range; IGHV, gene encoding the immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region; SLL, small lymphocytic lymphoma; *TP53*, gene encoding tumor protein p53.

- At median follow-up time of 26.2 months, PFS was significantly prolonged with zanubrutinib treatment vs B+R by IRC assessment (HR 0.42; 95% CI 0.28–0.63; 2-sided P<.0001; Figure 1A)
- Similar PFS was observed by investigator assessment (HR 0.42; 95% CI 0.27–0.66; 2-sided P=.0001)
- Estimated 24-month PFS by IRC assessment for zanubrutinib vs
 B+R was 85.5% vs 69.5%, respectively

 In this global registrational trial, zanubrutinib demonstrated statistically significant improvement in PFS compared with B+R as assessed by IRC

 Superiority was also observed in PFS by investigator assessment and in ORR by both IRC and investigator assessments

Zanubrutinib was well tolerated, with low rates of atrial fibrillation

6. Tam CS, et al. Blood. 2020;146:2038-2050.

7. Hillmen P, et al. EHA 2021. Abstract LB1900.

9. Brown JR, et al. Blood. 2020;136(suppl 1):11-12.

8. Tam CS, et al. Haematologica. 2020;106:2354-2363.

 These data support the potential utility of zanubrutinib in the frontline management of patients with previously untreated CLL/SLL

REFERENCES

Zelenetz AD, et al. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2015;13:326-362.
 Scheffold A and Stilgenbauer S. *Curr Oncol Rep.* 2020;22:16.
 Estupiñán HY, et al. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* 2021;9:630942.
 Guo Y, et al. *J Med Chem.* 2019;62:7923-7940.
 Tam CS, et al. *Blood.* 2019;134:851-859.

CORRESPONDENCE

tmunir@nhs.net

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank the SEQUOIA investigators, site support staff, and especially the patients for participating in this study We also would like to thank Vanitha Ramakrishnan, Maria Salaverri, Sowmya Kuwahara, Fangfang Yin, Andy Szeto, and Axel Gayko for their contributions to biomarker

analysis, operational support, and data analysis This study was sponsored by BeiGene. Editorial support was provided by Medical Expressions and was funded by BeiGene

DISCLOSURES

TM: consultant for AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Roche, Alexion, Janssen, Morphosys, SOBI.

KG: consultant for AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Janssen, Sanofi-Genzyme, Novartis, Takeda, Roche, Karyopharm, GSK, Sandoz; research funding from AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Janssen, Sanofi-Genzyme, Novartis, Takeda, Roche, Gilead, TG Therapeutics; honoraria from AbbVie, Amgen, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Janssen, Sanofi-Genzyme, Novartis, Takeda, Roche, Gilead, Sandoz, Pfizer, Teva; member of the board of directors or of the advisory committee for Polish Myeloma Consortium, Next Generation Hematology Association.

WJ: research funding from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Celgene, Debbiopharm, Epizyme, Incyte, Janssen, Merck, Roche, Takeda, TG Therapeutics.

MŠimkovič: consultant for AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen-Cilag; shareholder for AbbVie, Merck, Eli Lilly, J&J; honoraria from AbbVie, Janssen-Cilag; member of the board of directors or of the advisory committee for AbbVie, AstraZeneca; travel fees from Gilead, Janssen-Cilag, AbbVie.

MShadman: consultant for AbbVie, Genentech, AstraZeneca, Sound Biologics, Pharmacyclics, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Morphosys, TG Therapeutics, Innate Pharma, Kite Pharma, Adaptive Biotechnologies, Epizyme, Eli Lilly, and Atara Biotherapeutics, Adaptimmune; research funding for Mustang Bio, Celgene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Pharmacyclics, Gilead, Genentech, AbbVie, TG Therapeutics, BeiGene, AstraZeneca, Sunesis, Atara Biotherapeutics, GenMab; member of the board of directors or of the advisory committee for AbbVie, Genentech, AstraZeneca, Sound Biologics, Pharmacyclics, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb, Morphosys, TG Therapeutics, Innate Pharma, Kite Pharma, Adaptive Biotechnologies, Epizyme, Eli Lilly, and Atara Biotherapeutics, Adaptimmune.

AÖ: research funding from BeiGene, Gilead.

LL: research funding from Roche, AbbVie; honoraria from AbbVie, Roche, BeiGene, Janssen, AstraZeneca.

PW: consultant for BeiGene, Acerta.

Presented at the 62nd Annual Scientific Meeting of the British Society for Haematology, 3-5 April, 2022, Manchester, United Kingdom

SO: consultant for AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Roche; research funding from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Gilead, Janssen, Pharmacyclics, Roche, Sandoz, Takeda; honoraria from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Celgene, CSL Behring, Gilead. Janssen, Merck, Roche, Takeda

HChan: speaker's bureau for Janssen, Roche; member of the board of directors or of the advisory committee for Janssen, AbbVie, Eusa, GSK; travel fees from Amgen, Celgene.

RG: consultant for Celgene, Novartis, Roche, BMS, Takeda, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, MSD Merck, Gilead, Daiichi Sankyo, Sanofi; research funding for Celgene, Roche, Merck, Takeda, AstraZeneca Novartis, Amgen, BMS, MSD, Sandoz, AbbVie Gilead, Daiichi Sankyo; honoraria for Celgene, Roche, Merck, Takeda, AstraZeneca Novartis, Amgen, BMS, MSD, Sandoz, AbbVie, Sanofi; member of the board of directors or of the advisory committee for Celgene, Novartis, Roche, BMS, Takeda, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, MSD Merck, Gilead, Daiichi Sankyo, Sanofi; travel fees from Roche, Amgen, Janssen, AstraZeneca, Novartis, MSD, Celgene, Gilead, BMS, AbbVie, Daiichi Sankyo.

MTrněný: consultant for Janssen, Gilead Sciences, Takeda, Bristol Myers Squibb, Amgen, AbbVie, Roche, MorphoSys, Incyte, Novartis; honoraria from Janssen, Gilead Sciences, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Amgen, AbbVie, Roche, AstraZeneca, MorphoSys, Incyte, Portolla, Takeda, Novartis; member of the board of directors or of the advisory committee for Janssen, Takeda, Roche, Bristol Myers Squibb, AbbVie, Portolla, MorphoSys, Incyte, Novartis; travel fees from Gilead, Takeda, Bristol Myers Squibb, Roche, Janssen, AbbVie.

DMB: consultant for AbbVie, Genentech, Pharmacyclics, Pfizer, TG Therapeutics, Verastem; research funding from AbbVie, ArQule, Ascentage, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, DTRM, Genetech, Juno/Celgene/BMS, LOXO, MEI Pharma, Novartis, Pharmacyclics, TG Therapeutics; panel member for NCCN

IWF: consultant for AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Century Therapeutics, Genentech, Gilead Sciences, Great Point Partners, Hutchison MediPharma, Iksuda Therapeutics, Janssen, Juno Therapeutics, Kite Pharma, MorphoSys, Novartis, Nurix Therapeutics, Pharmacyclics, Roche, Seattle Genetics, Servier Pharmaceuticals, Takeda, TG
 Therapeutics, Unum Therapeutics, Verastem, Vincerx Pharma, Yingli Pharmaceuticals; all payments made to Sarah Cannon Research Institute; research funding from
 AbbVie, Acerta Pharma, Agios, ArQule, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Calithera Biosciences, Celgene, Constellation Pharmaceuticals, Curis, Forma Therapeutics, Forty Seven,
 Genentech, Gilead Sciences, IGM Biosciences, Incyte, Infinity Pharmaceuticals, Janssen, Juno Therapeutics, Karyopharm Therapeutics, Kite Pharma, Loxo, Merck,
 MorphoSys, Novartis, Pfizer, Pharmacyclics, Portola Pharmaceuticals, Rhizen Pharmaceuticals, Roche, Seattle Genetics, Takeda, Teva, TG Therapeutics, Trillium Therapeutics,
 Triphase Research & Development Corp., Unum Therapeutics, Verastem; all payments made to Sarah Cannon Research Institute.
 EV: research funding from Janssen Cilag Pty Ltd.

BSK: consultant for Genentech, ADCT, AbbVie, AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Pharmacyclics, BMS, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Janssen, MEI; research funding from Genentech, ADCT, AbbVie, Acerta, AstraZeneca, BeiGene.

PG: consultant for AbbVie, AstraZeneca, ArQule/MDS, BeiGene, Celgene/Juno/BMS, Janssen, Roche; research funding from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, Janssen, Gilead, Sunesis; honoraria from AbbVie, AstraZeneca, ArQule/MDS, BeiGene, Celgene/Juno/BMS, Janssen, Roche.

TT, LZ, CM, JCP, AC, JH: employees and shareholders of BeiGene (Beijing) Co., Ltd., Beijing, China and BeiGene USA, Inc.,

JRB: consultant for AbbVie, Acerta/AstraZeneca, BeiGene, Bristol Myers Squibb/Juno/Celgene, Catapult, Eli Lilly, Genentech/Roche, Janssen, MEI Pharma, Morphosys AG, Nextcea, Novartis, Pfizer, Rigel; research funding from Gilead, Loxo/Lilly, SecuraBio, Sun, TG Therapeutics.

TR: research funding from AstraZeneca, AbbVie, Janssen, Octapharma, Gilead, Pharmacyclics, Pfizer, GlaxoSmithKline, Biogen.
CST: research funding from Janssen and AbbVie; honoraria from Janssen, AbbVie, BeiGene,

Plain Lanuage	
C	

Roche, Novartis. HCiepluch, MTani, SG, JL: no conflicts of interest.

Copies of this poster and the plain language summary obtained through Quick Response (QR) Code are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without permission from the author of this poster.

